	1	-
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO	
2	WESTERN DIVISION	
3	HEALTHY ADVICE :	
	NETWORKS, LLC, :	
4	:	
	Plaintiff, :	
5	: Case No.	
	vs. : 1:12-CV-610	
6	:	
	CONTEXT MEDIA, :	
7	INC.,	
	:	
8	Defendant. :	
9	Videotaped deposition of AMY FINLEY, a	
10	witness herein, taken by the defendant as	
11	upon cross-examination, pursuant to the	
12	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and pursuant	
13	to notice of counsel as to the time and place	
14	and stipulations hereinafter set forth, at	
15	the offices of Mr. Hankinson, Keating,	
16	Muething & Klekamp, One East Fourth Street,	
17	Suite 1400, Cincinnati, Ohio, at 9:30 a.m.,	
18	Monday, April 21, 2014, before Deanne	
19	Cartwright, a Notary Public within and for	
20	the State of Ohio.	
21		
22		
23		
24		

		2
1	APPEARANCES	
2		
3	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: AARON M. BERNAY, ESQ.	
	Frost Brown Todd	
4	301 East Fourth Street	
	3300 Great American Tower	
5	Cincinnati, Ohio 45202	
6	FOR THE DEFENDANT: THOMAS HANKINSON, ESQ.	
	Keating Muething &	
7	Klekamp	
	One East Fourth Street	
8	Cincinnati, Ohio 45202	
9	ALSO PRESENT: Paul Jahn, videographer	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

		3
1	STIPULATIONS	
2	It is stipulated by counsel for the	
3	respective parties that the deposition of	
4	AMY FINLEY, a witness herein, may be taken at	
5	this time by the defendant as upon	
6	cross-examination and pursuant to the Federal	
7	Rules of Civil Procedure and notice to take	
8	deposition, under notice all other legal	
9	formalities being waived by agreement; that	
10	the deposition may be taken in stenotype by	
11	the Notary Public Reporter and transcribed by	
12	her out of the presence of the witness; that	
13	the transcribed deposition was made available	
14	to the witness for examination and signature	
15	and that signature may be affixed out of the	
16	presence of the Notary Public-Court Reporter.	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

Case: 1:12-cv-00610-SJD Doc #: 72 Filed: 08/08/14 Page: 4 of 248 PAGEID #: 3314

Amy Finley, 4/21/2014

1	TN	IDEX			
2	WITNESS DIRECT		요요_	RF-	
۷	WIINESS DIRECT	CROSS	DIRECT		
3			2111201	011000	
	AMY FINLEY				
4	BY MR. HANKINSON:	7		246	
	BY MR. BERNAY: 245				
5					
	EXHIBIT IDENTIFIED			PAGE	
6					
	Exhibit 208			9	
7	Exhibit 209			23	
	Exhibit 210			92	
8	Exhibit 211			95	
	Exhibit 212			108	
9	Exhibit 213			115	
	Exhibit 214			119	
10	Exhibit 215			123	
	Exhibit 216			126	
11	Exhibit 217			143	
1.0	Exhibit 218			151	
12	Exhibit 219			176	
1.0	Exhibit 220			177	
13	Exhibit 221			197	
1 1	Exhibit 222			203	
14	Exhibit 223			211	
15	OD TROMTONG		D.7	OUT THE	
1.0	OBJECTIONS		PA	GELINE	
16	MD DEDNAY.		1 0	2	
1 7	MR. BERNAY:			2	
17	MR. BERNAY:		17		
18	MR. BERNAY:		24 34		
ΤΟ	MR. BERNAY: MR. BERNAY:		34 36		
19			36 45		
エフ	MR. BERNAY: MR. BERNAY:		45 49		
20	MR. BERNAY:		51		
۷∪	MR. BERNAY:		53		
21	MR. BERNAY:		53 60		
4 1	MR. BERNAY:		63		
22	MR. BERNAY:		64		
८ ८	MR. BERNAY:		65		
23	MR. BERNAY:		66		
24	rit. Diittai.		00	10	

Case: 1:12-cv-00610-SJD Doc #: 72 Filed: 08/08/14 Page: 5 of 248 PAGEID #: 3315

Amy Finley, 4/21/2014

4			
1 2	INDEX CONTI	NUED	
2	OBJECTIONS	PAGE LINE	
3	OBOECITONS	PAGE LINE	
3	MR. BERNAY:	68 7	
4	MR. BERNAY:	68 13	
_	MR. BERNAY:	70 11	
5	MR. BERNAY:	71 11	
	MR. BERNAY:	82 12	
6	MR. BERNAY:	83 2	
	MR. BERNAY:	87 11	
7	MR. BERNAY:	87 20	
	MR. BERNAY:	101 15	
8	MR. BERNAY:	108 1	
_	MR. BERNAY:	118 12	
9	MR. BERNAY:	120 21	
1.0	MR. BERNAY:	125 16	
10	MR. BERNAY:	134 4	
11	MR. BERNAY:	135 11	
11	MR. BERNAY: MR. BERNAY:	137 23 138 8	
12	MR. BERNAY:	148 9	
12	MR. BERNAY:	152 19	
13	MR. BERNAY:	153 7	
	MR. BERNAY:	154 12	
14	MR. BERNAY:	155 5	
	MR. BERNAY:	156 15	
15	MR. BERNAY:	161 16	
	MR. BERNAY:	162 7	
16	MR. BERNAY:	172 19	
	MR. BERNAY:	175 3	
17	MR. BERNAY:	175 5	
	MR. BERNAY:	185 10	
18	MR. BERNAY:	185 18	
1.0	MR. BERNAY:	186 11	
19	MR. BERNAY:	187 21	
20	MR. BERNAY:	188 4	
20	MR. BERNAY:	188 22	
21	MR. BERNAY: MR. BERNAY:	191 1 193 4	
Z	MR. BERNAY:	195 18	
22	MR. BERNAY:	196 20	
	MR. BERNAY:	208 14	
23	MR. BERNAY:	209 5	
	MR. BERNAY:	218 4	
24			

Case: 1:12-cv-00610-SJD Doc #: 72 Filed: 08/08/14 Page: 6 of 248 PAGEID #: 3316

Amy Finley, 4/21/2014

			6
1	INDEX CONTINUED		
2			
	OBJECTIONS	PAGE I	LINE
3			
	MR. BERNAY:	218	15
4	MR. BERNAY:	219	17
	MR. BERNAY:	220	23
5	MR. BERNAY:	237	17
	MR. HANKINSON:	245	14
6	MR. HANKINSON:	245	20
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

			7
	1	VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the	
	2	record. Would the court reporter swear in	
	3	the witness, please?	
	4	AMY FINLEY,	
	5	a witness herein, of lawful age, having	
	6	been first duly sworn as hereinafter	
	7	certified, was examined and testified as	
	8	follows:	
	9	CROSS-EXAMINATION	
09:37	10	BY MR. HANKINSON:	
	11	Q. Good morning.	
	12	A. Good morning.	
	13	Q. Please state your name and spell	
	14	your last name.	
	15	A. Amy Finley, F-I-N-L-E-Y.	
	16	Q. Thank you for coming in again.	
	17	We appreciate your time. My name is Tom	
	18	Hankinson. I believe we met very briefly	
	19	previously but Mr. O'Brien, Richard O'Brien,	
09:39	20	was asking you questions at the last	
	21	A. Correct.	
	22	Q deposition. Do you remember	
	23	generally the guidelines and and ground	
	24	rules that he laid out before you started	

			8
	1	that?	
	2	A. Yes.	
	3	Q. Those same ones will apply	
	4	today. If you have any questions about that,	
	5	let me know.	
	6	A. Okay.	
	7	Q. If you answer a question, I'm	
	8	going to assume that you understood it. Are	
	9	you okay with that?	
09:39	10	A. Yes.	
	11	Q. And if you don't understand a	
	12	question please ask me to either rephrase it	
	13	or repeat it or ask me what I mean by	
	14	whatever is not being understood. Okay?	
	15	A. Okay.	
	16	Q. At your previous deposition you	
	17	were a a representative or a designee of	
	18	your company, correct?	
	19	A. Correct.	
09:40	20	Q. Are you familiar with the term	
	21	30(b)(6)?	
	22	A. I've heard it but I don't recall	
	23	exactly what it means.	
	24	Q. In any event, you're here to	

			9
	1	testify today about certain topics, correct?	
	2	A. Yes.	
	3	Q. Were you provided with a notice	
	4	that told you what those topics were?	
	5	A. Yes.	
	6	(Exhibit 208 identified.)	
	7	MR. HANKINSON: I'd like to mark	
	8	an exhibit as 208. Please take a moment to	
	9	look at Exhibit 208 and in particular topics	
09:41	10	19 and 20 that start on page three.	
	11	A. Okay.	
	12	Q. Do you understand that	
	13	Defendant's Exhibit 208 which is titled	
	14	Defendant Context Media, Inc.'s Third	
	15	Supplemental Notice of Deposition of	
	16	Plaintiff Healthy Advice Networks, LLC	
	17	pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure	
	18	30(b)(6) is a notice that lists the topics	
	19	that you're here to testify today about?	
09:41	20	A. Yes.	
	21	Q. Are you prepared to testify on	
	22	behalf of Patient Point formerly known as	
	23	Healthy Advice Networks as to the content of	
	24	these topics?	

			10
	1	A. Yes.	
	2	MR. BERNAY: Obviously we have	
	3	lodged objections to these, Tom, as you know	
	4	and Amy is not here to testify about topic 1	9
	5	B and she'll be testifying subject to our	
	6	objections.	
	7	MR. HANKINSON: Did you review	
	8	the documents that have been produced by	
	9	Healthy Advice Networks on or after March	
09:42	10	26th, 2014?	
	11	A. Yes.	
	12	Q. In addition to reviewing those	
	13	documents, how else, if at all, did you	
	14	prepare for your deposition today?	
	15	A. I did investigate with a few	
	16	people inside Healthy Advice/Patient Point.	
	17	Q. Who?	
	18	A. Linda Gustin, Nicki Cloran,	
	19	Emily Hines, Rob Slater, Vida Albert and	
09:43	20	Heather McGauvran.	
	21	Q. Who is Linda, is it, Gozdin?	
	22	A. Gustin.	
	23	Q. Gustin. Could you spell her	
	24	last name?	

		11
	1	A. G-U-S-T-I-N. She works in the IT
	2	department.
	3	Q. What did you speak to Ms. Gustin
	4	about?
	5	A. Just making sure I understood
	6	the the database pulls, what software is
	7	used, and things like that can.
	8	Q. You said database pulls
	9	P-U-L-L-S?
09:44	10	A. Pulls. Yeah. Sorry.
	11	Q. No. That's great. I just want
	12	to make sure I understand. When you say
	13	database, are you referring to a system that
	14	Patient Point maintains called CMS?
	15	A. Correct.
	16	Q. Does that stand for customer
	17	management system?
	18	A. I believe so but I'm not 100
	19	percent sure.
09:44	20	Q. In any event, CMS.
	21	A. CMS is our database.
	22	Q. Are there any other databases or
	23	sources of information that you were
	24	referring to?

				12
	1	Α.	No.	
	2	Q.	Who is Nicki Cloran?	
	3	Α.	Nicki is in our research	
	4	department.		
	5	Q.	Could you spell her full name?	
	6	А.	If I recall how to it's	
	7	N-I-C-K-I and	d then her last name C-L-O-R-A-N	
	8	I believe.		
	9	Q.	What did you talk to Ms. Cloran	
09:44	10	about?		
	11	А.	In regards to a webinar that was	
	12	conducted.		
	13	Q.	Are you referring to a	
	14	rheumatology	webinar that had one attendant?	
	15	А.	Yes.	
	16	Q.	Who is Emily Hines?	
	17	А.	Emily Hines works in our	
	18	creative depa	artment and just spoke to her in	
	19	regards to th	ne same thing. The webinar.	
09:45	20	Q.	Is her last name H-E-I-N-Z?	
	21	А.	H-I-N-E-S I believe.	
	22	Q.	Ah. H-I-N-E-S. Who is Rob	
	23	Slater?		
	24	А.	Rob Slater works in our field	

			13
	1	service digital department.	
	2	Q. What did you speak to Mr. Slater	
	3	about to prepare for your deposition today?	
	4	A. In regards to an e-mail that he	
	5	produced.	
	6	Q. Who is Ms. Vida Albert?	
	7	A. Vida is our she's also in	
	8	field service digital. Part of tracking	
	9	assets.	
09:46	10	Q. Some of the assets that	
	11	Ms. Albert tracks are monitors that are used	
	12	in Patient Point's in waiting room network	
	13	systems and CPUs that are also used in those	
	14	systems, correct?	
	15	A. Correct.	
	16	Q. And that's V-I-D-A A-L-B-E-R-T?	
	17	And who is Heather McGauvran?	
	18	A. Heather McGauvran is the	
	19	director of the practice or relationship	
09:46	20	managers.	
	21	Q. M-C big G-A	
	22	A. U.	
	23	Q U-V-R-A-N?	
	24	A. Correct.	

		14
	1	Q. Thank you. What did you speak
	2	to Ms. McGauvran about?
	3	A. In regards to a matrix.
	4	Q. Could you be more specific?
	5	A. A matrix that Vida apparently
	6	had produced.
	7	Q. Does the matrix that you're
	8	referring to list out serial numbers or
	9	example serial numbers of various models of
09:47	10	monitors and CPUs?
	11	A. I believe so.
	12	Q. Was the purpose of Ms. Albert's
	13	matrix that you spoke to Ms. McGauvran about
	14	to have a list of which monitors and CPUs
	15	were considered to still be in play in
	16	Patient Point systems versus others that were
	17	considered obsolete?
	18	A. I believe that what I asked
	19	Ms. McGauvran about was actually she'd
09:47	20	received recalled receiving that matrix
	21	from Vida, which she had, and if she had
	22	distributed it to the team which she didn't
	23	recall doing.
	24	Q. Didn't recall one way or the

			15
	1	other or she	
	2	A. Didn't recall if she'd sent	
	3	sent it to the actual team, shared it with	
	4	the team, or she just kept it for her own	
	5	reference.	
	6	Q. Did Ms. McGauvran say I	
	7	definitely did not send it to the team or did	
	8	she say I don't remember one way or the	
	9	other?	
09:48	10	A. I don't remember.	
	11	Q. Was that the only topic that you	
	12	spoke with Ms. McGauvran about?	
	13	A. And then I asked her if we had a	
	14	process regarding obsolete equipment.	
	15	Q. What did she say?	
	16	A. No.	
	17	Q. When you used the word we, were	
	18	you referring to the customer relationship	
	19	management team?	
09:48	20	A. The relationship management	
	21	team. Yes.	
	22	Q. Are you the head of the	
	23	relationship management team?	
	24	A. I'm the VP of our provider	

			16
	1	services. Heather McGauvran reports to me.	
	2	Q. Were you at one point the head	
	3	of the customer rela or excuse me the	
	4	relationship management team?	
	5	A. The head point? Yes. And I	
	6	manage that team as well with Heather	
	7	McGauvran. For a while she was the assistant	
	8	manager but from like a day-to-day workflow	
	9	providing people work on the team, making	
09:49	10	sure that, you know, time off, things like	
	11	that, Heather managed like the day-to-day	
	12	activities of the team.	
	13	Q. When did you become vice	
	14	president of provider services?	
	15	A. 2012 I believe.	
	16	Q. Prior to that were you solely in	
	17	charge of managing the relationship	
	18	management team?	
	19	A. No. I was the director and	
09:49	20	again Heather McGauvran was still working	
	21	with me.	
	22	Q. And is relationship management	
	23	team how you commonly refer to your	
	24	department or group that manages the	

			17
	1	relationships with providers that are in	
	2	Patient Point's various networks?	
	3	A. Yes. They used to be called	
	4	practice relations so there may be	
	5	terminology somewhere along the way that says	
	6	practice relations.	
	7	MR. BERNAY: Just note these	
	8	I believe these questions were asked and	
	9	answered the first time around.	
09:50	10	Q. Practice relations and then	
	11	relationship	
	12	A. Relationship management.	
	13	Q management.	
	14	A. Relationship management team.	
	15	Their individuals are relationship managers.	
	16	Q. Did you speak to Ms. Albert	
	17	about anything other than the matrix?	
	18	A. I discussed with her her process	
	19	on what she recalled as far as obsolete	
09:51	20	equipment.	
	21	Q. How long was your conversation	
	22	with Ms. Albert?	
	23	A. Maybe five, 10 minutes.	
	24	Q. Do you have did that occur	

]	18
	1	before or after Ms. Albert was deposed in	
	2	this matter?	
	3	A. I believe it was after.	
	4	Q. And did you ask her if there was	
	5	anything that needed to be corrected or	
	6	changed in any way after her deposition?	
	7	A. No, I did not.	
	8	Q. Did she indicate to you that	
	9	there was any information that she was	
09:51	10	providing you that was different in any way	
	11	from her answers to the questions of the	
	12	prior deposition?	
	13	A. No.	
	14	Q. Do you think that she would have	
	15	told you if there was something that she said	
	16	at that deposition that related to the matrix	
	17	and the process regarding obsolete equipment	
	18	that was in any way inaccurate?	
	19	A. Repeat that again. Make sure I	
09:51	20	understand that right.	
	21	Q. Sure. Do you believe based on	
	22	your conversation with her	
	23	A. Uh-huh.	
	24	Q in preparation for your	

19 deposition today that if there was anything 1 2 that she believed was inaccurate about the answers that she gave in her deposition she 3 4 would have told you about them during your 5 conversation so that you could correct them? 6 I don't know if she would have 7 told me that or not, knowing whether or not 8 that would be something she should do, so we 9 didn't go into that discussion. 09:52 10 In what way then did you talk Ο. 11 about her process regarding obsolete equipment and the matrix? 12 13 So asked her if she recalls who Α. 14 she sent the matrix to. She had said 15 Heather, and she also said that a few people 16 on my team she knows that she sent directly 17 My team meaning the relationship management team. And then we discussed as 18 19 far as I reconfirmed with her in the fact 09:52 20 that she is not calling and canceling or 21 removing or scheduling removals of equipment, 22 that her process and what she handles is when 23 we actually have situations where the 24 equipment goes missing. It's somewhat

			20
	1	un-retrievable. They're having an issue	
	2	finding it at the practice. Things like	
	3	that. That that's when she gets involved and	
	4	would call the practice directly. I was	
	5	trying to understand when she would actually	
	6	be speaking with the practices versus the	
	7	relationship managers who are the ones that	
	8	typically schedule the removals.	
	9	Q. And the situation you just	
09:53	10	described	
	11	A. Uh-huh.	
	12	Q is the situation in which	
	13	Ms. Albert would be speaking directly with	
	14	representatives of provider's offices.	
	15	A. In situations like that she	
	16	could be, yes.	
	17	Q. In all other situations it would	
	18	be expected that a member of the relationship	
	19	management team would be the person from	
09:53	20	Patient Point to have direct contact with	
	21	provider's offices, right?	
	22	A. Correct. Vida was only called	
	23	in when there was a, you know, a need if	
	24	that situation when equipment was missing.	

			21
	1	Q. When the practice relationship	
	2	managers were handling the communications	
	3	with the practices directly, they would still	
	4	nevertheless consult with Ms. Albert about	
	5	equipment that Ms. Albert was charged with	
	6	tracking, correct?	
	7	A. They would consult with	
	8	Ms. Albert, yes.	
	9	Q. Did you speak with Mr. Slater	
09:54	10	about anything other than the e-mail that was	
	11	produced on or after March 26th in this case?	
	12	A. No.	
	13	Q. Did you speak with Ms. Hines or	
	14	Ms. Cloran about anything other than the	
	15	rheumatology webinar that we mentioned	
	16	earlier?	
	17	A. No.	
	18	Q. Did you speak with Ms. Gustin	
	19	about anything other than your understanding	
09:55	20	of CMS and the pulls from that database?	
	21	A. No.	
	22	Q. Is Ms. Gustin the person at	
	23	Patient Point who has primary responsibility	
	24	for getting reports from CMS for special	

			22
	1	projects or reports that aren't standardized?	
	2	A. I don't know if she's the	
	3	primary person. There's a multiple people in	
	4	the IT department. Sorry. I didn't realize.	
	5	She I know she is one of the people that	
	6	is used to pull special reports.	
	7	Q. Have you worked with her before	
	8	to get special reports	
	9	A. Yes.	
09:55	10	Q from CMS?	
	11	A. I have.	
	12	Q. Is she the person that you work	
	13	with most when you need a special report from	
	14	CMS?	
	15	A. Yes.	
	16	Q. Do you work with anyone else in	
	17	IT when you need a special report from CMS?	
	18	A. Sometimes I work with John	
	19	Hummel.	
09:55	20	Q. But the vast majority of times	
	21	you work with Ms. Gustin?	
	22	A. I work with Ms. Gustin, yes.	
	23	MR. HANKINSON: I'd like to mark	
	24	an exhibit as Defendant's 209.	

			23
	1	(Exhibit 209 identified.)	
	2	Q. Ms. Finley, please take a moment	
	3	and read over what's been marked as	
	4	Defendant's Exhibit 209 and let me know when	
	5	you've familiarized yourself with it.	
	6	A. Okay.	
	7	Q. What is Defendant's Exhibit 209?	
	8	A. This is the cancel process for	
	9	the relationship management team.	
09:57	10	Q. Who wrote this document?	
	11	A. I believe this one was written	
	12	by Heather McGauvran. I I initiated this	
	13	process originally. I believe she had	
	14	updated it for this particular since then.	
	15	I was the original person like I said before.	
	16	She is she was my part of her being an	
	17	assistant manager was kind of keeping our	
	18	processes updated.	
	19	Q. About how often was the WRN	
09:58	20	cancel process updated during your time at	
	21	Patient Point?	
	22	A. Not very often. Not a lot's	
	23	changed with this process.	
	24	Q. Are you aware of any updates	

			24
	1	besides the one that is marked as Defendant's	
	2	209?	
	3	A. Not that I'm aware of. No.	
	4	Q. Did you ever request that	
	5	someone update the WRN cancel process or did	
	6	you ever personally update it other than the	
	7	time that you initiated it and the time that	
	8	you asked Ms. McGauvran to update it?	
	9	MR. BERNAY: Object to the form.	
09:58	10	You can answer.	
	11	A. Considering that I don't really	
	12	recall the timeframes, I would think that at	
	13	some point this was updated in some way	
	14	dependent upon orders that we use within the	
	15	database but for the most part this has	
	16	always been our process so	
	17	Q. Sometimes the workflow of the	
	18	way that orders in CMS are processed changes.	
	19	Is that what you're saying?	
09:59	20	A. It could. Yes.	
	21	Q. And when that happens you would	
	22	expect that the WRN cancel process document	
	23	would be updated to reflect the different	
	24	ways that CMS is being used?	

		25
	1	A. Correct. But looking at the
	2	orders that are actually in this process,
	3	they're the same orders that we use today and
	4	I don't recall these changing at all.
	5	Q. Do you believe this one to be
	6	the most up-to-date version?
	7	A. Yes.
	8	Q. Do you know about when this
	9	version was prepared?
09:59	10	A. Actually, I can't say that
	11	honestly knowing that I don't know recall
	12	which version. I believe this was in
	13	MR. BERNAY: Take your time to
	14	look at the document if you need to.
	15	THE WITNESS: Okay. Again, I
	16	think this was 2010, 2011 was when our
	17	process was written. Looking through here, I
	18	don't believe that anything has changed from
	19	this process looking at the reason codes.
10:00	20	Q. Is your answer complete?
	21	A. Yes. I think that this is still
	22	our current process.
	23	Q. Your best understanding is that
	24	this process was created in 2010 or 2011 and

		2	6
	1	that not much, if anything, has changed since	
	2	then?	
	3	A. Correct.	
	4	Q. And it is reflected in	
	5	Defendant's Exhibit 209?	
	6	A. Yes.	
	7	Q. When you referred to reason	
	8	codes, was that in reference to subparagraph	
	9	four and the bullets that are under it on the	
10:01	10	page that's marked HAN 005789?	
	11	A. Correct. Under four, cancel	
	12	reasons, there's the list of reason codes	
	13	that we use.	
	14	Q. What are those?	
	15	A. Those are reason codes that we	
	16	use to track the cancels for each location in	
	17	our database.	
	18	Q. Each location has a unique	
	19	identification number, right?	
10:02	20	A. Correct.	
	21	Q. When a location informs Patient	
	22	Point that it would like to cancel Patient	
	23	Point service, a reason code is selected, is	
	24	that correct?	

		27	7
	1	A. Yes. When we officially cancel	
	2	the the stage of the location in the	
	3	database it was canceled with a reason.	
	4	Q. When you say the stage, is that	
	5	the network that's at the provider?	
	6	A. The stage of the location. So	
	7	if I have a location that has the waiting	
	8	room screen, they're active today because	
	9	it's up and running. Once it's been removed	
10:02	10	it is then canceled.	
	11	Q. Stage refers to the field in CMS	
	12	that says whether a practice is active or	
	13	canceled?	
	14	A. Correct.	
	15	Q. Is it possible to update the	
	16	stage of a location to cancel without putting	
	17	in a reason?	
	18	A. It was at one time.	
	19	Q. And when did that become	
10:03	20	impossible?	
	21	A. I don't recall the date. I	
	22	would say at least it's been that way at	
	23	least since 2011.	
	24	Q. The whole year?	

			28
	1	A. I believe so. Yes.	
	2	Q. So at some point in 2010 or	
	3	before, the system was changed in some way so	
	4	that when a location was updated to canceled	
	5	in the stage field it was mandatory to enter	
	6	a reason. Did I say that right?	
	7	A. Yes. Before the field was	
	8	available but then we made it a required	
	9	field because again it's a manual entry so we	
10:04	10	wanted to make sure people remembered to put	
	11	it in.	
	12	Q. Prior to the update in which the	
	13	reason code was made a mandatory entry for	
	14	all cancellations, was it already the policy	
	15	that a reason should be entered in every	
	16	situation where a practice canceled it?	
	17	A. It should be, yes.	
	18	Q. Do you have any impression of	
	19	how often a reason code was entered versus	
10:04	20	not entered prior to that time?	
	21	A. No, I don't.	
	22	Q. Did a training at the time	
	23	include instructions to relationship managers	
	24	about how to get a reason for the	

			29
	1	cancellation and how to enter it as a reason	
	2	code?	
	3	A. So I am actually the one that	
	4	enters the reason co the official final	
	5	reason at that time in 2011 and 2012 so it	
	6	could have been my error that I did not enter	
	7	a reason code prior to prior to 2011 when	
	8	it was a manual.	
	9	Q. I just don't understand.	
10:05	10	A. Okay. We have a stage or	
	11	basically I the cancel order. The	
	12	relationship manager creates a cancel	
	13	order	
	14	Q. Uh-huh.	
	15	A the cancel order then gets	
	16	reassigned to me when it's officially being	
	17	cancelled. Then I officially take that stage	
	18	and move it from active to cancel and then	
	19	actually from there an ans enter the	
10:05	20	reason code based on the comments.	
	21	Q. Has that been the workflow for	
	22	cancel orders and reason codes since the time	
	23	that you started working at Patient Point?	
	24	A. Not since the time I started	

			30
	1	working at Patient Point because I haven't	
	2	done lots of different things at Patient	
	3	Point. So when I took over the position of	
	4	working overseeing the relationship	
	5	management team, yes.	
	6	Q. And that was prior to 2010?	
	7	A. That was around 2009.	
	8	Q. The whole time that you were in	
	9	your that position forward, the workflow	
10:06	10	that you just described for cancels and	
	11	reason codes is what has been followed up to	
	12	today?	
	13	A. That is yes, that is the	
	14	process now. Not to say that I haven't had	
	15	somebody here and there help me out and do	
	16	some for me but majority of the time it was	
	17	my responsibility to change them from active	
	18	to canceled.	
	19	Q. So when you changed the the	
10:07	20	CMS system to make it mandatory, you were	
	21	essentially helping yourself remember?	
	22	A. Correct.	
	23	Q. Do you have a sense of how often	
	24	you were forgetting prior to that?	

			31
	1	A. No, I don't.	
	2	Q. What was the source of your	
	3	information in entering reason codes?	
	4	A. The comments.	
	5	Q. What are the comments?	
	6	A. The comments entered into the	
	7	database.	
	8	Q. Who entered the comments on	
	9	which you based the reason codes that you	
10:07	10	selected?	
	11	A. The relationship management	
	12	team.	
	13	Q. I'm sorry for being so basic.	
	14	Just trying to	
	15	A. Okay.	
	16	Q work through it. Did anyone	
	17	else enter comments about the reasons that	
	18	practices canceled that you relied on in	
	19	entering reason codes?	
10:08	20	A. I don't believe so. I typically	
	21	always looked at the relationship management	
	22	comments because they're the people that are	
	23	responsible for understanding why they	
	24	canceled.	

			32
	1	Q. Those comments reflected the	
	2	company's best information about why a	
	3	particular practice canceled Patient Point's	
	4	service, right?	
	5	A. Correct.	
	6	Q. Did you sometimes personally	
	7	interview the practice representative about	
	8	the reasons for the cancel?	
	9	A. I may have.	
10:08	10	Q. You don't recall?	
	11	A. I don't recall.	
	12	Q. Do you recall ever doing that?	
	13	A. I don't recall. I mean, I'm not	
	14	going to guess	
	15	Q. Uh-huh.	
	16	A so	
	17	Q. If	
	18	A. I would like to think that if	
	19	there was one I was confused on that I would	
10:08	20	ask the questions. Yes.	
	21	Q. If a CMS entry is notated to	
	22	have been created by you	
	23	A. Uh-huh.	
	24	Q and it includes a reason that	

		3	33
	1	the practice decided to cancel, would that be	
	2	a comment that you used, written by yourself,	
	3	to pick the reason code?	
	4	A. Yes.	
	5	Q. Do you ever consider other	
	6	sources of information besides the CMS	
	7	comments when you're picking a reason code?	
	8	A. No.	
	9	Q. You never reach out to a member	
10:09	10	of the team for further explanation or ask	
	11	them to call back?	
	12	A. I may have. Again, like I said	
	13	before, if there was something I was confused	
	14	about but then again if they called back or	
	15	that was a situation they would have entered	
	16	a comment into the database that they called	
	17	back the location.	
	18	Q. Just to make sure I understand,	
	19	if you were confused in any way by a CMS	
10:10	20	entry about the reason that a practice left	
	21	Patient Point's system, you would potentially	
	22	ask the relationship manager about that	
	23	reason and if they called and got more	
	24	information from the practice, that in itself	

			34
	1	would become a new CMS entry that you then	
	2	would rely on to pick a reason code?	
	3	MR. BERNAY: Object to the form.	
	4	You can answer.	
	5	A. That sounds about right. Yes.	
	6	Q. What part of it is wrong?	
	7	A. Well, I just don't I guess	
	8	I'm just not following. I don't really	
	9	understand what this means. I mean, what	
10:10	10	you know, I'm I'm basically I'm reading	
	11	the comments. I'm selecting a reason code.	
	12	For the most part they're pretty	
	13	straightforward. If I would think just	
	14	being a good manager that if for some reason	
	15	I was confused on something I would ask the	
	16	team or ask the person that originally spoke	
	17	with them more questions about it. If they	
	18	pointed out to me to be clear, I guess	
	19	that would mean that I might not have got it	
10:11	20	from a comment. Maybe they did clarify. I	
	21	just don't really recall many of those	
	22	situations which is why I'm having a hard	
	23	time with all of this	
	24	Q. Uh-huh.	

			35
	1	A so, you know, that's what I	
	2	would believe that I would do. Makes sense.	
	3	But, you know, again not having a lot of	
	4	recollection of doing that and I haven't been	
	5	doing it at all this year. I've since passed	
	6	that baton on to someone else. So, you know,	
	7	again it's just not something on the	
	8	forefront.	
	9	Q. This year in 2014 at some point	
10:11	10	someone else took that over?	
	11	A. Correct.	
	12	Q. I hear what you're saying. To	
	13	maybe go about this in a different way, do	
	14	the relationship managers receive training in	
	15	how to get information from the practice	
	16	representatives about the reason that they're	
	17	canceling?	
	18	A. They are told to ask why they're	
	19	canceling and to try to probe to understand	
10:12	20	why and to document that into the comment.	
	21	Q. And the intention is that	
	22	they'll do that so that the best information	
	23	the company has is in the field in CMS for	
	24	you to review?	

		36
	1	A. That is what we would like them
	2	to do. Yes.
	3	Q. So when you said earlier that
	4	it's I forget if you said simple or
	5	straightforward. The process works is
	6	essentially what you're saying.
	7	A. Correct. Which is the reason
	8	why we haven't really updated this process.
	9	Q. Patient Point takes the
10:12	10	information that's entered as a reason code
	11	and uses it to make business decisions,
	12	right?
	13	MR. BERNAY: Object to the form.
	14	You can answer.
	15	A. Uses it to make business
	16	decisions. They use it for to review
	17	reports to understand why practices are
	18	leaving.
	19	Q. And presumably they do that for
10:13	20	a reason.
	21	A. Yes.
	22	Q. And so once they understand why
	23	they think practices are leaving based on the
	24	reason codes they use that information in

			37
	1	some way in Patient Point's business, right?	
	2	A. I would believe they use it, you	
	3	know, strategies and ideas on how to improve	
	4	the business.	
	5	Q. That's the purpose of	
	6	A. That's the purpose.	
	7	Q entering reason codes, right?	
	8	A. Right. So we can better	
	9	understand.	
10:13	10	Q. I know some of my questions are	
	11	basic.	
	12	A. I'm sorry. I don't mean to	
	13	Q. Looking back to Defendant's	
	14	Exhibit 209, is it the policy of Patient	
	15	Point that this WRN cancel process should be	
	16	used by the relationship managers and you or	
	17	the person who's appointed regarding reason	
	18	codes whenever a practice cancels a waiting	
	19	room network subscription?	
10:14	20	A. Yes.	
	21	Q. Have you ever had to discipline	
	22	anyone for not following this practice?	
	23	A. No.	
	24	Q. It's been routinely and	

			38
	1	universally followed to your knowledge as	
	2	their	
	3	A. To my knowledge.	
	4	Q manager?	
	5	A. Yes.	
	6	Q. I'd like to refer you to the	
	7	last major pointed bullet that start on	
	8	the first page of Defendant's Exhibit 209	
	9	where it says if RM is unable to save. Is RM	
10:15	10	relationship manager?	
	11	A. Yes.	
	12	Q. The last check box under that	
	13	excuse me. So if the relationship manager is	
	14	unable to, you know, save, does that mean	
	15	keep the practice from canceling?	
	16	A. Yes.	
	17	Q. So if the relationship manager	
	18	can't keep the practice from canceling, there	
	19	are steps under this bullet that the	
10:15	20	relationship manager is supposed to follow,	
	21	correct?	
	22	A. Correct.	
	23	Q. The first step is to schedule	
	24	the removal of equipment, right?	

			39
	1	A. Correct.	
	2	Q. The next two bullets have to do	
	3	with the removal of equipment and shipping it	
	4	back to Patient Point, right?	
	5	A. Correct.	
	6	Q. Then the fourth check box says	
	7	you must obtain a reason for canceling and	
	8	must is in all capital letters in bold type,	
	9	correct?	
10:16	10	A. Correct.	
	11	Q. Did you put that boldface type	
	12	in when you drafted this originally?	
	13	A. I don't remember if it was me	
	14	or but, you know, it was there obviously	
	15	to call attention to it.	
	16	Q. That was the purpose of putting	
	17	must in all caps.	
	18	A. Right.	
	19	Q. The first sub-bullet under that	
10:16	20	says, it is very important we capture the	
	21	true reason behind the cancel. Did I read	
	22	that correctly?	
	23	A. Yes.	
	24	Q. Why is that very important?	

			40
	1	A. Because sometimes when a	
	2	practice will call in they'll just say	
	3	there's they're canceling because the	
	4	doctor decided he wanted to do something else	
	5	or he no longer wanted it for what it was	
	6	the doctor's decision and it's typically the	
	7	easy way for the office manager to just tell	
	8	us because, you know, they don't want to	
	9	really it's hard enough to say, oh, I'm	
10:17	10	canceling your program. So it's easier to	
	11	say, well, the it was the doctor's	
	12	decision so they don't have to actually tell	
	13	us anything. So we try to ask more questions	
	14	or say could we speak to the doctor as really	
	15	the point behind that. Not just settling for	
	16	I don't know, he just decided he no longer	
	17	wants it. So it's try to find the real	
	18	reason why they no longer want it.	
	19	Q. The importance of that point and	
10:17	20	the way to go about pressing the office	
	21	manager	
	22	A. Uh-huh.	
	23	Q in getting the true reason,	
	24	is all of that conveyed to the relationship	

			41
	1	manager in their training and meetings?	
	2	A. It's well, it's kind of right	
	3	here actually in this document.	
	4	Q. It's spelled out here and you	
	5	would expect them to know it?	
	6	A. You would expect them to know.	
	7	They should read through this prior to	
	8	handling a cancel.	
	9	Q. I see. It says here, probe to	
10:17	10	get an answer; don't just accept that they	
	11	just don't want it, why don't they want it,	
	12	no sound, using something else. That's the	
	13	second sub-bullet, right?	
	14	A. Right.	
	15	Q. No sound is an example of a	
	16	reason that some practices give for wanting	
	17	to cancel, correct?	
	18	A. Correct.	
	19	Q. Does that refer to the absence	
10:18	20	or almost absence of sound in Patient Point's	
	21	content loops that get played on the screens	
	22	in doctor's offices?	
	23	A. Correct.	
	24	Q. Using something else, what does	

			42
	1	that mean?	
	2	A. Where are you at?	
	3	Q. The second sub-bullet under the	
	4	last check box.	
	5	A. Oh. If they're using another	
	6	program, television, their own materials or	
	7	own programming.	
	8	Q. The next sub-bullet says, ask	
	9	what they plan to use for patient education	
10:19	10	in the future. Is that a question that the	
	11	relationship managers are expected to ask, if	
	12	they can, in every instance?	
	13	A. If they can, yes. You know, I	
	14	don't expect them to every time. You don't	
	15	want to press the customer if you don't	
	16	have you know, if they're if they seem	
	17	like they're it's all about reading the	
	18	customer really, so if they don't feel that	
	19	this is something they're gonna get out of	
10:19	20	them, you know, they're not gonna ask the	
	21	question. I wouldn't expect them to.	
	22	Q. Uh-huh. That one's a little bit	
	23	tougher. You're really pressing them for	
	24	A. Right.	

			43
	1	Q a commitment about their	
	2	future	
	3	A. Right.	
	4	Q action.	
	5	A. Correct.	
	6	Q. The next sub-bullet says, if you	
	7	are switching to TV ask why. Will they let	
	8	the patients watch whatever they want.	
	9	Again, that's something that if the	
10:19	10	relationship manager can you expect them to	
	11	ask it.	
	12	A. Correct. All of these that are	
	13	listed here are basically if you can. It's	
	14	to give them suggestions, ideas on things	
	15	that they could ask to help find the reason.	
	16	Q. In a sense coming under the very	
	17	first sub-bullet that it's important to find	
	18	the true reason.	
	19	A. Right. It probably isn't	
10:20	20	outlined correctly. Should probably be	
	21	another sub-bullet underneath there.	
	22	Q. I'm not criticizing.	
	23	A. That's okay.	
	24	Q. The next sub-bullet is sort of a	

		44
	1	point of emphasis. Asking questions is the
	2	only way we can improve our programs by truly
	3	understanding why practices leave us.
	4	A. Correct.
	5	Q. Do you think that's a true
	6	statement?
	7	A. Yes.
	8	Q. And it's included here to
	9	motivate the relationship managers to help
10:20	10	the company by finding the true reason,
	11	right?
	12	A. Yes.
	13	Q. Do you find that your
	14	relationship managers are motivated to help
	15	the company?
	16	A. Yes.
	17	Q. Switching to a competitor. This
	18	is the next sub-bullet. Get the name of the
	19	company, list the name in comments and note
10:21	20	field and update competitor info on the
	21	general node. What is it about their program
	22	they like over ours? Generally does that
	23	describe questions to ask when the practice
	24	lets the relationship manager know that a

		45	5
	1	competitor patient education system is being	
	2	installed?	
	3	A. Correct.	
	4	Q. What's the general node?	
	5	A. It's just a field or a place	
	6	where information is stored in the database.	
	7	Q. Is it just competitor info or	
	8	A. No. Their address information	
	9	is there as well. Phone number. Fax.	
10:21	10	Things like that. General information.	
	11	Q. Does each location ID number	
	12	only have one general node?	
	13	A. Yes.	
	14	Q. And it's written over or updated	
	15	rather than being stored as a series of	
	16	different entries?	
	17	MR. BERNAY: Object to the form.	
	18	You can answer.	
	19	A. It's just it's basically	
10:22	20	fields so, yes, they can be updated. Address	
	21	information could be updated if they move or	
	22	fax. So things like that. Yes.	
	23	Q. When you say update competitor	
	24	info on the general node, what information	

			46
	1	about the competitor is updated there?	
	2	A. It's just the name of the	
	3	competitor competitor at the office.	
	4	Q. Any other information would be	
	5	in a normal	
	6	A. Comment.	
	7	Q CMS field?	
	8	A. It would any like this	
	9	information what they liked about theirs over	
10:22	10	ours would be in the comment. All of the	
	11	the only thing on the general node, that	
	12	field, is just the field name of the	
	13	competitor name.	
	14	Q. Then the final sub-bullet on	
	15	this page, the first page of Defendant's	
	16	Exhibit 209, says, their feedback is	
	17	important so we can continue to improve our	
	18	programs. Again, is that for emphasis and to	
	19	motivate the employees?	
10:23	20	A. Correct.	
	21	Q. Once the relationship manager	
	22	obtains all the information that he or she	
	23	can about the reason for canceling pursuant	
	24	this check box and the sub-bullet	

			47
	1	instructions here, are they instructed to	
	2	enter all of that information into CMS	
	3	comment fields?	
	4	A. Yes. They're supposed to enter	
	5	a comment summary of what took place so I	
	6	spoke to the practice, this is what I this	
	7	is what happened, this is what they told me,	
	8	and again it may be very vague in the sense	
	9	that they were not able to obtain all the,	
10:23	10	you know, information to all of these. It	
	11	comes back to reading the customer, probing	
	12	when you can without making them mad or upset	
	13	because you would like to think that these	
	14	customers could potentially be a customer	
	15	again in the future.	
	16	Q. Patient Point's instructions are	
	17	to enter into a CMS comment field all the	
	18	information that the relationship manager was	
	19	able to obtain, correct?	
10:24	20	A. Correct.	
	21	Q. And the instructions are to get	
	22	the best information possible under the	
	23	circumstances and understanding that practice	
	24	representatives are busy and might be annoyed	

		48
	1	by the call but wanting to press and find the
	2	true reason as much as possible, right?
	3	A. As much as possible, yes.
	4	Q. If you could look at the next
	5	page of Defendant's Exhibit 209, the first
	6	pointy bullet is that an arrow? Should I
	7	be calling that an arrow?
	8	A. Uh-huh.
	9	Q. The first arrow says, after all
10:24	10	cancel information is obtain proceed as
	11	follows. Are you with me?
	12	A. Yes.
	13	Q. The first subparagraph says RM
	14	will create WR-cancel-request order and
	15	reassign to Amy Finley. Does that reflect
	16	the workflow as it has been since you were
	17	managing practice relations?
	18	A. Yes.
	19	Q. And it's what we discussed
10:25	20	earlier.
	21	A. Yes.
	22	Q. There's a sub-point here, a
	23	little box bullet that says in italics, due
	24	to competitor, question mark, add in the name

			49
	1	in the notes field. Is that just a reminder	
	2	of some of the instructions from the prior	
	3	page?	
	4	A. Yes. To help me understand when	
	5	I put the competitor name with the cancel	
	6	reason code.	
	7	Q. So in addition to the	
	8	relationship manager updating the general	
	9	node with the competitor name, you also if	
10:26	10	you put competitor as one of the reasons	
	11	enter the competitor name in association with	
	12	the cancel code or the reason code?	
	13	MR. BERNAY: Object to the form.	
	14	You can answer.	
	15	A. The competitor name on the stage	
	16	nodes I don't believe is always updated	
	17	because it's a different place you have to go	
	18	in the database. To remember to have to do	
	19	that was a little bit more difficult than to	
10:26	20	put it in with the cancel order	
	21	Q. Uh-huh.	
	22	A that you're working where	
	23	your comments are. So again from my	
	24	standpoint for for it to be easier for me	

			50
	1	to try to understand who the competitor was	
	2	if they put it in this area I can locate it.	
	3	It's they're more likely to remember it.	
	4	Again, not always did they do that. I would	
	5	have to kind of read through the comments to	
	6	understand.	
	7	Q. When you say stage nodes, is	
	8	that another word for general node?	
	9	A. Yes.	
10:27	10	Q. Ideally, according to the WRN	
	11	cancel process, a relationship manager would	
	12	enter the name of the competitor in the	
	13	general node and would also add it in the	
	14	comments field and you would get it from both	
	15	those sources when you're picking a reason	
	16	code?	
	17	A. I would get it from the	
	18	comments. I didn't go to the general node.	
	19	Q. When a stutter. When a	
10:27	20	practice gives as its reason that it is	
	21	switching to a competitor and also gives	
	22	information about why it is switching to a	
	23	competitor and the reason why is covered by a	
	24	different reason code, how do you pick one?	

		51
	1	MR. BERNAY: Object to the form.
	2	You can answer if you understand the
	3	question.
	4	A. I'm not really sure I understand
	5	the question.
	6	Q. I'll march through the document
	7	and then maybe I'll be able to ask it better.
	8	Sorry for trying to speed up.
	9	A. Well no. I think that what
10:28	10	you're trying to say you can correct me
	11	is that sometimes a location may be moving
	12	and they're also switching to a competitor,
	13	so how do I determine if moving should be the
	14	reason or competitor should be the reason.
	15	Q. Uh-huh.
	16	A. So the way that I look at it,
	17	the practice relationship manager will
	18	express both in the comment but I look at
	19	that as, well, they moved but the real reason
10:29	20	why they're not going to reinstall us is
	21	because of the competitor. So if it's like
	22	that, if I can really find out the real
	23	reason on top of the move, then I'll put
	24	that, but if I can't and it just comes down

			52
	1	to, well, they're moving and they really	
	2	won't tell us any more as to why they're	
	3	switching then I end up with moving.	
	4	Q. Uh-huh.	
	5	A. So it's you know, they could	
	6	be moving and they decide they don't want	
	7	advertising in their office or they're	
	8	remodeling and they no longer want any	
	9	advertising. So I know that they don't want	
10:29	10	advertising so I would put advertising versus	
	11	remodeling because I know the real reason why	
	12	they're canceling.	
	13	Q. Because logically there's	
	14	nothing to keep them from reinstalling in the	
	15	new location or	
	16	A. Correct.	
	17	Q after the remodel.	
	18	A. Correct. And if there was then	
	19	that's when it would go to for cause which is	
10:29	20	how we would label those because that if	
	21	there's something with the building or	
	22	whatnot that won't allow them to reinstall	
	23	the equipment then we would put that reason.	
	24	Q. That's interesting. So when	

		53
1	it's moving, renovation, remodeling I'm	
2	sorry. When it's moving or remodeling,	
3	it's you're in essence saying that there's	
4	probably a different reason and it's either	
5	for cause if you can figure out that the move	
6	or the remodel really does prevent it or	
7	they're not telling you what the real reason	
8	is.	
9	MR. BERNAY: Object to the form.	
10	You can answer.	
11	A. For moving so moving decor,	
12	for example, is typically because when they	
13	move a lot of times they don't want to put	
14	anything new or put anything on the walls	
15	that are new. Like buying a new house.	
16	Don't want to hang that first picture so	
17	Q. So that's a real reason.	
18	A. So it's really a real reason but	
19	sometimes it's just we're moving and and	
20	we have a new office and it really just	
21	doesn't go with the aesthetics and so it can	
22	really be the true reason. But it's we're	
23	moving but we're also gonna reinstall this	
24	other program or we're just gonna go with a	

LITIGATION SUPPORT SERVICES, INC. Cincinnati, Ohio (513) 241-5605 / Dayton, Ohio (937) 224-1990

10:30

10:31

			54
	1	television which would be a competitor	
	2	Q. Then	
	3	A so	
	4	Q it'll be coded to competitor.	
	5	A. It would be coded to competitor	
	6	with the reas with the competitor name of	
	7	television.	
	8	Q. And just to be clear. We're	
	9	discussing the reason codes, some of them	
10:31	10	that are listed as sub-bullets on the second	
	11	page of Defendant's Exhibit 209 under the	
	12	first arrow paragraph four, subparagraph A,	
	13	all the way down in the little box	
	14	sub-bullets, right?	
	15	A. Yes.	
	16	Q. When you know it's a competitor	
	17	and you also get information about content or	
	18	advertising being related to the reason for	
	19	the switch, how do you deal with that	
10:32	20	situation?	
	21	A. If it was content and	
	22	advertising? Is that what you said?	
	23	Q. Maybe I should take them one at	
	24	a time?	

			55
	1	A. Can you repeat the question?	
	2	Q. Sure. If you receive from the	
	3	practice information that they are canceling	
	4	because they're going with a competitor	
	5	A. Uh-huh.	
	6	Q and you also receive from	
	7	that same practice information that they	
	8	don't like Patient Point's content	
	9	A. It would go to competitor.	
10:32	10	Q. What about does it ever	
	11	happen when it's a competitor but the office	
	12	also says that it's opposed to advertising?	
	13	A. Not necessarily because all of	
	14	our competitors have advertising as well.	
	15	Q. Although sometimes practices	
	16	have their own patient education system,	
	17	right?	
	18	A. Right. So that's where	
	19	Q. So that could happen.	
10:33	20	A. That could happen but we would	
	21	still choose the competitor as and	
	22	programming if if they're new because	
	23	that's one of the codes under competitor.	
	24	Q. Programming?	

			56
	1	A. Own programming.	
	2	Q. Oh.	
	3	A. If they make their own	
	4	programming internally.	
	5	Q. That would be in place of the	
	6	competitor name?	
	7	A. Correct.	
	8	Q. When you select competitor as	
	9	the reason code, is it mandatory to put in a	
10:33	10	name?	
	11	A. It is now.	
	12	Q. As of what time?	
	13	A. I believe it was I I	
	14	believe it was at the beginning of 2011	
	15	2010-2011 when I had them switch to where it	
	16	was a mandatory field to where it would stop	
	17	me to enter a name.	
	18	Q. The WRN cancel process document	
	19	on the second page appears to be set up for	
10:34	20	the relationship manager to enter the reason	
	21	code but the practice and policy is for them	
	22	to provide that information to you in the	
	23	comments field and then for you to enter the	
	24	reason code?	

			57
	1	A. Correct.	
	2	Q. Do you ever have conversations	
	3	with the practice let me start again. Do	
	4	you ever have conversations with the	
	5	relationship manager when you find multiple	
	6	reasons in a comment field and you're trying	
	7	to prioritize which one should be cancel	
	8	reason number one?	
	9	A. I typically can make that	
10:35	10	decision myself if there's two. Just kind	
	11	of like I said before, if it's usually	
	12	when there's two it's usually moving and	
	13	remodeling and something else. They may, as	
	14	you just pointed out before, make a comment	
	15	about our content but also state that they're	
	16	going to a competitor, so I know that I'm	
	17	gonna put competitor in there versus content.	
	18	So at the end of the day I'm making that	
	19	decision.	
10:35	20	Q. Is there also a cancel reason	
	21	two?	
	22	A. They have a spot at one point	
	23	they had a spot where they could actually put	
	24	this put both of these on here in the	

			58
	1	database. This isn't where the official	
	2	cancel code goes. So it was somebody that	
	3	was at Patient Point prior to me started	
	4	that. I don't really use it. It is in here,	
	5	listed in here, but this isn't something	
	6	that's generally used or really required. I	
	7	don't pay attention to it.	
	8	Again, I look at their comments	
	9	because I get more out of that than anything	
10:36	10	because again if they're putting multiple	
	11	reasons I really want to understand why or	
	12	what they are. So essentially you're	
	13	pointing out that I really need to update	
	14	this process.	
	15	Q. That's not my intent. So let me	
	16	make sure I understand.	
	17	A. Uh-huh.	
	18	Q. Under on the second page of	
	19	Defendant's Exhibit 209 under the first	
10:37	20	arrow, subparagraph four, sub-subparagraph A,	
	21	cancel reason, there's a bullet that says	
	22	please list the final reason for the cancel	
	23	in the cancel reason one drop down box as the	
	24	reason may change as you learn more original	

		59
	1	reason can go under cancel reason two. Below
	2	is the list of reason codes available. Well,
	3	first, did I read that correctly?
	4	A. You read that correctly.
	5	Q. And do I understand you
	6	correctly that since you started being in
	7	charge of this process in 2009 you have
	8	entered one cancel reason as the reason code
	9	and not put in a cancel reason two?
10:38	10	A. Correct. I haven't for the
	11	official again, this field is still there.
	12	This is still available within the database.
	13	People can enter information in there. It's
	14	not to say that they can't. But myself when
	15	I officially cancel the site from a program I
	16	put in they can switch the stage from
	17	active to canceled with the reason and if the
	18	reason is competitor then the name of the
	19	competitor.
10:38	20	Q. And if I understand you
	21	correctly, the the reason given in this
	22	sub-bullet doesn't apply because you wait
	23	until the cancel is final and all the
	24	information that's available is in the

		60
	1	comment field before you pick a reason one so
	2	there's no reason to have a reason two. Did
	3	I
	4	MR. BERNAY: Object to the
	5	Q understand you correctly?
	6	A. I wouldn't
	7	MR. BERNAY: Object to the form.
	8	You can answer.
	9	A. I wouldn't really necessarily
10:39	10	say that. Again, I basically they enter
	11	in a comment giving the information as to why
	12	they canceled. I review that comment and I
	13	put in they moved from that they moved
	14	from active to cancelled with the reason.
	15	They may have more than one reason and they
	16	may have put them in here under this field as
	17	cancel reason one, cancel reason two
	18	originally when they because that was the
	19	way that it was set up before I started it
10:39	20	that way, I was finding that that cancel one,
	21	cancel two was not necessarily the real
	22	reason. Like I said, I want to be the final
	23	decision maker on which one should be over
	24	top the other so therefore I would just

		61
	1	review the comments to determine the actual
	2	reason code.
	3	Q. And you can put your final
	4	decision in cancel reason one.
	5	A. No. I would actually that
	6	again, that's what I mean. This is a part of
	7	the database that's like not really utilized.
	8	That I put that under the stage code. So
	9	I change the stage code. Underneath the
10:40	10	stage code you change it to cancelled. When
	11	you do that then the field popul or opens
	12	up for me to allow me to answer the or enter
	13	the reason why they cancelled. Then if it's
	14	a competitor then another field opens up that
	15	allows me to enter the name of the competitor
	16	which isn't on here because that's my process
	17	and I don't really have my process
	18	documented.
	19	Q. Are you aware of relationship
10:40	20	managers putting in information under the
	21	fields that are shown as a little picture on
	22	HAN 005789 that are labeled cancel reason one
	23	and cancel reason two?
	24	A. I'm sure that they have.

					62
	1		Q.	And that's probably changed over	
	2	time?			
	3		Α.	Yes.	
	4		Q.	Would that vary by the	
	5	indivi	dual r	elationship manager?	
	6		Α.	Most likely.	
	7			MR. HANKINSON: I feel like	
	8	you've	been		
	9			MR. BERNAY: Yeah. I've been	
10:41	10	trying	to ge	t a break in here. We've been	
	11	going a	about	an hour so let's take a break.	
	12			THE WITNESS: I would rather	
	13	finish	this,	this document.	
	14			MR. BERNAY: I just I need	
	15	I need	to		
	16			THE WITNESS: Okay.	
	17			VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the	
	18	record	•		
	19	(Br	eak ta	ken.)	
10:47	20			VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the	
	21	record	•		
	22			MR. HANKINSON: Have you ever	
	23	seen a	repor	t of the data that is in CMS for	
	24	the car	ncel r	eason one and cancel reason two	

		63	3
	1	that are shown in the little picture on HAN	
	2	005789?	
	3	MR. BERNAY: Object to the form.	
	4	You can answer.	
	5	A. No, I don't recall seeing a	
	6	report	
	7	Q. Is there	
	8	A on those two reasons.	
	9	Q. If you asked Ms. Gustin to	
10:48	10	create a report, could she do that?	
	11	A. Yes.	
	12	Q. Could she create a report that	
	13	has as one column the location ID number, as	
	14	another column the location name, as another	
	15	column the date on which the cancel reasons	
	16	were created and then as another column	
	17	cancel reason one and another column cancel	
	18	reason two?	
	19	A. I believe so. Sounds logical.	
10:48	20	Q. Based on your understanding of	
	21	CMS and the reports that you've asked to be	
	22	run and reviewed in your work you believe	
	23	that that's possible?	
	24	A. Yes.	

			64
	1	Q. Would the information in those	
	2	cancel reason one and cancel reason two	
	3	fields be duplicated in other fields	
	4	automatically?	
	5	MR. BERNAY: Object to the form.	
	6	You can answer.	
	7	A. In cancel reason one and cancel	
	8	reason two would they be duplicated	
	9	somewhere?	
10:49	10	Q. For instance in the comments	
	11	field or any other field or would they exist	
	12	in that particular field alone?	
	13	A. Well, this is just a drop down	
	14	field of the reason codes I believe.	
	15	Q. Uh-huh.	
	16	A. So if any if this information	
	17	was duplicated in the comment it would be	
	18	manually keyed.	
	19	Q. Uh-huh. And there's no reason	
10:49	20	to believe that that happened?	
	21	A. What?	
	22	Q. That somebody manually keyed a	
	23	duplicate of each and every reason code given	
	24	in cancel reason one and cancel reason two	

			65
	1	into a common field.	
	2	MR. BERNAY: Object to the form.	
	3	A. They could have manually keyed	
	4	in the reason code? Or the I mean, they	
	5	could type in the reason code technical or	
	6	advertising or competitor, canceling due to	
	7	whatever, however they put it in the comment,	
	8	so that same word could be duplicated? I'm	
	9	not sure if I understand what you're	
10:50	10	Q. Well, you're saying	
	11	A meaning by duplicate.	
	12	Q. You're saying it could be. I'm	
	13	saying I'm asking you is it always?	
	14	A. Oh, I would say no because I	
	15	don't know that they always even fill out	
	16	cancel reason one and cancel reason two.	
	17	Q. They're not instructed to put a	
	18	cancel reason that they have selected from	
	19	these drop-down menus in their comment	
10:50	20	fields, right?	
	21	A. Right.	
	22	Q. So if somebody did that it would	
	23	be of their own idea?	
	24	A. They're instructed to put the	

			66
	1	summary	
	2	Q. Uh-huh.	
	3	A with the cancel reason so in	
	4	that case then, yes, they would if they're	
	5	putting the correct summary, if they're	
	6	summarizing their comment properly, then	
	7	they're putting in the reason which that	
	8	reason would also potentially be in this	
	9	reason one or reason two if they completed	
10:51	10	that portion in the database.	
	11	Q. There could be a one to one	
	12	relationship between these cancel reason one	
	13	and cancel reason two drop downs and the	
	14	reasons listed in the summary but there could	
	15	be differences between those two, right?	
	16	MR. BERNAY: Objection.	
	17	Q. We just don't know.	
	18	A. There could be differences.	
	19	There could be lots of things. Like I said,	
10:51	20	I don't really recall how many people	
	21	utilized this particular fields in the	
	22	database.	
	23	Q. Whenever there's a competitor	
	24	that is replacing Patient Point's system in a	

			67
	1	practice's waiting room, does competitor	
	2	trump whatever other reasons there may be for	
	3	the cancel such that competitor is what you	
	4	enter as the reason code?	
	5	A. I look at each one individually	
	6	and depending on what the reasons are	
	7	determine which one trumps the other.	
	8	Typically, yes, competitor will trump moving	
	9	or content, remodeling.	
10:52	10	Q. Can you think of an instance in	
	11	which a competitor has switched out Patient	
	12	Point's waiting room system but you selected	
	13	something other than competitor as the reason	
	14	code?	
	15	A. I do not recall. No.	
	16	Q. Do you suspect that you've done	
	17	that a lot or would that be pretty rare?	
	18	A. They would be pretty rare	
	19	looking at the reason codes.	
10:53	20	Q. If a practice told the	
	21	relationship manager that Patient Point's	
	22	content was simple to the point of being	
	23	demeaning to the patients and told the	
	24	relationship manager that they were switching	

			68
	1	to Health Monitor and those comments were	
	2	reflected in the summary that the	
	3	relationship manager put into CMS, which	
	4	reason code would you pick: Content or	
	5	competitor?	
	6	A. Competitor.	
	7	MR. BERNAY: Objection.	
	8	Q. Can you picture a comment about	
	9	Patient Point's content that would trump	
10:54	10	competitor as the reason code ever or if it's	
	11	content and competitor the reason code is	
	12	competitor?	
	13	MR. BERNAY: Object to the form.	
	14	You can answer.	
	15	A. If I know it's competitor I'm	
	16	going to pick competitor because	
	17	understanding that they switched to a	
	18	competitor I believe is more valuable than	
	19	noting the content comment.	
10:54	20	Q. What about service issue? Would	
	21	the same be true if a practice gave	
	22	information that they had a negative	
	23	experience due to service but also indicated	
	24	that they were switching to a competitor?	

		69
	1	A. You would switch competitor or
	2	would I'd choose competitor knowing that I
	3	had the service comment in there. The same
	4	thing would be content. I still have that
	5	information about that practice
	6	Q. Right.
	7	A in the comment.
	8	Q. And what about technical issue,
	9	if a practice had experienced a negative
10:55	10	circumstance due to equipment failure and
	11	also indicated that it was switching to a
	12	competitor, would you in every case choose
	13	competitor as the cancel reason?
	14	A. I would switch I would choose
	15	competitor.
	16	Q. As you pointed out though, the
	17	other information is in the comment field
	18	that you're basing your reason code decision
	19	on, right?
10:55	20	A. Right.
	21	Q. So in a sense you are selecting
	22	the number one reason in your mind in terms
	23	of the importance for reason code tracking to
	24	get the reports to the executive level but

		7	0
	1	there's, you know, a more nuanced version of	
	2	the reasons that a practice leaves in the	
	3	comments field.	
	4	A. Correct.	
	5	Q. Do you have an opinion based on	
	6	your experience and Patient Point's policies	
	7	about which is the better information about	
	8	why a practice left between the reason code	
	9	that you've selected and the comments field	
10:56	10	that people have entered?	
	11	MR. BERNAY: Objection. Object	
	12	to the form. You can answer.	
	13	A. Not sure I understand. I	
	14	don't I don't really quite understand what	
	15	you're asking so	
	16	Q. Sure.	
	17	A repeat that again.	
	18	Q. Do you report to Kimberly	
	19	Theiss?	
10:57	20	A. Correct.	
	21	Q. If Ms. Theiss came to you and	
	22	said I would like to know the best	
	23	information that the company has about why	
	24	practice XYZ in Chicago decided to cancel.	

			71
	1	Do you follow my	
	2	A. Yes.	
	3	Q hypothetical so far?	
	4	A. Yes.	
	5	Q. Do you have an opinion about	
	6	whether the reason code that you've selected	
	7	from the drop-down list or the information	
	8	that's entered into the CMS comment fields	
	9	would be better suited to what Ms. Theiss was	
10:57	10	asking?	
	11	MR. BERNAY: Objection. You can	
	12	answer.	
	13	A. I think that you could get value	
	14	from both. You know, we look at the reason	
	15	codes as ways to understand why practices may	
	16	leave. If you wanted to drill down further	
	17	one step further as to why say, for instance,	
	18	they said competitor to try to look at the	
	19	comment to understand more as to why they	
10:58	20	chose that competitor, was it because of the	
	21	content, was it because they were having	
	22	service issues, was it because of that, you	
	23	could drill down if the relationship	
	24	manager was able to get that information, you	

			72
	1	know, you could get that from the comment but	
	2	just trying to do a straightforward here's	
	3	why someone cancelled, the reason code one is	
	4	what I would use.	
	5	Q. The reason code that you enter	
	6	is recorded as some sub part of what you	
	7	called the stage code, is that right?	
	8	A. Correct.	
	9	Q. If you asked Ms. Gustin to	
10:58	10	create a report by practice location number,	
	11	practice location name, and then reason code,	
	12	would she be able to run that report for you?	
	13	A. Yes.	
	14	Q. Going back to Defendant's	
	15	Exhibit 209 on the second page which is	
	16	marked HAN 005789 under sub sub-paragraph	
	17	four it says the RM manager is required to	
	18	fill in the following fields, and cancel	
	19	reason is subparagraph A, the first thing	
10:59	20	that they're supposed to enter, right?	
	21	A. That's what it says.	
	22	Q. And we've already discussed what	
	23	the actual practice is. Looking to the other	
	24	sub-subparagraphs B, C and D it says that	

			73
	1	they are also to enter the save strategy,	
	2	used to track how the location is being	
	3	saved; the requestor name, name of person	
	4	requesting to cancel the program; and the	
	5	requestor title, name of person requesting to	
	6	cancel the program's title. Are those pieces	
	7	of information things that the relationship	
	8	managers are supposed to enter in the	
	9	comments field?	
11:00	10	A. They should enter in their	
	11	comments what they did to try to save the	
	12	location, who they spoke with. All of that	
	13	again, yes, would be captured in the	
	14	comments.	
	15	Q. Are any of those things that you	
	16	would then reenter or would the comments	
	17	field be the source of that information?	
	18	A. The comments field would be the	
	19	source.	
11:00	20	Q. Is the picture that comes just	
	21	before paragraph five on HAN 005789 a screen	
	22	shot of some sort of CMS?	
	23	A. Yes.	
	24	Q. Is this whole picture a part of	

			74
	1	the system that's not used as a matter of	
	2	policy but may be used on an individual	
	3	basis?	
	4	A. This pending save tab, yes.	
	5	Q. When a relationship manager is	
	6	logged into CMS, do they see a screen with	
	7	these five tabs available: Targeting,	
	8	enrolling, installing, active, and pending	
	9	save?	
11:01	10	A. There is a part in the database,	
	11	yes, that they can go to to see that	
	12	information.	
	13	Q. Are any of these tabs used as a	
	14	matter of policy and instruction or are they	
	15	all more informal than that?	
	16	A. The targeting is informal.	
	17	Enrolling, installing is act is actual	
	18	process. Active isn't. There's nothing	
	19	entered on the active. It's just a flow to	
11:02	20	show that it starts from targeting to	
	21	enrolling, installing, active.	
	22	Q. Meaning if you click on active	
	23	there's no information to enter underneath	
	24	it?	

		75
	1	A. There's information there. I
	2	don't think there's anything to enter under
	3	that stage.
	4	Q. Does pending save refer to the
	5	status of a practice after it has told
	6	Patient Point that it would like to cancel
	7	but before the cancel has actually happened?
	8	A. Yes.
	9	Q. Looking at subparagraph five it
11:03	10	says, do not click the cancel program radio
	11	button on the right-hand side of the save
	12	tab. Is that a button that you click when
	13	it's final or is that just something that's
	14	not used?
	15	A. I do not click that. I believe
	16	that if you probably can you click that
	17	it must do something that we don't want it to
	18	do which is why it's stated there.
	19	Q. Okay.
11:03	20	A. But, no, my field is located on
	21	a different portion of the database to where
	22	you're
	23	Q. And by my field you mean the
	24	field that's visible when you enter the

			76
	1	cancel reason the cancel the reason	
	2	code?	
	3	A. Right. When I switch it from	
	4	active to cancel with entering the reason and	
	5	then the competitor reason.	
	6	Q. That's a different part of the	
	7	database?	
	8	A. It's gonna above is it's	
	9	in the stage node. Kind of hard to describe.	
11:04	10	Q. Is this series of five tabs a	
	11	different node?	
	12	A. No. It's under the same stage	
	13	node.	
	14	Q. When this WRN cancel process was	
	15	first communicated to the relationship	
	16	managers, was there training to go along with	
	17	it?	
	18	A. I think they just read through	
	19	the process.	
11:04	20	Q. Is it discussed at a team	
	21	meeting?	
	22	A. When it was originally probably	
	23	presented, yes, but as new people come on	
	24	board it's more of just training people and	

			77
	1	they just shadow existing relationship	
	2	managers.	
	3	Q. Uh-huh. It's part of their	
	4	on-the-job training?	
	5	A. Right. They're right.	
	6	They they have a manual. They use this as	
	7	a reference.	
	8	Q. When the WRN cancel process was	
	9	first communicated to relationship managers,	
11:05	10	did they have an opportunity to ask any	
	11	questions that they had about it?	
	12	A. I'm sure they did. Yes.	
	13	Q. And if they had asked questions	
	14	it would have been clarified to them?	
	15	A. Correct.	
	16	Q. If you flip to the fourth page	
	17	that's marked HAN 005791, there's some	
	18	handwriting at the top that says save talking	
	19	points. Do you see that?	
11:06	20	A. Yes.	
	21	Q. Is that your handwriting?	
	22	A. Yes.	
	23	Q. Was this version of the WRN	
	24	cancel process collected from you?	

			78
	1	A. Yes.	
	2	Q. And when it was collected from	
	3	you was HAN 005791 and the pages that follow	
	4	it attached in some way to the WRN cancel	
	5	process document that's three pages long?	
	6	A. They were in the same manual.	
	7	Q. Do they appear after one another	
	8	in the manual or is there something in	
	9	between them?	
11:06	10	A. I don't recall the order. I	
	11	provided them because we say that in the	
	12	beginning that we use save talking points.	
	13	These were the documents that we use for save	
	14	talking points.	
	15	Q. On the first page of Defendant's	
	16	Exhibit 209 in the first arrow it says	
	17	relationship manager attempts to save the	
	18	location by referencing save talking points	
	19	in their manual, and that refers to the save	
11:07	20	talking points that are on page HAN 005791.	
	21	Do I have that right?	
	22	A. Correct.	
	23	Q. Are there different save talking	
	24	points depending on which competitor or if	

			79
	1	there's no competitor?	
	2	A. No. These these are the only	
	3	saves that close to competitor specific	
	4	would be these fact sheets that we have.	
	5	Q. Uh-huh.	
	6	A. Otherwise it's more information	
	7	about our content and speaking to, you know,	
	8	how our programs developed and, you know,	
	9	what's provided and things like that.	
11:07	10	Q. So after a practice said that it	
	11	would like to cancel but before the	
	12	cancellation becomes final the talking points	
	13	on pages HAN 005791, 92, and 93	
	14	A. 93.	
	15	Q are consulted as part of the	
	16	WRN cancel process, right?	
	17	A. Or even 94 and 95. Right.	
	18	Q. 94 and 95 which are titled	
	19	handling objections.	
11:08	20	A. Right.	
	21	Q. Those five pages are the save	
	22	talking points.	
	23	A. Correct. Well, actually the	
	24	e-mail is we utilize the e-mail sample as	

			80
	1	well as a taking point.	
	2	Q. On	
	3	A. Everything from this point where	
	4	it says save talking points on is can be	
	5	utilized as a resource.	
	6	Q. For the save talking points?	
	7	A. For the save talking points.	
	8	Q. All the way through HAN 005797?	
	9	A. Yes.	
11:09	10	Q. Looking at HAN 005791, the first	
	11	page of the saved talking points, it	
	12	references rheumatology waiting room, right?	
	13	A. Yes.	
	14	Q. The far left column is kind of a	
	15	category of information column, right?	
	16	A. Yes.	
	17	Q. And then the middle column	
	18	Healthy Advice rheumatology.	
	19	A. Yes.	
11:09	20	Q. And so that fills in what the	
	21	information is for Patient Point's own system	
	22	for the type of information that's being	
	23	listed?	
	24	A. Correct.	

			81
	1	Q. Then the column on the far	
	2	right, is that specific to the Rheumatoid	
	3	Health Network?	
	4	A. Yes.	
	5	Q. And that's put out by Context	
	6	Media, right?	
	7	A. Correct.	
	8	Q. Under the row accountable for	
	9	content in the Healthy Advice column it says	
11:10	10	yes and in the Rheumatoid Health Network	
	11	column it says no. What does that mean?	
	12	MR. BERNAY: Hold on. I'm just	
	13	gonna note that HAN 5791 and 5792 were	
	14	previously produced on, I believe, as HAN 002	
	15	and HAN 0 and HAN 0024 and Mr. O'Brien did	
	16	ask questions of Ms. Finley of at least one	
	17	of these two documents at her deposition, so	
	18	again, I'll let Ms. Finley answer this	
	19	question but although this was produced	
11:11	20	after March 26th, this is a document that	
	21	actually did appear previously in production.	
	22	A. The accountable for content	
	23	because Healthy Advice actually produces and	
	24	writes their content where Context Media	

			82
	1	content is purchased.	
	2	Q. What is Patient Point's basis	
	3	for saying that RHN is not accountable for	
	4	content that it has purchased?	
	5	A. If their content is purchased	
	6	they're not necessarily liable for the	
	7	content. The person that produced it is	
	8	accountable for that content.	
	9	Q. It's a legal opinion about who	
11:12	10	would be liable if someone was	
	11	A. No.	
	12	MR. BERNAY: Object to the form.	
	13	A. I'm not saying that. I'm not	
	14	saying that. Basically what we were trying	
	15	to produce state here is that we take	
	16	we're fully accountable for our content. It	
	17	was more so about ours and saying no for	
	18	rheumatology, we don't know 100 percent if	
	19	they are or not.	
11:12	20	Q. Did anyone ask RHN or Context	
	21	Media if they consider themselves fully	
	22	accountable before no was put in this column?	
	23	A. We reviewed their website.	
	24	Q. Is that the full basis for this	

			83
	1	fact	
	2	MR. BERNAY: All right. I'm	
	3	gonna I'm gonna instruct Ms. Finley not to	
	4	answer any more questions related to 5791 and	
	5	5792 simply because they were produced prior.	
	6	This is her second deposition. It goes to	
	7	documents that were produced after	
	8	March 26th. These are simply included for	
	9	as part of what was provided to counsel for	
11:13	10	production. Mr. O'Brien did ask Ms. Finley	
	11	questions about this document and again given	
	12	our understanding of what what was gonna	
	13	happen here today, I don't I don't want to	
	14	labor over a document that has already	
	15	been has been previously produced.	
	16	MR. HANKINSON: Is that a	
	17	forward going instruction? She answered this	
	18	question.	
	19	MR. BERNAY: Correct.	
11:13	20	MR. HANKINSON: And did you get	
	21	the answer to that question?	
	22	THE REPORTER: (Nodded	
	23	affirmatively.)	
	24	MR. HANKINSON: Would you please	

			84
	1	refer to HAN 005794 and 95? What's the	
	2	purpose of this document titled handling	
	3	objections?	
	4	A. This document was originally	
	5	created for the sales team and was basically	
	6	reutilized for the relationship management	
	7	team.	
	8	Q. By putting it in their manual?	
	9	A. Correct.	
11:14	10	Q. And what is it used for by	
	11	relationship managers?	
	12	A. As another resource for save	
	13	talking points or talking points in general.	
	14	Q. Please take a look under the	
	15	heading probing that may help at the third	
	16	bullet from the bottom where it states, we	
	17	know that you're all about compliance and so	
	18	are we. Our patient education outweighs	
	19	sponsoring far more than any other PE	
11:15	20	programs. Is that statement made as a	
	21	suggestion for what a relationship manager	
	22	might say in their save talking points to a	
	23	practice representative?	
	24	A. This is again just here as a	

			85
	1	resource not necessarily what they would	
	2	state.	
	3	Q. It's phrased informally as a we	
	4	know that you are all about compliance. Is	
	5	that essentially like a script statement	
	6	that's there for them as a resource if they	
	7	want to use it?	
	8	A. Again, this was originally	
	9	scripted for the sales team that we just	
11:16	10	repurposed for the relationship management	
	11	team, so I wouldn't state that this was	
	12	something that we wanted them to say	
	13	necessarily and quite frankly I'm not even	
	14	familiar with this phrase. I mean, I oh,	
	15	sorry. I mean, I'm I'm trying to	
	16	understand really myself what the meaning	
	17	behind this phrase was.	
	18	Q. Uh-huh. Well, that is what I	
	19	was gonna ask you.	
11:16	20	A. Well, I I don't have the	
	21	answer for this. I did not write this	
	22	document.	
	23	Q. Do you know who originally wrote	
	24	it?	

			86
	1	A. It's been so long I the	
	2	person that wrote it I'm gonna say could be	
	3	Jill Brewer but because she was over the	
	4	sales team.	
	5	Q. Do you know about when this	
	6	document began being used by the sales team?	
	7	A. No, I don't.	
	8	Q. Do you know about when this	
	9	document began to be repurposed as part of	
11:17	10	the save talking points?	
	11	A. We've probably had this in our	
	12	manual since 2010 at least.	
	13	Q. Who made the decision to include	
	14	it in the save talking points in the manual	
	15	for the WRN cancel process?	
	16	A. I did.	
	17	Q. I understand that you're saying	
	18	it was put in there as a resource. Am I	
	19	correct in understanding that to mean that it	
11:18	20	is there as something that a relationship	
	21	manager can read and understand and use to	
	22	the extent that they see fit in talking with	
	23	a practice representative?	
	24	A. Yes.	

			87
	1	Q. Do you know what compliance	
	2	means in the bullet point that I read	
	3	earlier?	
	4	A. Compliance. Not in this case,	
	5	no.	
	6	Q. Just brainstorming. Something	
	7	to do with like health regulatory compliance	
	8	in trying to develop some camaraderie. Does	
	9	that ring any bells?	
11:19	10	A. I	
	11	MR. BERNAY: Objection to the	
	12	form.	
	13	A. I don't want to make any	
	14	assumptions.	
	15	Q. As Patient Point's designee to	
	16	give testimony about this document, the	
	17	company's best information at this time is	
	18	that it does not know what compliance means.	
	19	Do I have that correct?	
11:19	20	MR. BERNAY: Object to the form.	
	21	A. In this in this document	
	22	here, no.	
	23	Q. The handling objections document	
	24	that is two pages long is currently a part of	

		88	}
	1	Patient Point's WRN cancel process in that	
	2	the relationship managers are to reference it	
	3	as a resource for their save talking points	
	4	under that process, right?	
	5	A. They can utilize this as a	
	6	resource.	
	7	Q. And the rest of my question was	
	8	correct as well?	
	9	A. What was the rest of your	
11:19	10	question? I apologize.	
	11	Q. Sure. The handling objections	
	12	document that's on HAN 005794 and 95, is	
	13	currently a part of Patient Point's save	
	14	talking points that are referred to in the	
	15	WRN cancel process, correct?	
	16	A. Correct.	
	17	Q. Looking further at the third	
	18	bullet from the bottom of the HAN 005794 the	
	19	second sentence says, our patient education	
11:20	20	outweighs sponsoring far more than any other	
	21	PE programs. PE is patient education, right?	
	22	A. Correct.	
	23	Q. Do you know what it means to say	
	24	that Patient Point's patient education	

			89
	1	outweighs sponsoring more than another	
	2	program?	
	3	A. I believe what that statement is	
	4	made and only because I've used this in	
	5	other references that the fact that the	
	6	program is sponsored the value of the patient	
	7	education should mean more to the practice	
	8	than the advertising sponsors.	
	9	Q. When you said you've used this	
11:21	10	in other references, what were you referring	
	11	to?	
	12	A. In cases where we've had	
	13	practices state that they love the program	
	14	but they don't like the advertising.	
	15	Q. There's a distinction in this	
	16	bullet point between advertising and	
	17	sponsorship?	
	18	A. Sponsorship, advertisers to me	
	19	are the same.	
11:22	20	Q. Okay.	
	21	A. The advertising they're the	
	22	sponsors of the program the advertisers. So	
	23	there's sponsor's advertisement is in the	
	24	program so that's the sponsorship.	

			90
	1	Q. Uh-huh. When you say that	
	2	because the patient education system is	
	3	sponsored, the value of the patient education	
	4	system to the patients would be more than	
	5	other advertising, I didn't quite understand	
	6	that.	
	7	A. No. To the to the practice.	
	8	So if a practice is liking our program but	
	9	yet wants to cancel or doesn't like the	
11:22	10	doesn't like the advertising portion of the	
	11	program, we try to reiterate the fact that	
	12	the value of the patient education that	
	13	they're receiving for free should outweigh	
	14	the fact that they have sponsors.	
	15	Q. More than competitors?	
	16	A. More than competitors.	
	17	Q. Just finishing the bullet point	
	18	that the value of Patient Point's system to	
	19	the practice that it gets for free outweighs	
11:23	20	the sponsoring you know, the fact that	
	21	it's sponsored, the advertisements, far more	
	22	than any other PE programs. I was assuming	
	23	that that was a reference to competitors.	
	24	A. No. There's patient education	

			91
	1	programs that people can buy and purchase	
	2	content. I don't believe that was a direct	
	3	to competitors.	
	4	Q. Are they all sponsored?	
	5	A. There was points where there was	
	6	people that could buy patient education and	
	7	can buy things online. You can buy	
	8	brochures. Things like that. So the fact	
	9	that you're getting this free program that's	
11:24	10	sponsored, you know, we're trying to say it	
	11	was outweighing the fact that that it	
	12	should outweigh the sponsorship should	
	13	outweigh that more so than other patient	
	14	education programs I would believe in this	
	15	case because sometimes you have to purchase	
	16	patient education which can be costly. We're	
	17	providing it to you for free. There's	
	18	sponsorship that comes along with it but	
	19	that's how we're able to provide it for free,	
11:24	20	so you should be able to see the benefit of	
	21	that over having to purchase content. I	
	22	believe this was more towards purchasing	
	23	patient education than competitor.	
	24	MR. HANKINSON: I'd like to mark	

			92
	1	this as Defendant's Exhibit 210.	
	2	(Exhibit 210 identified.)	
	3	Q. Please take a moment and	
	4	familiarize yourself with this and let me	
	5	know when you're ready.	
	6	A. Okay. I'm ready.	
	7	Q. What is Defendant's Exhibit 210?	
	8	A. This is the cancel process.	
	9	Q. Do you know whether this version	
11:26	10	of the cancel process was from before or	
	11	after the one that is Defendant's	
	12	Exhibit 209?	
	13	A. These are the same process.	
	14	Q. Did you review these two	
	15	versions of the document prior to the	
	16	deposition?	
	17	A. Yes.	
	18	Q. And you confirmed that they're	
	19	word for word?	
11:26	20	A. I did not confirm they were word	
	21	for word but they're	
	22	Q. If you could flip to the third	
	23	page.	
	24	A. Uh-huh.	

		93
	1	Q. The last line of text says
	2	updated November 4th, 2010 and if you look at
	3	the third page of Defendant's Exhibit 209
	4	that same line says updated March 24th, 2014.
	5	A. Correct.
	6	Q. Does this confirm your
	7	recollection that this cancel process was not
	8	substantively updated between 2010 and the
	9	current day?
11:27	10	A. Correct. This printed this
	11	one with the updated 3/24/2014 was printed
	12	out from the manual. The $11/4/2010$ was taken
	13	directly from a printed version of the
	14	manual.
	15	Q. There's an electronic version of
	16	the manual?
	17	A. Well, every document is saved
	18	electronically. So I originally printed it
	19	and then was then it was then also
11:28	20	provided or pulled directly from the manual.
	21	Q. Uh-huh. Now, if you flip to the
	22	next page HAN 005860 in Defendant's
	23	Exhibit 210 that page and following one
	24	ending in 61 are a different brochure of some

			94
	1	sort, correct?	
	2	A. Correct.	
	3	Q. What can you explain?	
	4	A. So this was a sales piece that	
	5	was used back in 2010 and I believe 2009 that	
	6	we put in the manual to use as a saved	
	7	talking point at that time.	
	8	Q. Do you know when the other save	
	9	talking points from Defense Exhibit 209 were	
11:29	10	used instead of this one?	
	11	A. I don't know the exact date when	
	12	these were added. The rheumatology facts	
	13	sheets would have been added in 2011. That's	
	14	when they were produced. I don't think we	
	15	could any of us could recall exactly when	
	16	this was put into the manual. It was	
	17	whenever we come across documents that we	
	18	felt would be utilized by this sale by the	
	19	relationship managers as a resource we would	
11:30	20	add them to the manual, hence the reason for	
	21	they've kind of grown a little bit since the	
	22	last time	
	23	Q. Uh-huh.	
	24	A it was originally developed.	

		95
	1	Q. The brochure that's at the end
	2	of Defense Exhibit 210 uses the term
	3	rheumatology network. Patient Point no
	4	longer uses that term for its network, right?
	5	A. We with still use the
	6	rheumatology.
	7	Q. Does that refer to ACN?
	8	A. Or arthritis care. Yes.
	9	You're right. Sorry.
11:30	10	Q. So not to belabor the point but
	11	that network was once called rheumatology
	12	network and is now called arthritis care
	13	network?
	14	A. It's called arthritis care
	15	network. It's also referred to internally as
	16	rheumatology network. I think that's what my
	17	confusion came. A lot of times we will refer
	18	to programs by the specialty internally.
	19	Q. But this as a as an example
11:31	20	of sales collateral this would be out of
	21	date?
	22	A. Yes. This is out of date.
	23	(Exhibit 211 identified.)
	24	Q. I'm handing you what we're

		9	96
	1	marking as Defendant's Exhibit 211. Have you	
	2	seen this document before?	
	3	A. Yes.	
	4	Q. Is this a fax that was drafted	
	5	to send to particular practices that	
	6	subscribe to Healthy Advice's networks?	
	7	A. This is not a fax. This is a	
	8	team agenda topics that we were to discuss	
	9	and in reviewing this we talked about a fax	
11:32	10	and e-mail that was going out to practices	
	11	and that gave them basically talking points	
	12	to reference if they were to get inbound	
	13	calls regarding the e-mail and fax.	
	14	Q. The second and third page of	
	15	Defense Exhibit 211 are a script, right?	
	16	A. Yes.	
	17	Q. The team that was meeting is	
	18	that practice relations and field sales?	
	19	A. Field sales support.	
11:33	20	Q. Was that a sort of a joint	
	21	team meeting?	
	22	A. It's yes.	
	23	Q. Do they always meet together?	
	24	A. No. I don't No, they don't	

		97
	1	always meet together. Actually, I think that
	2	was my title header. The teammates that were
	3	invited was just PR.
	4	Q. Uh-huh.
	5	A. So that's the practice relations
	6	team which is now known as the relationship
	7	managers or relationship management team.
	8	Q. The fax and e-mail that this
	9	refers to did not mention Context Media by
11:34	10	name, right?
	11	A. Correct.
	12	Q. But they were sent out as a
	13	response to what Patient Point thought it was
	14	seeing from Context Media in the field,
	15	right?
	16	A. It was sent out in response to
	17	that, yes.
	18	Q. The script that's part of
	19	Defendant's Exhibit 211 is a training piece
11:34	20	to prepare the relationship managers to field
	21	questions about that fax and e-mail, right?
	22	A. Correct.
	23	Q. The expectation of Patient Point
	24	was that a certain number of representatives

			98
	1	from practices would call in, ask about the	
	2	e-mail and fax and right?	
	3	A. Yes. Whenever you send anything	
	4	to a practice you're typically going to get	
	5	at least one phone call.	
	6	Q. Was the expectation that the	
	7	practices who called let me start again.	
	8	To which selected practices was the fax and	
	9	e-mail sent?	
11:35	10	A. The fax and e-mail were sent to	
	11	the locations that were active in our	
	12	arthritis care network and our primary care	
	13	network. Says it right there actually. Just	
	14	never read that.	
	15	Q. It was generally known by the	
	16	practice managers that this was in response	
	17	to Context Media, right?	
	18	A. I wouldn't say that.	
	19	Q. Well, the agenda says that it's	
11:36	20	correspondence to all ACN and PNC	
	21	locations	
	22	A. This is for	
	23	Q regarding	
	24	A relationship managers	

			99
	1	relationship management team.	
	2	Q. Uh-huh.	
	3	A. To them this was in response to	
	4	what was going on with Context Media. This	
	5	is not what was stated to the practices.	
	6	Q. Uh-huh.	
	7	A. They knew what was going on	
	8	because they were the ones receiving the	
	9	phone calls in from practices stating that	
11:36	10	Context was stating they had permission to	
	11	remove our equipment.	
	12	Q. Were the relationship management	
	13	team members instructed about whether or not	
	14	to mention Context Media by name in	
	15	discussing the e-mail and fax with	
	16	representatives of practices?	
	17	A. I don't believe I made the I	
	18	don't know if for 100 percent if I actually	
	19	stated that but I have always stated in the	
11:37	20	past don't talk or mention a competitor's	
	21	name when you're speaking with a practice	
	22	unless they're actually referencing it then	
	23	you can speak to it but you should not	
	24	initiate that.	

		100
	1	Q. Did Patient Point document how
	2	many calls of this nature it received?
	3	A. If they received calls a comment
	4	would have been entered but I don't believe
	5	there would be a way to track it.
	6	Q. There wasn't an instruction to
	7	use a certain code or a certain word in the
	8	field if the practice was asking questions
	9	about the e-mail or the fax?
11:37	10	A. Well, I did state here that I
	11	told them to send the comments to me.
	12	Q. That arrive by e-mail?
	13	A. Yes.
	14	Q. Do you recall how many e-mails
	15	you received that included a CMS comment
	16	about a practice asking about the fax or
	17	e-mail that related to Context Media?
	18	A. What I recall is receiving
	19	e-mail comments about practices stating they
11:38	20	were receiving calls from another company
	21	that was calling them all the time, that they
	22	received calls from another company that said
	23	that they did have permission to remove our
	24	equipment. We received calls from people

```
101
           that actually called to just verify they had
      1
      2
           a service appointment and wanted to make sure
      3
           that it was truly with us.
      4
                         Those comments would be
                  Ο.
      5
           reflected in e-mails that you saved in your
      6
           inbox or some part of your e-mail system,
      7
           right?
      8
                  Α.
                         Yes.
      9
                  Q.
                         Did you have a policy to keep
11:39
     10
           those?
     11
                         We had a policy to keep e-mails.
                  Α.
     12
           I -- I -- it's in my e-mails. Yeah.
                         There wouldn't be additional
     13
                  Q.
     14
           comments that were not in your e-mails?
     15
                         MR. BERNAY: Object to the form.
     16
           You can answer.
     17
                         Additional comments where?
                  Α.
                                                      Ι
     18
           mean --
     19
                  Q.
                        Well, I mean --
11:39
     20
                         -- I don't --
                  Α.
     21
                         -- you've -- you've -- you've
     22
           said that there wasn't a way of tracking it
     23
           but they were supposed to send you an e-mail.
     24
           So I'm trying to think, well, if I counted up
```

			102
	1	the e-mails	
	2	A. I wasn't tracking it.	
	3	Q. Uh-huh.	
	4	A. So bas basically I was asking	ſ
	5	them to send me an e-mail so I could be aware	į
	6	of what kind of comments they would receive.	
	7	Wasn't for me to take and then track all	
	8	those e-mails and count them up and see how	
	9	many we got. I wasn't doing that.	
11:40	10	Q. Uh-huh.	
	11	A. It was just more of just for my	
	12	knowledge. If people did call in and say	
	13	something regarding it, I wanted to be able	
	14	to see what they were stating.	
	15	Q. I don't have any issue with your	
	16	process and your goal for business purposes.	
	17	I'm approaching this from a different	
	18	angle	
	19	A. Uh-huh.	
11:40	20	Q which is after the fact I	
	21	would be interested in how many calls and how	ī
	22	many were actual confusion, if there were any	r
	23	as you're stating, and comparing that to any	
	24	that were kind of false alarms and I know	

		1	03
	1	that that wasn't what your process was but	
	2	I'm trying to figure out if that can be	
	3	recreated now. Does that make sense?	
	4	A. Yeah.	
	5	Q. So when I asked about did you	
	6	keep all the e-mails	
	7	A. Uh-huh.	
	8	Q that related to practice's	
	9	comments about the e-mail or fax, what's the	
11:41	10	answer to that question?	
	11	A. I I don't know. I mean,	
	12	depending on what the comment was, I mean, I	
	13	don't know if I deleted it or not. I don't	
	14	generally delete comments unless I'm trying	
	15	to make space for my inbox so I would like to	
	16	think that I have them but I don't even know	
	17	what I would search on to try to find those	
	18	comments. Because again it would have been	
	19	entered in the database as a comment. That	
11:41	20	comment would have been forwarded over to me	
	21	through the database in an e-mail. What or	
	22	how that would have been tracked probably	
	23	should have found a better way to track it	
	24	but obviously did not so	

		104
	1	VIDEOGRAPHER: Pardon me,
	2	counsel. We're off the record.
	3	(Break taken.)
	4	VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the
	5	record with DVD number two.
	6	MR. HANKINSON: I want to try to
	7	run through to make sure I understand how
	8	records, whether in CMS or by e-mail, of
	9	practice's calls about the Context Media
11:50	10	response fax and e-mail were kept, so I'm
	11	gonna just run through my understanding and
	12	let me know if I'm right or wrong.
	13	Referring to Defendant's
	14	Exhibit 211, when a practice called in and
	15	asked about the fax or e-mail that was sent
	16	about Context Media's alleged activities in
	17	the marketplace, the practice manager was
	18	supposed to talk to them about it according
	19	to the suggested script, right?
11:50	20	A. If they called in, yes.
	21	Q. Right. They were supposed to
	22	enter an entry about that conversation, a
	23	summary of it, in CMS, right?
	24	A. Yes.

		105
	1	Q. And they were supposed to e-mail
	2	you that CMS entry.
	3	A. Correct.
	4	Q. So at that point it existed in
	5	CMS and it exists in your e-mail inbox,
	6	right?
	7	A. Right.
	8	Q. That CMS entry is still there.
	9	Those have not been
11:51	10	A. Correct.
	11	Q deleted, right?
	12	A. Correct.
	13	Q. However, they would not always
	14	have the term Context, Context Media, RHN or
	15	rheumatology health network in them, right?
	16	A. That's correct.
	17	Q. And particularly if the practice
	18	called in and was asking about the e-mail or
	19	fax but it turned out that what they were
11:51	20	asking about had nothing to do with Context
	21	Media, that CMS entry and the e-mail would
	22	not say Context Media or RHN, right?
	23	A. Correct.
	24	Q. Then the e-mail version of the

		106
	1	CMS entry may or may not still be in your
	2	inbox, right?
	3	A. Correct.
	4	Q. Some of them were deleted but
	5	some were saved in your inbox.
	6	A. Could be. Yes.
	7	Q. Is there any special file where
	8	they're all put?
	9	A. No.
11:52	10	Q. And you clean out your inbox
	11	periodically?
	12	A. I on e-mails that don't
	13	pertain to this I try to. Now, again, when
	14	this occurred 2012 so I would say that these
	15	should be in there but again if they didn't
	16	say Context Media or RHN could have been
	17	deleted
	18	Q. Uh-huh.
	19	A but not intentionally. Let's
11:52	20	put it that way.
	21	Q. Because they didn't say RHN or
	22	Context Media even if they were in response
	23	on the practice's part to the letter to the
	24	fax or the e-mail about Context Media, they

		107
	1	might not have said that in the body and
	2	therefore it might be out of your e-mail at
	3	this point.
	4	A. It might be
	5	Q. And
	6	A or it might still be there.
	7	Q. Could go either way.
	8	A. Could go either way.
	9	Q. Either way, those are not in a
11:53	10	collection of, you know, e-mails related to
	11	the Context Media fax and e-mail blast?
	12	A. Correct.
	13	Q. And likewise the CMS entries are
	14	kept according to their normal fields so if
	15	they don't say RHN, Context Media or another
	16	word like that, they can't be searched in a
	17	way that gathers up all the calls that were
	18	about the Context Media fax and e-mail blast.
	19	A. Correct.
11:53	20	Q. At the time that the fax and
	21	e-mail blast went out Patient Point knew that
	22	it was considering suing Context Media,
	23	right?
	24	A. That's 2012

		108
	1	MR. BERNAY: Objection. You can
	2	answer.
	3	A. I don't recall the exact date of
	4	when the decision was made
	5	Q. Do you remem
	6	A and I'm not I'm not the
	7	person that makes that decision either.
	8	(Exhibit 212 identified.)
	9	Q. I'd like to hand you what we're
11:54	10	marking as Defendant's Exhibit 212. Just
	11	glance through this to familiarize yourself
	12	with it but I'm only gonna ask you about one
	13	particular sentence on it. When you're ready
	14	flip to HAN 005854.
	15	A. Okay.
	16	Q. There's a sentence on here that
	17	says at the bottom, act now, enrollment is
	18	limited and only available for select
	19	offices. Defendant's Exhibit 212 is a
11:55	20	marketing piece for Healthy Advice's in
	21	waiting room networks, right?
	22	A. Correct.
	23	Q. De
	24	A. Sales collateral.

		109
	1	Q. Meaning this type of thing would
	2	be sent to or dropped off at practices?
	3	A. Could be, yes.
	4	Q. The intent is that it would be,
	5	right?
	6	A. That it would be left behind
	7	after a sales rep was there, yes.
	8	Q. So is this particular to a
	9	par to a specialty or could this be any
11:56	10	particular network?
	11	A. This is a folder with tabs
	12	inside and each there is a tab for
	13	specialty at the top.
	14	Q. So it looks like we're looking
	15	at a primary care section.
	16	A. Right. Which they're pretty
	17	similar. It really the only difference is
	18	probably the content at the top and the
	19	messages. More custom as to regarding
11:56	20	rheumatology.
	21	Q. If this was adjusted for ACN?
	22	A. Or women's health if it was
	23	adjusted for OB-GYN.
	24	Q. Do you believe that the sentence

		110
	1	at the bottom of HAN 005854 is on both ACN
	2	and PCN sales collateral?
	3	A. This is part of the folder part,
	4	so this is the flap on the inside. So this
	5	is the folder which would mean yes, this
	6	would be utilized for all the waiting room
	7	programs.
	8	Q. Is the whole folder left behind
	9	at the practice including all the tabs for
11:57	10	all the networks?
	11	A. No.
	12	Q. But the sales rep is supposed to
	13	put in the information that applies to that
	14	practice and leave the folder?
	15	A. Correct.
	16	Q. So this sentence would be left
	17	with the practice as part of a folder if this
	18	was a PCN advertisement and if this was a A
	19	CN advertisement?
11:57	20	A. This folder would be could
	21	be, yes.
	22	Q. Among other things.
	23	A. Could be.
	24	Q. The intent is that it would be,

		111
	1	right?
	2	A. They are supposed to yes,
	3	they would the idea is to have a folder to
	4	make it easy for them to leave whatever they
	5	wanted to leave behind, but typically we
	6	instruct our sales reps not to leave things
	7	behind because you didn't want to leave your
	8	materials. It was more of a reason for a
	9	practice to say, yeah, we looked over it but
11:58	10	we don't we're not interested. So
	11	typically they would tell people to hold your
	12	materials, bring it with you to show and use
	13	as your presentation and talk and go over
	14	things but if you could not to leave it
	15	behind because it's sort of leaving your
	16	goods behind if you do that.
	17	Q. So the intent is that the
	18	practice representatives and decision makers
	19	would see the folder and the sales
11:58	20	collateral, right?
	21	A. Yes, they would see it.
	22	Q. And it's addressed to them,
	23	right?
	24	A. Yes.

		112
	1	Q. What does the sentence
	2	enrollment is limited and only available for
	3	select offices mean?
	4	A. I believe it was used as a call
	5	of sense of urgency. Put a sense of urgency.
	6	Q. Is enrollment limited?
	7	A. Yes.
	8	Q. So there's a cap on the number
	9	of subscribers to ACN?
11:59	10	A. There at particular times
	11	there may have been.
	12	Q. Is there a cap to the number of
	13	subscribers in PCN?
	14	A. I don't believe we've ever had a
	15	cap for primary care.
	16	Q. So this sentence would be
	17	accurate for ACN and possibly some of the
	18	other networks but it would not be accurate
	19	for PCN?
11:59	20	A. It's only available for select
	21	offices. Meaning you have to be a primary
	22	care specialty in order to receive it or you
	23	have to be for select offices meaning you
	24	have to be of that specialty to receive the

			113
	1	program so yo	ou're sort of limiting who gets
	2	the program k	pased on that. Based on the
	3	specialty.	
	4	Q.	Uh-huh. So enrollment is
	5	limited. You	ı referred to a cap on the number
	6	of subscriber	rs in a network, right?
	7	A.	There could be, yes.
	8	Q.	At various times there may have
	9	been.	
12:00	10	A.	In the past. Yes.
	11	Q.	But not as to PCN?
	12	А.	Correct.
	13	Q.	And then available for select
	14	offices, you	must be a rheumatologist or
	15	something of	the like to get ACN in your
	16	waiting room,	, right?
	17	A.	Correct.
	18	Q.	And then you must be a primary
	19	care physicia	an to get PCN in your network,
12:00	20	right?	
	21	А.	Correct.
	22	Q.	How would you find out when caps
	23	were in place	e on the number of subscribers in
	24	ACN?	

		114
	1	A. Well, basically the cap is more
	2	of an expansion so maybe we're only gonna
	3	grow this network or something by 300 more
	4	physicians. So if we're only expanding to a
	5	certain number of physicians physicians at
	6	that particular time span, that's what
	7	that's what enrollment is limited mean
	8	really means.
	9	Q. Are you aware of a practice
12:01	10	being turned away?
	11	A. Of being turned away? Yes.
	12	Q. And that's when the expansion
	13	has been reached?
	14	A. It could be for that or it could
	15	be because of their specialty. We have lots
	16	of leads in from different specialties that
	17	want our waiting room screens that are not of
	18	the specialty we have a program for them.
	19	Q. They're siphoned off into a
12:01	20	different program?
	21	A. If we have a program available
	22	for them. Sometimes we get leads in for
	23	osteo orthopedics a lot and we don't have
	24	an orthopedics program.

		1	15
	1	Q. Uh-huh.	
	2	(Exhibit 213 identified.)	
	3	Q. I'm handing you what we're	
	4	marking as Defendant's Exhibit 213. Sorry	
	5	about that. Is Defendant's Exhibit 213 a	
	6	list of what is referred to as sound	
	7	inquiries?	
	8	A. It appears, yes.	
	9	Q. WRN-CN-INQRY-sound under	
12:03	10	inventory item appears in all of the rows,	
	11	right?	
	12	A. Yes. But there's also the sound	
	13	complaint.	
	14	Q. Ah, yes. Two of these have that	
	15	and then complaint after a period, right?	
	16	A. Correct.	
	17	Q. Is are those codes in the	
	18	column inventory item codes that CMS uses to	
	19	track practice comments about sound?	
12:03	20	A. They are orders that are created	
	21	to track practices inquiring about sound.	
	22	Q. They're separate from a comments	
	23	field.	
	24	A. Well, the com the comment	

		116
	1	would probably be tied to it but there would
	2	be and there would be a comment in the
	3	database for it but inventory item is the
	4	actual order that you can use to run a report
	5	like this.
	6	Q. When a practice tells a
	7	relationship manager I want sound in my loop,
	8	is the policy or instruction to the
	9	relationship manager to code that in the
12:04	10	database as sound inquiry?
	11	A. They should inquire they
	12	should notify them of the sound that we
	13	currently have in the program and make sure
	14	that their volume is obviously adjusted.
	15	Sometimes our practices just have their
	16	volume down all the way. But, yes, if
	17	they're interested in wanting more sound then
	18	we would have them enter a sound inquiry
	19	order.
12:04	20	Q. When did that start?
	21	A. For as long as I can remember
	22	we've done that.
	23	Q. Have there been sound inquiries
	24	prior to 2011?

			117
	1	A. There could be.	
	2	Q. You're not sure one way or the	
	3	other?	
	4	A. I would have to run the report	
	5	to see.	
	6	Q. But you recall that sound	
	7	inquiries were tracked prior to 2011?	
	8	A. Again, that I recall. I don't	
	9	know exactly when we created this order in	
12:05	10	the database.	
	11	Q. If Ms. Theiss came to you and	
	12	said I'd like you to work with Ms. Gustin, or	r
	13	whoever you can get in IT, and give me a list	t
	14	of practices who told Patient Point that the	Y
	15	wanted more sound than Patient Point had to	
	16	offer, what steps would you take?	
	17	A. If they requested they wanted	
	18	more sound. If I wanted to see how many	
	19	locations, I would pull a report on this	
12:06	20	inventory item WRN CM inquiry sound to get my	Y
	21	list.	
	22	Q. You believe that that would be	
	23	at least slightly under inclusive because	
	24	it's possible that a practice relationship	

		118
	1	manager would enter the sound inquiry in the
	2	CMS field but not make a sound inquiry work
	3	order, right?
	4	A. You mean it could be possible
	5	that they stated it in the comment but not
	6	created this order?
	7	Q. Yes.
	8	A. That could be possible. Yes.
	9	Q. How complete do you think your
12:06	10	list for Ms. Theiss would be if you based it
	11	just on the sound inquiry order report?
	12	MR. BERNAY: Object to the form.
	13	You can answer.
	14	A. I feel it would be fairly
	15	complete.
	16	Q. And have you seen Defendant's
	17	Exhibit 213 in your preparation for this
	18	deposition?
	19	A. I've seen this sound inquiry
12:07	20	report, yes.
	21	Q. Do you believe this to be a
	22	complete list of all sound inquiry reports
	23	between July 2010 and March 2013?
	24	A. Yes.

		119
	1	MR. BERNAY: That's fine.
	2	MR. HANKINSON: No. Go ahead.
	3	MR. BERNAY: No. No. That's
	4	fine.
	5	MR. HANKINSON: I'm going to
	6	hand you I'm going to hand you what we're
	7	marking as Defendant's Exhibit 214.
	8	(Exhibit 214 identified.)
	9	Q. Did you see this document in
12:08	10	your preparation for your deposition?
	11	MR. BERNAY: Take a minute to
	12	look at the document.
	13	A. It's hard for me to tell exactly
	14	which document this is.
	15	Q. What I've been told about this
	16	document is that it is a report of the
	17	cancels of canceled orders but it was
	18	inadvertently incomplete. Does that match
	19	your understanding?
12:08	20	A. Inadvertently canceled orders so
	21	basically the saves.
	22	Q. It was intended to be saves
	23	A. Saves.
	24	Q but it might not be complete?

		120
	1	A. Correct.
	2	Q. Do you have an understanding of
	3	what caused this report to not have other
	4	cancels of cancels or saves in it?
	5	A. Well, because this report
	6	actually, if you notice, this stage code says
	7	canceled so these are the saves that then
	8	after the fact canceled. We saved them
	9	basically once but then later then they
12:09	10	canceled. What was not produced on here,
	11	which should have been, is the ones that were
	12	still active.
	13	Q. So these were temporarily saved.
	14	A. Correct.
	15	Q. Is there a way to tell from
	16	Defendant's Exhibit 214 for what period of
	17	time the practice subscribed after they
	18	requested to be canceled for the first time
	19	until they were actually canceled after the
12:09	20	second request or thereafter?
	21	MR. BERNAY: Object to the form.
	22	You can answer.
	23	A. If you look at the column that
	24	says order status date, it's the fourth one

		121
	1	in from the yes. The order status date
	2	was the date that the order was canceled
	3	which means that's when it was saved.
	4	Q. Okay.
	5	A. So if you take that column and
	6	look at the stage date which is seven columns
	7	or seven rows in columns in, that would
	8	kind of give you your time span.
	9	Q. The column titled stage date is
12:10	10	the ultimate cancellation date?
	11	A. Correct.
	12	Q. The column titled order status
	13	date is when the initial request to cancel
	14	was recorded.
	15	A. It was when the initial request
	16	to cancel
	17	Q. Oh. When the
	18	A order was canceled.
	19	Q. That's the save date.
12:10	20	A. Which, yes, is ultimately the
	21	save date.
	22	Q. Is there a comment field
	23	associated with a cancel of a cancel order?
	24	A. It would be the same order that

		122
	1	was or same comment that's produced with
	2	the cancel. Cancel comments. They're the
	3	same. The only difference is whether the
	4	difference between it is whether or not we
	5	canceled the order or closed the order.
	6	Q. The order meaning the cancel
	7	order?
	8	A. Correct.
	9	Q. And if you close the cancel
12:11	10	order it means that you actually fulfilled
	11	the order meaning you canceled the practice's
	12	subscription?
	13	A. Correct.
	14	Q. If you cancel the cancel order
	15	it means the practice was saved. This report
	16	could be run with a comments field, correct?
	17	A. Yes, you could add a comments
	18	field.
	19	Q. And it would be the comment that
12:11	20	accompanied, if any, the ultimate cancel or
	21	could you get the comment that accompanied
	22	the save?
	23	A. Well, when you pull the comments
	24	for the cancels that pertain to the cancels

		123			
	1	you're basically gonna get both. If that			
	2	makes sense.			
	3	Q. Because even if there's a			
	4	status huh. Well			
	5	A. There's not a comment that's			
	6	tied to stage code.			
	7	Q. Okay. There's a comment tied			
	8	to			
	9	A. Your cancel comments.			
12:12	10	Q. Order status code.			
	11	A. Inventory item.			
	12	Q. That would comment on why the			
	13	cancellation was requested, right?			
	14	A. Right.			
	15	Q. Is there a comment on how it was			
	16	saved?			
	17	A. If it would, it would still be			
	18	within that same comment.			
	19	Q. Because the cancel order would			
12:13	20	be open and all the comments would be			
	21	associated with it?			
	22	A. It should be all associated			
	23	together.			
	24	(Exhibit 215 identified.)			

		124			
	1	Q. I'm handing you what we're			
	2	marking as Defendant's Exhibit 215. If			
	3	Mr. Bernay doesn't object, I suggest that you			
	4	break the staple.			
	5	MR. BERNAY: No objection.			
	6	MR. HANKINSON: Did you review			
	7	Defendant's Exhibit 215 in your preparation			
	8	for this deposition?			
	9	A. Yes.			
12:13	10	Q. What's your understanding of			
	11	what this document shows?			
	12	A. So these are the actual saves			
	13	that were saved.			
	14	Q. Permanently saved?			
	15	A. They're still active today, yes.			
	16	Q. And you're seeing that in the			
	17	stage code column still saying active.			
	18	A. Correct.			
	19	Q. But the order status code says			
12:14	20	canceled just like in Defendant's			
	21	Exhibit 214			
	22	A. Correct.			
	23	Q because the cancel was			
	24	canceled.			

		125
	1	A. Correct.
	2	Q. All right. If we added both
	3	Defendant's Exhibit 214 and Defendant's
	4	Exhibit 215, would that be a complete list of
	5	saves for the period of July 2010 to
	6	March 2013?
	7	A. For that period, yes.
	8	Q. Do you know approximately how
	9	many cancel orders happened during that time
12:14	10	period?
	11	A. No, I do not. That's a lot of
	12	data.
	13	Q. Would you expect the saves to be
	14	about one-tenth or less of the total cancel
	15	orders based on your experience?
	16	MR. BERNAY: Object to the form.
	17	A. I couldn't say. I haven't
	18	looked at it that way.
	19	Q. Saves are relatively rare after
12:15	20	a cancelation order, correct?
	21	A. No. I just don't do my reports
	22	that way.
	23	Q. Well, I'm asking you like
	24	A. Oh.

		126
	1	Q aren't they relatively rare?
	2	A. Oh. No. I mean, no, I don't
	3	think that they're relatively rare but, you
	4	know, I think it just depends on the
	5	situation and where they are in in the
	6	process of the cancel and when we actually
	7	get notified of it. So depends on sooner we
	8	can we hear about it the more likely we
	9	are to be able to save it. Later in the fact
12:15	10	we are less likely. Your chance of your
	11	chances go down or decrease.
	12	Q. Later in the sales cycle?
	13	A. Later in when they want to
	14	cancel. So if we're notified later in the
	15	process they're canceling, we're not able to
	16	get wind beforehand, it's harder to save it
	17	after they've already made up their mind
	18	about something versus when they're thinking.
	19	(Exhibit 216 identified.)
12:16	20	Q. I'm handing you what we're
	21	marking as Defendant's Exhibit 216. Is
	22	Defendant's Exhibit 216 the agenda for the
	23	rheumatologist webinar that you mentioned
	24	near the beginning of this deposition?

		127
	1	A. Yes.
	2	Q. How many attendees were there at
	3	webinar?
	4	A. One.
	5	Q. The targeted number of
	6	attendees, three?
	7	A. Yes.
	8	Q. Did any followup with other
	9	rheumatologist practices occur along the same
12:17	10	lines as this agenda?
	11	A. No.
	12	Q. Did you believe that Patient
	13	Point got useful information from the
	14	webinar?
	15	A. No.
	16	Q. Why not?
	17	A. Because it was unsuccessful.
	18	Q. And what's that?
	19	A. We were not able to the
12:17	20	participant was having computer issues and we
	21	were not able to really proceed with the
	22	webinar in the fashion that we wanted to be
	23	able to proceed.
	24	Q. The participant couldn't see the

				128		
	1	program?				
	2	А.	Right. They couldn't see			
	3	anything or g	get into basically a go-to			
	4	webinar meeti	ng with us. So it was more of			
	5	just questions.				
	6	Q.	So did you conduct the webinar?			
	7	A.	I did not.			
	8	Q.	Who conducted it?			
	9	A.	Would be Nicki Cloran.			
12:18	10	Q.	And that's who you spoke to			
	11	about this pr	rior to the deposition?			
	12	A.	Correct.			
	13	Q.	Is the handwriting on here			
	14	Nicki's handwriting?				
	15	A.	Yes.			
	16	Q.	Tell me her last name again.			
	17	A.	It's I believe it's Cloran,			
	18	C-L-O-R-A-N.	I don't know if that's exact			
	19	pronunciation	or not.			
12:18	20	Q.	Initially was the idea that the			
	21	participants	in the webinar would be paid for			
	22	their partici	pation?			
	23	A.	Yes.			
	24	Q.	Is it true that this participant			

		129
	1	was not actually paid?
	2	A. Correct.
	3	Q. Was that decision made before or
	4	after the webinar?
	5	A. I believe it was made after when
	6	the webinar was unsuccessful.
	7	Q. The participant was told that
	8	they would receive compensation for the
	9	webinar?
12:19	10	A. Prior to.
	11	Q. And then because it didn't
	12	the participant wasn't able to connect to see
	13	the presentation of the webinar then the
	14	compensation was not given?
	15	A. Correct.
	16	Q. Was that the only reason that
	17	the compensation was not given?
	18	A. Correct.
	19	Q. The document that is Defendant's
12:20	20	Exhibit 216 is an internal Patient Point
	21	document, right?
	22	A. Yes.
	23	Q. This was not shown to the
	24	webinar participant?

			130
	1	A. Correct.	
	2	Q. And was not intended to be	
	3	shown?	
	4	A. No.	
	5	Q. Rather the numbered paragrap	phs
	6	and then the subparagraphs under them are	ē
	7	comments and questions that were gonna be	9
	8	asked as an accompaniment to whatever the	9
	9	presentation through the webinar was gonr	na be
12:20	10	shown on the screen?	
	11	A. Correct.	
	12	MR. HANKINSON: I'm sorry.	
	13	Are are you good to go? Okay. I don	't
	14	want to go too fast. Thank you.	
	15	Q. To whom was the rheumatologic	İst
	16	webinar agenda circulated?	
	17	A. Myself, Liz Phillips, Nicki,	,
	18	Emily Hines and I believe that's it.	
	19	Q. Who wrote it?	
12:21	20	A. Nicki wrote it and then	
	21	circulated it for edits.	
	22	Q. Did anyone comment?	
	23	A. I'm sure people made comment	is to
	24	get it to where it ended. This was the f	inal

		131
	1	version.
	2	Q. Did you make any edits to the
	3	initial draft?
	4	A. I don't recall if I made any
	5	any edits to this.
	6	Q. You could have, you just don't
	7	remember?
	8	A. I don't remember.
	9	Q. The is what I'm looking at
12:21	10	the final version?
	11	A. Yes.
	12	Q. So its was it approved by all
	13	four of you: Liz Phillips, Nicki Cloran, and
	14	Emily Hines?
	15	A. Yes.
	16	Q. The primary issue that's listed
	17	at the top of the agenda states majority of
	18	the practices who leave ACN for RHN do so
	19	because of content. ACN is arthritis care
12:22	20	network?
	21	A. Correct.
	22	Q. Is that a program in the waiting
	23	rooms of physicians that is provided by
	24	Patient Point?

				132
	1	Α.	Yes, it is.	
	2	Q.	RHN is rheumatoid health	
	3	network?		
	4	Α.	Correct.	
	5	Q.	Is that a program in the waiting	ſ
	6	rooms of phys	sicians that's provided by	
	7	Context Media	a?	
	8	Α.	Yes.	
	9	Q.	Do you believe that the comment	
12:22	10	in this rheur	matology webinar is correct that	
	11	the majority	of practices who leave ACN for	
	12	RHN do so bed	cause of content?	
	13	А.	That's what we have been told	-
	14	Q.	By the practices?	
	15	Α.	on the comments based on	
	16	comments that	t we reviewed, yes.	
	17	Q.	The comments that you reviewed	
	18	were in CMS,	correct?	
	19	Α.	Correct.	
12:23	20	Q.	Those are the same comments that	
	21	you use to p	ick a reason code in association	
	22	with cancels	of practices who subscribe to	
	23	networks, rig	ght?	
	24	Α.	Right.	

		133
	1	Q. Is it an ordinary practice for
	2	Patient Point to host webinars or conference
	3	calls to get feedback about its programming?
	4	A. We do conduct focus groups, yes,
	5	on our programming.
	6	Q. This rheumatologist webinar
	7	agenda isn't the only document of its kind?
	8	A. There's other focus group
	9	agendas, I'm sure, out there. Yes.
12:24	10	Q. And this is prepared in the same
	11	way that other focus group agendas are
	12	generally prepared?
	13	A. I don't know if this was
	14	prepared I don't conduct the focus groups.
	15	Our research department does
	16	Q. Uh-huh.
	17	A so I don't know exactly how
	18	they conduct each focus group. I'm sure it's
	19	similar.
12:24	20	Q. As Patient Point's designee
	21	today as to questions about this document,
	22	it's the company's best knowledge that the
	23	rheumatologist webinar agenda that is
	24	Defendant's Exhibit 216 is prepared in a

		134
	1	similar way to the agendas of Patient Point's
	2	other focus groups conducted by the research
	3	department, right?
	4	MR. BERNAY: Objection. I
	5	object to the the extent that she's the
	6	designee on the document. She's the designee
	7	as to the topics described in 19 and 20 of
	8	the notice. You can answer.
	9	A. Yes. I mean, again, I I know
12:25	10	that this was a document that was used for
	11	this webinar. I did not review or all of
	12	the other focus group agendas and and how
	13	they were conducted or, you know, in the past
	14	to know if this was exactly the same way it's
	15	done every time they do a focus group, so I
	16	can't enter or answer 100 percent that this
	17	is exactly the same way we always do them,
	18	but, you know, this is the research
	19	department that did create the the webinar
12:25	20	or generated it.
	21	Q. Is it your impression that they
	22	created it in the ordinary course of their
	23	work doing research for Patient Point?
	24	A. This was a request actually. I

		135
	1	believe I actually initiated the request to
	2	get some feedback on from practices for
	3	this.
	4	Q. Do you recall who drafted the
	5	sentence that comes after primary issue?
	6	A. I would believe that would be
	7	Nicki created the document.
	8	Q. Did all four of the people that
	9	this was circulated to sign off on the final
12:26	10	version that includes that sentence?
	11	MR. BERNAY: Object to the form.
	12	A. You asked me this question
	13	already. Yes.
	14	Q. This document was created as
	15	part of yours, Liz Phillips, Nicki Cloran's
	16	and Emily Hines' work for Patient Point,
	17	right?
	18	A. Right.
	19	Q. It was within your duties.
12:26	20	A. It however I'm not really
	21	sure how to take that but, yes, we did create
	22	this together and signed off on this
	23	document.
	24	Q. The objective, desired outcome,

		136
	1	and secondary headings and the sentences and
	2	questions that come after them were also
	3	prepared in the course of your duties and
	4	approved by all four of the people that we
	5	talked about, right?
	6	A. Yes.
	7	Q. And the goal of this document
	8	was to acquire information that Patient Point
	9	could use in its business, right?
12:27	10	A. Yes.
	11	Q. You said that you requested this
	12	one, right?
	13	A. I put in a request to find a way
	14	to do some research, get feedback basically,
	15	from practices on our content.
	16	Q. What was the why did you
	17	submit that request?
	18	A. Because I wanted to understand
	19	better whether or not it was truly our
12:28	20	content that practices didn't like or if it
	21	was something else. I viewed the comparison
	22	of RHN's content to our content as more of
	23	they were looking at RHN's features that
	24	you know, the extras like the weather and the

		137
	1	news and not necessarily the content. So I
	2	was trying to really understand is it just
	3	the news and the weather that they're liking
	4	better about the program or is it truly the
	5	actual educational content.
	6	Q. Have you reached a conclusion on
	7	that?
	8	A. No, I have not.
	9	Q. Is there additional research
12:29	10	that has been done?
	11	A. No, there hasn't been.
	12	Q. In any event, the question that
	13	you were seeking to ask in or to explore
	14	in making the request was whether the
	15	perceived difference in content between ACN
	16	and RHN on the part of practices was based on
	17	the patient education component primarily or
	18	whether it was influenced by other aspects of
	19	RHN's program that were not patient
12:29	20	education
	21	A. Correct.
	22	Q right?
	23	MR. BERNAY: Object to the form.
	24	A. Correct.

		138
	1	Q. Regardless, when a practice was
	2	reporting that content was the reason that
	3	they switched to a competitor, whether they
	4	were referring to patient education content
	5	or other aspects of content like a news
	6	ticker or weather reports, the switch would
	7	still be based on what's in the loops, right?
	8	MR. BERNAY: Object to the form.
	9	You can answer.
12:30	10	A. The switch I we they
	11	switched based on what they told us. So if
	12	they stated we like their content better, we
	13	would note that but again was it really the
	14	content or was it really the extras?
	15	Q. The bells and whistles?
	16	A. The bells and whistles.
	17	Q. And that's what your request to
	18	do research on this topic was intended to
	19	explore.
12:30	20	A. Correct.
	21	MR. BERNAY: It's 12:30.
	22	MR. HANKINSON: Is it really?
	23	MR. BERNAY: So you'd better
	24	call. Yes. Time flies when you're having

		139
	1	fun.
	2	MR. HANKINSON: Go off the
	3	record.
	4	VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the
	5	record.
	6	(Lunch break taken.)
	7	VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the
	8	record.
	9	MR. BERNAY: I think we did want
01:12	10	to correct a couple things before we resumed
	11	questioning on on the record, so Amy.
	12	THE WITNESS: Okay.
	13	MR. BERNAY: I think it's this
	14	one and it's in relation first to you had
	15	asked a question earlier about Exhibit 215.
	16	THE WITNESS: Yes. And are
	17	you
	18	MR. HANKINSON: Oh, no. No.
	19	A. In regards to this I believe I
01:12	20	was asked if this was all of the these are
	21	two different.
	22	MR. BERNAY: They go together.
	23	THE WITNESS: Oh, do they?
	24	Okay. In regards to all of the cancels

		140
	1	for that that were saved and the aspect
	2	of that, it was actually the ones that were
	3	just canceling with the intent to go to a
	4	competitor. We obviously have other reasons
	5	why people may cancel that we would save a
	6	practice from. That was correction on that.
	7	MR. HANKINSON: I'll let you
	8	finish making whatever statements you want to
	9	make and then I'll resume questioning.
01:13	10	MR. BERNAY: Sure.
	11	THE WITNESS: Okay. I don't
	12	remember what I stated on this one.
	13	MR. BERNAY: I don't that
	14	that's fine.
	15	THE WITNESS: This is the one
	16	that I remember.
	17	MR. BERNAY: Yes. That's fine.
	18	THE WITNESS: Okay.
	19	MR. BERNAY: I don't
01:13	20	THE WITNESS: I mean
	21	MR. BERNAY: usually do this
	22	so just to correct the record. In terms of
	23	who you spoke with
	24	THE WITNESS: Yes.

		141
	1	MR. BERNAY: in 30(b)(6).
	2	THE WITNESS: In who I spoke
	3	with, I left off Kimberly Theiss. I forgot
	4	that I did speak to Kimberly in regards to
	5	the processes that she has with her vendors.
	6	MR. BERNAY: That's that.
	7	MR. HANKINSON: All set?
	8	THE WITNESS: Yes.
	9	MR. HANKINSON: Thank you.
01:14	10	THE WITNESS: It is.
	11	MR. HANKINSON: I appreciate it.
	12	With respect to your conversation with
	13	Ms. Theiss did that have to do with warehouse
	14	and installation or deinstallation vendors?
	15	A. The deinstallation vendors, yes.
	16	Q. Was there any other aspect to
	17	your conversation with Ms. Theiss in
	18	preparation for this deposition?
	19	A. No.
01:14	20	Q. With respect to Defendant's
	21	Exhibit 215, your clarification is that the
	22	permanent saves or at least the saves that
	23	are still active in Patient Point's networks
	24	to today's date that are listed in

		142
	1	Defendant's Exhibit 215 are the subset of
	2	such saves where the reason for the cancel
	3	that was provided by the practice was that a
	4	competitor was going to switch out the
	5	system?
	6	A. Okay. Not quite sure I
	7	understand how you phrased that.
	8	Q. Let me let me try again
	9	A. Okay.
01:15	10	Q because I'm just trying to
	11	speed up
	12	A. Yeah.
	13	Q rather than slow down.
	14	A. No.
	15	Q. So Defendant's Exhibit 215 is a
	16	list of saves that are currently active in a
	17	Patient Point network, right?
	18	A. Correct.
	19	Q. Your clarification is that there
01:15	20	are additional saves of practices that are
	21	currently active in a Patient Point network.
	22	The ones that are listed in Defendant's
	23	Exhibit 215 are those where the reason for
	24	the initial cancel order before the save had

			143
	1	to do with a competitor.	
	2	A. Correct.	
	3	Q. Your conversation with	
	4	Ms. Theiss in preparation for the deposition	
	5	today specifically was that about the	
	6	handling of equipment, including obsolete	
	7	equipment, in interacting with Patient	
	8	Point's vendors for installation?	
	9	A. My conversation with Ms. Theiss	
01:17	10	was actually confirming the documents	
	11	provided the process with the vendor were	
	12	still current today.	
	13	Q. So the documents that have been	
	14	produced related to deinstallation vendors	
	15	and agreements with those vendors and	
	16	instructions given to those vendors are	
	17	current as of today?	
	18	A. Yes.	
	19	MR. HANKINSON: What's the next	
01:17	20	exhibit?	
	21	THE REPORTER: 217.	
	22	MR. HANKINSON: I'd like to mark	_
	23	Exhibit 217.	
	24	(Exhibit 217 identified.)	

			144
	1	Q. Ms. Finley, have you seen	
	2	Defendant's Exhibit 217 before?	
	3	A. Yes.	
	4	Q. What is it?	
	5	A. Just want to make sure I let	
	6	me read it real fast to make	
	7	Q. Uh-huh.	
	8	A sure I have the right one.	
	9	Yes. This document was used as a a guide	
01:18	10	to make calls to previous Healthy	
	11	Advice/Patient Point customers.	
	12	Q. Who made the inquiries?	
	13	A. Who made the phone calls?	
	14	Q. Yes.	
	15	A. Pam Pater.	
	16	Q. Who drafted this document?	
	17	A. I did.	
	18	Q. And about when did you do that?	
	19	A. When I believe it was in	
01:19	20	summer of 2012.	
	21	Q. Was there any particular	
	22	competitor that this document was used with	
	23	respect to?	
	24	A. Yes. It was in regards to the	

		145
	1	locations that had canceled to go to Context
	2	Media.
	3	Q. And specifically this document
	4	is targeted at ACN practices, correct?
	5	A. Correct.
	6	Q. And those ACN practices who
	7	cancel and switched to Context's RHN program,
	8	right?
	9	A. Correct.
01:20	10	Q. When approximately after the
	11	drafting of this document did Ms. Pater begin
	12	making the phone calls?
	13	A. Oh, I would say probably within
	14	a week or so after.
	15	Q. Did anyone else have input into
	16	the content of this document besides you?
	17	A. Looks like someone else wrote on
	18	this and so I don't recall if this was my
	19	mine or Pam's suggestion back.
01:20	20	Q. Are you referring to words that
	21	are written in a slightly lighter or gray
	22	font?
	23	A. Right. Yes. That leads me to
	24	believe there's someone else edited

		146
	1	this this particular document but not
	2	seeing everything around this, I I don't
	3	recall who.
	4	Q. I don't know. Do you remember
	5	which part of this you drafted?
	6	A. I would have probably been the
	7	original person. I reported to Jill Brewer
	8	at the time so maybe she had some input but
	9	again not 100 percent confident.
01:21	10	Q. Is there anyone besides
	11	Ms. Brewer or Ms. Pater who might have given
	12	input on this document?
	13	A. Not that I recall.
	14	Q. Are you aware of a final version
	15	of this document that's different from this
	16	one?
	17	A. There could be. I'm guessing
	18	that I would have kept the suggestions that
	19	were here. This was more of a sort of script
01:21	20	to like talking points. We don't necessarily
	21	go off of a script or read verbatim.
	22	Q. What were Ms. Pater's
	23	instructions in contacting members of ACN's
	24	network who had cancelled and started

		147
	1	receiving Context Media's RHN network?
	2	A. Her instructions were to just
	3	in that contact the location to see if they
	4	were still interest or still satisfied
	5	with their selection and if they could
	6	mention you know, to find out if there was
	7	any incentive that was involved she was able
	8	to get that. That was basically what we were
	9	looking for because we had discovered that
01:22	10	practices were actually being incented to
	11	switch to Context Media.
	12	Q. They were being given money? Is
	13	that what incentive
	14	A. They were being given an
	15	American Express a hundred dollar American
	16	Express gift card.
	17	Q. And that's what you mean by
	18	incented?
	19	A. Yes.
01:22	20	Q. Do you mean to suggest that the
	21	practice may have that some practices may
	22	have switched from ACN to RHN because of the
	23	incentive that was provided?
	24	A. Yes.

		148
	1	Q. It's an important enough
	2	incentive that you think it could influence
	3	the decision that a practice makes
	4	independently of all the other factors
	5	involved?
	6	A. Yes.
	7	Q. How often do you think that
	8	could possibly happen?
	9	MR. BERNAY: Object to the form.
01:23	10	A. I believe as many times as it
	11	was offered.
	12	Q. If an incentive was offered then
	13	your opinion would be that it was the reason
	14	that the practice switched to RHN?
	15	A. Yes.
	16	Q. How were the results of this
	17	well, this document is a list of questions or
	18	suggestions for a conversation. Was this
	19	project implemented?
01:24	20	A. Yes.
	21	Q. Was Ms. Pater the only one who
	22	made the calls?
	23	A. Yes.
	24	Q. And what form did she record her

		149
	1	results?
	2	A. In the CMS database in her
	3	comments.
	4	Q. What field of CMS?
	5	A. The actual comments field. I
	6	don't believe these were tied to an order.
	7	They were added to a spreadsheet. The
	8	comment.
	9	Q. Was the determination of whether
01:24	10	a gift card had been offered or received the
	11	primary purpose of these follow-up
	12	interviews?
	13	A. Yes.
	14	Q. Were there secondary purposes
	15	that you were also trying to achieve?
	16	A. Well, if we could gain any more
	17	insight as to why they switched obviously
	18	that would have been beneficial. Knowing
	19	that these practices were not going to the
01:25	20	intent was not to get them to switch back
	21	because to put a practice through a
	22	de-install, reinstall, de-install, reinstall
	23	would have been too much.
	24	Q. Did Ms. Pater report on or

		150
	1	summarize her results to anyone?
	2	A. Again, the comments were put
	3	into the spreadsheet and for me to review.
	4	Q. A separate spreadsheet from CMS?
	5	A. A separate spreadsheet from CMS,
	6	yes.
	7	Q. How often?
	8	A. All of her calls that she
	9	made I don't believe she made that many,
01:25	10	but her comment summary of that call was
	11	added as a comment in the database and that
	12	comment was copied over to the spreadsheet.
	13	Q. How often? Just one spreadsheet
	14	or did she work on
	15	A. Just one spreadsheet.
	16	Q. About how long did it take her
	17	to complete the calls she made?
	18	A. I believe she was able to finish
	19	up. I think it was only like a week or two
01:26	20	that she worked on that project.
	21	Q. About how many calls did she
	22	make?
	23	A. I don't know the exact number.
	24	Q. How long was the spreadsheet?

		151
	1	A. It was added to our spreadsheet
	2	of cancels but it I know it was not all of
	3	them. It was, I don't know, maybe a third.
	4	Q. All right.
	5	(Exhibit 218 identified.)
	6	Q. I've just handed you what we
	7	have marked as Defendant's Exhibit 218. Is
	8	this the spreadsheet of cancels that you were
	9	referring to? Where were Ms. Pater's results
01:27	10	recorded in this spreadsheet?
	11	A. Column M discussion feedback
	12	MR. BERNAY: Column?
	13	THE WITNESS: M. I believe it's
	14	M. Discussion feedback
	15	MR. HANKINSON: Look really
	16	close at that M.
	17	THE WITNESS: Oh, it's not M.
	18	Oh, double A. Oh, I need to get classes.
	19	MR. HANKINSON: No, not at all.
01:27	20	It's very small. So column
	21	A. Double A.
	22	Q. Double A is the
	23	A. Discussion feedback.
	24	Q. Was the intent to put all of

		152	2
	1	Ms. Pater's result into this column?	
	2	A. Yes.	
	3	Q. Do you have any reason to	
	4	believe that there are any comments given by	
	5	practices to Ms. Pater that are not in here?	
	6	A. No.	
	7	Q. So if we count them up	
	8	A. Yeah.	
	9	Q we would know the number of	
01:27	10	at least calls that Ms. Pater made where she	
	11	got some sort of information.	
	12	A. Correct.	
	13	Q. Regardless of the time when the	
	14	practice had originally canceled, Ms. Pater's	
	15	calls were all made as part of a sort of	
	16	separate standalone project within about a	
	17	two-week period in the summer of 2012?	
	18	A. Yes.	
	19	MR. BERNAY: I would just note	
01:28	20	for the record that Exhibit 218 is one that	
	21	we've produced over objection as as a	
	22	spreadsheet that was prepared for counsel and	
	23	contains work product in that sense. Just	
	24	noting that for the record.	ļ

		153
	1	MR. HANKINSON: Is there 100
	2	percent overlap between the comments pasted
	3	into column double A of the spreadsheet that
	4	is Defendant's Exhibit 218 and Ms. Pater's
	5	comments that were entered into CMS as she
	6	conducted this research project?
	7	MR. BERNAY: Object to the form.
	8	You can answer.
	9	A. As long as she didn't miss one
01:29	10	in manual error, yes, it should be 100
	11	percent.
	12	Q. How did Patient Point act on the
	13	information that Ms. Pater was able to gather
	14	if at all?
	15	A. We did not act on this
	16	information.
	17	Q. Was there an intent to act on
	18	the information when the project was
	19	undertaken?
01:30	20	A. I think it was dependent upon
	21	the results. We were really trying to see if
	22	anybody would actually state that they were
	23	given an incentive.
	24	Q. You believed that the practice's

		154
	1	representatives might be reticent to say that
	2	they had been offered and had accepted a gift
	3	card for switching?
	4	A. We had I I know and I
	5	recall a practice being offended that that
	6	they would have done something of the sort.
	7	Being defensive I guess.
	8	Q. So, if anything, you believed
	9	that Ms. Pater's results would be
01:30	10	under-inclusive of the practices that
	11	switched because of the incentive?
	12	MR. BERNAY: Object to the form.
	13	A. I I believe that she did
	14	not she was not able to determine what we
	15	were hoping that she would be able to
	16	determine: Whether or not they were offered
	17	an incentive.
	18	Q. Did any practices confirm that
	19	had they had been offered an incentive?
01:31	20	A. Not from her calls but we have
	21	confirmation from other practices that they
	22	had been.
	23	Q. And your impression is that
	24	there are additional ones who just were not

		155
	1	willing to say that they had accepted an
	2	A. Correct.
	3	Q incentive. And what is that
	4	based on?
	5	MR. BERNAY: Object to the form.
	6	A. It's based on a comment that we
	7	received from a vendor that was told that the
	8	practice was switching due to an AMX gift
	9	card that was provided to the office where we
01:32	10	did not the office manager did not state
	11	that to us directly. She told our vendor.
	12	Q. A deinstallation vendor?
	13	A. Yes.
	14	Q. How was that vendor's story
	15	reported to you?
	16	A. I believe that story was
	17	provided via phone to either the relationship
	18	manager actually I believe it was the
	19	relationship manager. Could have been
01:32	20	somebody from our field service department
	21	though too. One or the other that had access
	22	to CMS and they put the comment in CMS.
	23	Q. Do you remember who the
	24	relationship manager was?

		156
	1	A. No.
	2	Q. Were Ms. Pater's instructions to
	3	record all the responses to her questions or
	4	to focus on the incentives?
	5	A. For every person that she calls
	6	she has to put a comment into the database if
	7	she reached out to them via phone so
	8	regardless of the of what she found out
	9	she was instructed to put enter a comment.
01:33	10	Q. Do you know if well, was she
	11	instructed to record all the comments they
	12	received about the sound that's available
	13	through RHN's content loops?
	14	A. So in reference
	15	MR. BERNAY: Object object to
	16	the form.
	17	A. In reference to this document,
	18	again these are suggested questions for her
	19	to ask and whatever she was able to gather
01:34	20	she would summarize into a comment.
	21	Q. She was instructed to do that?
	22	A. She was instructed to put into a
	23	comment.
	24	Q. But she was not instructed to

		157
	1	necessarily hit all of these questions on
	2	every call?
	3	A. Correct.
	4	Q. Did you ever have a conversation
	5	with Ms. Pater about how she carried out her
	6	instructions?
	7	A. No. I don't believe. I mean
	8	what do you mean?
	9	Q. I'm just picturing something
01:34	10	like after the fact where you might have
	11	discussed with her, well, how many questions
	12	did you typically ask or you know what I
	13	mean? Like any kind of debrief?
	14	A. My debrief went as far as were
	15	you able to find anything out or anybody that
	16	was not happy or anybody that was was
	17	incented that told you that. That was the
	18	in I mean, that was pretty much the gist
	19	of the debrief.
01:34	20	Q. The results of the project were
	21	that Ms. Pater did not find anyone who was
	22	unhappy with RHN, correct?
	23	A. Correct.
	24	Q. And further Ms. Pater was unable

		158
	1	to confirm any practice saying that they had
	2	switched because of a gift card?
	3	A. Correct. Out of the calls that
	4	she made.
	5	Q. But that didn't surprise you
	6	because you thought the practices would
	7	hesitate to admit that.
	8	A. I did I did believe there was
	9	hesitation to admit that.
01:35	10	Q. It didn't cause you to change
	11	your opinion that those incentives were
	12	enough to cause a not insignificant number of
	13	switches in and of themselves?
	14	A. I still believed that that
	15	the source of the switch was due to the
	16	incentive not necessarily because of the
	17	program.
	18	Q. Looking at Defendant's
	19	Exhibit 218, who is responsible for creating
01:36	20	this spreadsheet?
	21	A. I initially started this
	22	spreadsheet with the column headers and I
	23	believe like the first two Chicago locations
	24	and then Lori Smith maintained it from that

LITIGATION SUPPORT SERVICES, INC. Cincinnati, Ohio (513) 241-5605 / Dayton, Ohio (937) 224-1990

0

0

		159
	1	point forward.
	2	Q. How did you select the column
	3	headers?
	4	MR. BERNAY: Again, I want to
	5	caution Ms. Finley not to reveal any of her
	6	discussions with counsel and not not to
	7	reveal any any thought processes or
	8	anything that would veer over the line of
	9	work product in relation to the document. We
01:37	10	still maintain it's privileged despite the
	11	fact we have produced it again over
	12	objection.
	13	A. What's the question again?
	14	Q. How did you select the column
	15	headings?
	16	A. Oh. Basically just kind of went
	17	through what I felt would be necessary
	18	information that I would want to look at in a
	19	glance.
01:37	20	Q. Regarding cancellations of
	21	Patient Point's systems in favor of Context
	22	Media coming in as a competitor?
	23	A. Correct.
	24	Q. Were those the instructions you

		160)
	1	gave to Lori Smith in preparing this?	
	2	A. Yes.	
	3	Q. Part of the project involved	
	4	tracking whether the equipment came back,	
	5	correct?	
	6	A. Correct.	
	7	Q. And another part of the project	
	8	involved collecting comments about the reason	
	9	for the cancellation, right?	
01:38	10	A. Yes.	
	11	Q. And then subsequently	
	12	Ms. Pater's comments were put in column AA?	
	13	A. Correct.	
	14	Q. What are the comments in Z that	
	15	are in the red here mostly?	
	16	A. These were comments that were	
	17	highlighted and this was for counsel to	
	18	MR. BERNAY: I would instruct	
	19	you not to say anything more than that.	
01:39	20	THE WITNESS: Okay.	
	21	MR. HANKINSON: The comments in	
	22	Z taken they're excerpts from other	
	23	comments.	
	24	A. Correct.	

		161
	1	Q. Was it your intent in creating
	2	this document and assigning to Lori Smith the
	3	ongoing project to provide the best
	4	information that you could or, excuse me, to
	5	gather the best information that you could
	6	about cancelations of practices who were
	7	switching to Context Media?
	8	A. I was instructed to create this
	9	spreadsheet by my boss at the time Jill
01:39	10	Brewer. From there, again, selected the
	11	appropriate fields that I felt was we felt
	12	was necessary to maintain in the spreadsheet.
	13	Q. Was it your goal to provide
	14	complete information about reasons for
	15	switches?
	16	MR. BERNAY: Object to the form.
	17	A. This was more for tracking
	18	equipment in locations that Context Media
	19	removed our equipment.
01:40	20	Q. On the seventh row in the
	21	comment summary the first sentence says Josh
	22	called in explained HAN is currently in
	23	litigation with RHN.
	24	A. Correct.

		162
	1	Q. That comment was made by Heather
	2	McGauvran, right?
	3	A. Yes.
	4	Q. Did you have an understanding of
	5	whether HAN was in litigation with RHN at the
	6	time that comment was made?
	7	MR. BERNAY: I I just want to
	8	note again for expediency purposes that this
	9	comment was produced previously. I said I
01:41	10	you know, if there if there's new
	11	information contained in these documents that
	12	I don't object to you asking her about it but
	13	this was a comment that I believe may have
	14	been asked about as part of an e-mail even in
	15	an earlier deposition. So again for
	16	expediency purposes I'm going to instruct
	17	Ms. Finley not to answer.
	18	MR. HANKINSON: It's a big
	19	project for me to know what's in here that
01:42	20	would be new versus what's in here that's not
	21	new and I'm not trying to create work. I'm
	22	just saying like I've got my questions
	23	MR. BERNAY: Uh-huh.
	24	MR. HANKINSON: I want to be

		163
	1	expedient too.
	2	MR. BERNAY: Right.
	3	MR. HANKINSON: This one I'll
	4	let go but that instruction I disagree with
	5	and I kind of want to figure out a way that
	6	we can get through today real nicely.
	7	MR. BERNAY: Understood.
	8	Understood. I you know, I take particular
	9	exception to this one because I believe
01:42	10	again I've been through a lot of these
	11	MR. HANKINSON: Skip this one.
	12	MR. BERNAY: Sure.
	13	MR. HANKINSON: Moving forward
	14	let me just try to be expedient
	15	MR. BERNAY: Okay.
	16	MR. HANKINSON: and then
	17	MR. BERNAY: And I've let you
	18	I think there there's been previous
	19	questions which could have easily been asked
01:42	20	in prior depositions. I've let that go. I'm
	21	not I'm not gonna hold you to only the
	22	text of the document and only what hadn't
	23	been produced before but I'm just again, I
	24	think this one was actually an exhibit in an

		164
	1	earlier deposition. That's all.
	2	MR. HANKINSON: That e-mail that
	3	you're referring to?
	4	MR. BERNAY: Yeah. I believe
	5	so. I again, I've been through a lot of
	6	these so
	7	MR. HANKINSON: Yeah and it's
	8	just hard
	9	MR. BERNAY: I don't disagree
01:43	10	with you, Tom, on that one.
	11	MR. HANKINSON: All right.
	12	THE WITNESS: Am I allowed to
	13	say I believe so too?
	14	MR. HANKINSON: Yeah.
	15	THE WITNESS: Because I do
	16	believe I do recall discussing that.
	17	Yeah.
	18	MR. BERNAY: I believe you were
	19	asked about that. Yeah.
01:43	20	MR. HANKINSON: Fair enough.
	21	Looking at row six in column double A
	22	Ms. Pater reports from her ACN follow-up
	23	interviews that the representative of the
	24	practice is happy with Context Media's

		165
	1	program and the sound is okay, correct?
	2	A. Yes.
	3	Q. Ms. Pater was conducting that
	4	interview process in the course of her duties
	5	for Patient Point, right?
	6	A. Yes.
	7	Q. At your instruction?
	8	A. Yes.
	9	Q. And your answer would be the
01:44	10	same if I asked that question as to any
	11	comment in column double A, correct?
	12	A. Yes.
	13	Q. The next row down, row seven,
	14	Ms. Pater reports that the practice
	15	representative said that they are happy with
	16	the new system, they think their patients are
	17	benefiting, correct?
	18	A. Correct.
	19	Q. Looking down in row eight,
01:44	20	Ms. Pater reported as part of her ACN
	21	follow-up interviews that the practice
	22	representatives were very happy with the new
	23	program, they have had no service issues,
	24	that the female patients especially like the

		166
	1	programing. They like the recipes and the
	2	sound and that it's more entertaining.
	3	Correct?
	4	A. That's what it states. Yes.
	5	Q. Do you believe that even though
	6	Mrs. Pater was primarily focused on finding
	7	out about incentives that she was
	8	nevertheless trying to be truthful and
	9	complete in reporting summaries of her
01:45	10	conversations with the practices in column
	11	double A?
	12	A. Yes, I believe she was being
	13	truthful.
	14	Q. And also complete as to whatever
	15	questions she managed to get answers to,
	16	right?
	17	A. Yes. And complete to whatever
	18	she was able to discuss.
	19	Q. In column 27 or, excuse me, row
01:45	20	27, it's at the top of the page, in column
	21	double A, Ms. Pater reported that the
	22	practice representative Mila feels the older
	23	patients are more attracted to the sound
	24	program than to reading the intro off the

		167
	1	screen. Do you see that?
	2	A. Yes.
	3	Q. Has it come to your attention in
	4	following up about comparisons between RHN's
	5	content and Patient Point's content that
	6	rheumatology is an area where just
	7	demographically the patients are more likely
	8	to be a little bit older?
	9	A. You could could say that.
01:46	10	Q. You've seen comments indicating
	11	that patients in rheumatology offices have a
	12	little more trouble reading words off a
	13	screen as opposed to hearing dialog with
	14	sound that's played at a at a audible
	15	volume?
	16	A. I've heard both. I've even
	17	heard the sound they can't always hear the
	18	sound either depending on the patient. So I
	19	think it goes sort of both ways. And the
01:47	20	font that is used on our screen is pretty
	21	visible.
	22	Q. But there have
	23	A. Larger font with that knowing
	24	that, you could have a variety of I don't

		168
	1	know what the right word is variety of
	2	different ages and age spectrum in the
	3	office.
	4	Q. Is the font in ACN larger than
	5	the font in primary care network for that
	6	reason?
	7	A. That I don't know. I can't
	8	speak to that.
	9	Q. Are you aware of any feedback
01:47	10	about the lack of sound in the ACN program
	11	related to older patients being given to the
	12	research department?
	13	A. Being given to our research
	14	department?
	15	Q. Maybe that's a bad question.
	16	A. No. No.
	17	Q. Being given to the department
	18	A. Maybe the creative
	19	Q that created
01:48	20	A department? The creative
	21	department. I'm sure if they received
	22	comments like that they would be shared to
	23	our creative department.
	24	Q. And are you aware of any changes

		169
	1	in Patient Point's content that were made
	2	because of such comments?
	3	A. I know that we have made changes
	4	to content. I can't say that it was in
	5	respect to those comments or how those
	6	changes were developed.
	7	Q. Were Ms. Pater's comments shared
	8	with anyone outside of the relationship
	9	management team?
)1:48	10	A. I don't recall if I circulated
	11	her comments or not.
	12	Q. You may have or you may not
	13	have?
	14	A. Correct.
	15	Q. If you could look at row 38. In
	16	the course of her ACM follow-up interviews
	17	Ms. Pater reported that the Arthritis and
	18	Pain Associates Practice, number 3433172
	19	stated again to her that the switch was due
)1:49	20	to content, correct?
	21	A. Yes. That's what it states.
	22	Q. If you could look at row 55,
	23	this concerns a switch from a primary care
	24	network location, correct?

LITIGATION SUPPORT SERVICES, INC. Cincinnati, Ohio (513) 241-5605 / Dayton, Ohio (937) 224-1990

0

0

			170
	1	A.	In row 55, yes.
	2	Q.	Dr. David Leonard was the
	3	primary care	physician?
	4	А.	Yes.
	5	Q.	And the comment field states
	6	that the doct	cor decided to change the program
	7	because the r	new program is specifically for
	8	diabetes, rig	ght?
	9	А.	Yes.
01:50	10	Q.	Have you seen other comments
	11	like that in	the course of your work
	12	Α.	Yes.
	13	Q.	about diabetes?
	14	A.	Yes.
	15	Q.	Does Patient Point have a
	16	network that	is geared specifically toward
	17	offices that	primarily deal with patients who
	18	have diabetes	s or related illnesses?
	19	A.	They did at one time and then
01:50	20	those that	program was merged into the
	21	primary care	network.
	22	Q.	Do you know about when that
	23	happened?	
	24	A.	That would pro that was

		171
	1	I'm gonna say like early 2005, four. I mean,
	2	a long time ago.
	3	Q. So at the time that Context
	4	Media got on your radar as a competitor, that
	5	switch had happened long in the past?
	6	A. It happened prior to that, yes.
	7	Q. So if I was a doctor who had
	8	Patient Point's diabetes focused program
	9	originally and was I still a subscriber
01:51	10	whenever that switch happened, approximately
	11	2005, my content would have changed from that
	12	focus to a primary care focus, right?
	13	A. To a primary care focus but
	14	there was diabetes content still in the
	15	primary and still is today in that primary
	16	care network.
	17	Q. If you could look at row 63, the
	18	comment as to location 3747002 Doctors Akther
	19	and Purushotham indicates that the practice
01:52	20	contact explained that Patient Point's
	21	program is very boring and they have patients
	22	who visit the office for multiple times in
	23	one week for infusions and treatments. Did I
	24	read that correctly?

			172
	1	A. That's what it states. Yes.	
	2	Q. And it states that the practice	
	3	contact indicated that Context's programming	
	4	was more engaging, kept the patients	
	5	interested throughout their visit, and the	
	6	contact specifically mentioned video recipes	
	7	and segments with sound, correct?	
	8	A. That's what it states.	
	9	Q. And then it states, she was very	
01:53	10	adamant that our programing was boring and	
	11	not very educational, right?	
	12	A. Again, yes, that's what it	
	13	states.	
	14	Q. It's fairly common in the	
	15	comment fields to find that a practice that	
	16	switched to Context Media liked that RHN was	
	17	focused on rheumatology or that DHN was	
	18	focused on diabetes. Is that fair to say?	
	19	MR. BERNAY: Object to form.	
01:54	20	A. The comments that were are	
	21	stated or put in here are basically what the	
	22	practice had stated to us. I'm not sure I	
	23	under our content for rheumatology is	
	24	focused on rheumatology. The primary care,	

		173
	1	yes, there's diabetes segments in there so it
	2	would be fair to say that Context Media may
	3	have more diabetes segments than us but I
	4	don't even know that for a fact because I
	5	have not seen their loop compared to our
	6	their diabetes loop compared to our primary
	7	care loop.
	8	Q. But the practices on this list
	9	report that that's the case.
01:54	10	A. That's what they believe, yes.
	11	Q. In row 74 the comment field for
	12	a practice 3655964 the North Dayton
	13	Rheumatology indicates, among other things,
	14	that the contact is not sure if an incentive
	15	was offered and does not think that RHN
	16	mislead or pretended to be HAN at any point.
	17	Do you see that?
	18	A. Yes.
	19	Q. Were was Lori Smith the
01:55	20	person who was fielding most of the calls
	21	when Context Media was identified as the
	22	competitor at issue?
	23	A. Yes, she was.
	24	Q. Were part of her instructions to

		174
	1	ask about whether Context Media was posing or
	2	misleading that they were affiliated with
	3	HAN?
	4	A. Again, I believe I covered all
	5	this in my last deposition but, yes, part of
	6	her instructions at one point were to ask
	7	that. When we heard a practice tell us that
	8	they felt they were that they were
	9	misrepresented another company, Context
01:56	10	Media, had misrepresented us by stating that
	11	they had permission to remove our equipment,
	12	when we found out that that was happening, we
	13	wanted to make sure that when we learned of
	14	another location removing equipment, if that
	15	was the case in their situation as well.
	16	Q. And I don't want to take up a
	17	lot of time. I just if Ms. Smith found
	18	that out you her instructions were that it
	19	would be in her comments, right?
01:56	20	A. It would be in her comments.
	21	Q. So if I'm looking at this
	22	spreadsheet and some of them say they did not
	23	mislead and others are silent as to whether
	24	they misled or not, I can't assume that the

		175
	1	others were misled. She would have stated it
	2	affirmatively if they told her that, right?
	3	MR. BERNAY: Objection.
	4	Q. According to her instructions.
	5	MR. BERNAY: Same objection.
	6	You can answer.
	7	A. Again, this is a manual entry.
	8	I can't state that she put verbatim
	9	everything that she was told. She should.
01:57	10	It would be you know, she should put that
	11	in her comments for obvious reasons. It's
	12	you know, it's something that we would want
	13	to know but I can't say, oh, yeah, 100
	14	percent of the time she did that because
	15	everybody makes mistakes.
	16	Q. And that's why column double A
	17	stops eventually is because that ACM followup
	18	happened at a particular time in the summer
	19	of 2012 so the comments that were added to
01:59	20	the spreadsheet after that would not have
	21	anything in column double A.
	22	A. Right. And she didn't even call
	23	every single practice so
	24	MR. HANKINSON: I'd like to mark

		17	6
	1	this as 219.	
	2	(Exhibit 219 identified.)	
	3	Q. Do you recognize what's been	
	4	marked as Defendant's 219?	
	5	A. This appears to be a counsel	
	6	request.	
	7	MR. BERNAY: Huh?	
	8	Q. The date of this request is	
	9	September 8th, 2012?	
02:00	10	A. That's what it states.	
	11	Q. And the offices is Rheumatology	
	12	Associates in Bettendorf, Louisiana, correct?	
	13	A. Yes.	
	14	Q. It's signed by the office	
	15	manager Nancy Brimeyer?	
	16	A. Yes.	
	17	Q. Do you know whose notes appear	
	18	in handwriting on the right margin?	
	19	A. That's my handwriting.	
02:01	20	Q. Your note of October 7th does	
	21	that reflect the date on which you spoke to	
	22	the office manager?	
	23	A. I don't recall what that date	
	24	is.	

		177
	1	Q. Do you have a common practice
	2	when you take notes about calls with
	3	canceling practices?
	4	A. No, I don't. I don't typically
	5	deal with the practices on a day-to-day
	6	basis. Looking at this, you know, October
	7	7th, 8 a.m., tells me maybe it was an
	8	appointment of some time of some type.
	9	Considering the timeframe from the letter and
02:01	10	that I would probably conclude that that was
	11	the date of de-installing the equipment.
	12	Q. And then the word content
	13	underneath there, does that refer to the
	14	reason for the cancel?
	15	A. That could be the yes.
	16	Q. Would that be your best
	17	understanding of your own notes?
	18	A. Yes.
	19	MR. HANKINSON: I'd like to mark
02:02	20	Exhibit 220.
	21	(Exhibit 220 identified.)
	22	Q. Ms. Finley, do you know what
	23	Defendant's Exhibit 220 is?
	24	A. Yes.

				178
	1	Q.	What is it?	
	2	Α.	It's a churn report.	
	3	Q.	Are these reports made in the	
	4	course of Pat	tient Point's business?	
	5	Α.	I create this report.	
	6	Q.	How often?	
	7	Α.	Once a quarter.	
	8	Q.	How do you create it?	
	9	Α.	I pull the data from the	
02:03	10	database usir	ng the cancel reason codes.	
	11	Q.	Do you do that yourself or with	
	12	someone from	IT?	
	13	А.	I do that myself actually.	
	14	Q.	And by database you mean CMS?	
	15	Α.	Correct.	
	16	Q.	So these are reports that	
	17	utilize the 1	reason codes that you enter with	
	18	every cancell	lation at least since the time	
	19	you made that	mandatory.	
02:03	20	А.	Yes.	
	21	Q.	And before that it was your best	;
	22	effort to		
	23	А.	Sure.	
	24	Q.	record those reason codes?	

		179
	1	A. The reason code for cancel was
	2	always entered. The competitor name was what
	3	was not always 100 percent. That wasn't
	4	the the cancel reason code was always
	5	mandatory. I'm sorry if I didn't make that
	6	clear before. Cancel reason code was always
	7	mandatory. It was the competitor name that
	8	wasn't always mandatory.
	9	Q. That was not my understanding
02:04	10	before but that's
	11	A. Sorry.
	12	Q that's what it is?
	13	A. That is what it is, yes.
	14	Q. Okay.
	15	A. The can when you cancel a
	16	location you have to give the reason.
	17	Q. And that's always been the
	18	A. That's always been the case.
	19	Q case at least since 2009?
02:04	20	A. Correct.
	21	Q. For what quarter was this churn
	22	report created?
	23	A. This is for the entire year of
	24	2013.

		180
	1	Q. Was it the one that you made for
	2	the fourth quarter?
	3	A. This would have been the end of
	4	the year, yes. I tried to run them
	5	quarter I tried to be religious about
	6	running them each quarter.
	7	Q. Who are they distributed to?
	8	A. I would pass then on to
	9	executive team members.
02:05	10	MR. BERNAY: I do want to state
	11	she's testified about the previous churn
	12	reports in 2000 from 2011, 2012 so this
	13	these kind of general questioning has already
	14	been asked on the record. If you have
	15	questions specific to the 2013 document, I
	16	would I would ask them.
	17	MR. HANKINSON: Who did you send
	18	this one to?
	19	A. I who did I send this one to.
02:05	20	I sent this to my boss Kimberly Theiss. I
	21	also believe I sent this to Greg Robinson,
	22	and Chris Martini.
	23	Q. What is the expected churn of
	24	30 percent in ACN come from?

		181	
	1	A. Reviewing the reviewing the	
	2	previous year's report and just kind of	
	3	estimating on top of that what we anticipate	
	4	could be the churn.	
	5	Q. It's not a the 30 percent is	
	6	not an exact report of a statistic from the	
	7	database.	
	8	A. No.	
	9	Q. It's a projection?	
02:06	10	A. Yes.	
	11	Q. And are you responsible for	
	12	making this 30 percent projection?	
	13	A. I am.	
	14	Q. And the same for all the	
	15	expected churn numbers in this document?	
	16	A. Yes.	
	17	Q. The other one?	
	18	A. Yes.	
	19	Q. When was the 30 percent ACN and	
02:06	20	12 percent PCN expected churn picked by you	
	21	that then ended up in this report?	
	22	A. It would have been in	
	23	January 2013 January/February of 2013.	
	24	That timeframe.	

		182
	1	Q. And actual churn for 2013 ended
	2	up to be lower than that?
	3	A. Correct.
	4	Q. The comparison of 2011 to 2012
	5	that included in the same report made in the
	6	fourth quarter of 2013?
	7	A. Yes.
	8	Q. Is there usually sort of a
	9	backward looking report that's at the same
02:07	10	time?
	11	A. This was actually an error on
	12	the top header. If it's in the header field,
	13	it's not viewable unless it's printed so I
	14	did not update that top information. As you
	15	can see, 12 months 2013, 12 months 2012,
	16	that's really what you're comparing here.
	17	Actually just realized that recently that I
	18	never updated that header because you don't
	19	see it when you look on it electronically.
02:08	20	You only see it when you print it.
	21	Q. Is this spit out by CMS or some
	22	program in between?
	23	A. This is an Excel document.
	24	Q. What year are the notes in the

		183
	1	status comments column applicable to?
	2	A. 2013.
	3	Q. So in if I'm reading this
	4	correctly, in 2013, 96 practice locations
	5	switched from Patient Point's waiting room
	6	network system to a television to the best of
	7	Patient Point's information?
	8	A. Correct. But I'd also like to
	9	note that this is all of our WRN programs not
02:09	10	just primary care and arthritis care.
	11	Q. Do you have a regular report
	12	that breaks it down by waiting room network?
	13	A. The you mean by each
	14	individual program?
	15	Q. Uh-huh.
	16	A. I could run that report but do I
	17	run that typically? No.
	18	Q. PWR is
	19	A. Practice wire.
02:10	20	Q. Is that like brochures? No.
	21	That's the back office one, right?
	22	A. Yes.
	23	Q. What is the note health system
	24	acquisitions mean on HAN 006137?

			184
	1	A. Health system acquisitions	
	2	refers to large health systems, for instance,	
	3	like in Cincinnati Catholic Health Partners	
	4	buying up more hospitals and physician	
	5	offices under their ownership.	
	6	Q. And there's a reason code for	
	7	new management.	
	8	A. Correct.	
	9	Q. So when there's a large scale	
02:11	10	acquisitions that would potentially affect	
	11	the level of churn for practices that were	
	12	within that acquisition.	
	13	A. Yes. So with yes. Health	
	14	care systems was the reason main reason	
	15	for new management. Practices switching	
	16	because of new ownership.	
	17	Q. That comment is your attempt to	
	18	add some color to the numbers?	
	19	A. Correct.	
02:11	20	Q. Was does the comment offices	
	21	closing doors mean?	
	22	A. Offices permanently closed.	
	23	Those offices closing their doors, so offices	;
	24	actually closing their practice.	

			185
	1	Q.	That one doesn't really explain
	2	anything new	it's just an explanation
	3	Α.	Right.
	4	Q.	of that code.
	5	A.	Right.
	6	Q.	Did your expected churn for 2013
	7	include churr	n that you expected due to
	8	competitors p	practices that you believe are
	9	part of this	lawsuit?
02:12	10		MR. BERNAY: Object to the form.
	11	You can answe	er.
	12	A.	My expected churn number for
	13	rheumatology	was anticipating the removal of
	14	our equipment	by Context Media without our
	15	knowledge.	
	16	Q.	Why do you think that that
	17	impacts churr	1?
	18		MR. BERNAY: Object to the form.
	19	A.	Oh. Well, because they take
02:13	20	down our equi	ipment without us knowing it, we
	21	don't have a	we don't have time or a
	22	chance to act	cually convince the practice
	23	otherwise bed	cause the equipment's already
	24	down and off	the wall and their equipment is

		-	186
	1	up.	
	2	Q. You're referring to saves?	
	3	A. Yes.	
	4	Q. Do you have any reason that you	
	5	believe that the save rate for practices that	
	6	switch to Context Media would be any better	
	7	than the save rate for practices that switch	
	8	to another competitor or to television if the	
	9	same period of time was given to Patient	
02:14	10	Point to make the save?	
	11	MR. BERNAY: Object to the form.	
	12	A. I believe that if the practice	
	13	had time that we had more time to talk to	
	14	the practice to make sure that they	
	15	understand. Because a lot of times office	
	16	managers change so the person that we	
	17	originally sold our program into may not be	
	18	fully aware of everything that's available on	
	19	the program or the content that's even on the	
02:14	20	program unless they sat in the waiting room	
	21	and watched it which is very unlikely for a	
	22	practice manager to do. So for us not to be	
	23	able to have the chance to explain to them	
	24	what they already have, yes, I do believe	

		<u> </u>	187
	1	that, you know, if we had more time to do	
	2	that we could have saved more practices.	
	3	It's hard to to do that and explain to	
	4	them what they have when it's already been	
	5	removed off the wall.	
	6	Q. There's a certain amount of	
	7	churn no matter what the competitors are	
	8	doing, right?	
	9	A. There's always expected churn.	
02:15	10	Q. And then what you're describing	
	11	is your view that part of this 30 percent is	
	12	you've added some component of this	
	13	30 percent for the anticipation that Context	
	14	Media is going to switch out the equipment	
	15	without waiting the 30 or the 60 days, right?	
	16	A. Right.	
	17	Q. How much of that expected churn	
	18	is that versus	
	19	A. I	
02:15	20	Q other?	
	21	MR. BERNAY: Objection. You can	
	22	answer.	
	23	A. I don't know. I I I don't	
	24	know.	

		188
	1	Q. You can't say what this number
	2	would be absent the Context Media switch out
	3	practice that you're describing?
	4	MR. BERNAY: Same objection.
	5	You can answer.
	6	A. I can't no, I can't. I
	7	can't especially right here right now
	8	trying to think in my head what was our churn
	9	rate the year before. What wasn't factored.
02:16	10	What was it increased at a certain point
	11	towards the end of the year. And you can't
	12	get that from these others because this is
	13	all the WRN together and not just one
	14	particular program.
	15	Q. It's frustrating and I don't
	16	mean to hammer on it. I just you're
	17	telling me that a part of this number is due
	18	specifically to how you say Context Media
	19	takes equipment off of walls and the timing
02:16	20	of it but you can't tell me what part of this
	21	number.
	22	MR. BERNAY: Object to the form.
	23	Asked and answered.
	24	A. I don't know so for the

189 for instance, when Context Media was going at 1 2 the rate that they were with removing equipment off the wall, if they would have 3 4 continued at that rate without us trying to 5 put a stop to it and having them follow the 6 proper protocol, we could have continued at a 7 higher rate and that's what this number was 8 anticipating. I don't recall what the number 9 was for 2012 to say did I look at this and 02:17 10 say, okay, was it the last six months of the 11 year that I analyzed and said, okay, based on 12 that it's gonna continue that, I'm gonna add 13 ten percent. I -- I don't know. I'd have to 14 go back and kind of look at everything again 15 and figure out where I came up with that 16 number exactly but -- you know. So that's as 17 much information right now that I can give 18 you. 19 Q. Ms. Lawrence testified, if I'm 02:17 20 remembering correctly -- and I'm just using 21 this as an example -- that she thought that 22 of the practices that she received cancellations from --23 24 Uh-huh. Α.

		190
	1	Q she probably only then would
	2	be able to save, would be able to cancel the
	3	cancel, in about one of ten, sometimes up to
	4	two of ten, times.
	5	A. Okay.
	6	Q. Now, you've said you don't know
	7	what that rate is normally. Is that correct?
	8	A. I don't handle every cancel
	9	request that comes in.
02:18	10	Q. Right.
	11	A. And nor does she.
	12	Q. Right. But there's a certain
	13	number of cancellations that then get
	14	cancelled, that get saved, right?
	15	A. Uh-huh.
	16	Q. And that number you know, in
	17	theory there would be saves, you know, and
	18	then it's out of a total number of cancel
	19	orders and that would be the save rate,
02:18	20	correct?
	21	A. Sounds that calculation seems
	22	right.
	23	Q. And that's not 100 percent.
	24	It's nowhere near 100 percent, right?

		191
	1	MR. BERNAY: Object to the form.
	2	A. I I don't understand what
	3	you're
	4	Q. You don't save every cancel
	5	order.
	6	A. No, we don't save every cancel
	7	order.
	8	Q. Or nearly every cancel order.
	9	A. We do not save every cancel
02:19	10	order. No.
	11	Q. And that's so let's take out
	12	Context Media and say all other
	13	competitors
	14	A. Uh-huh.
	15	Q and television which you
	16	include as a competitor, right?
	17	A. Correct.
	18	Q. There's a certain save rate that
	19	would be a component of churn, right?
02:19	20	A. There would be a certain save
	21	rate. I don't look at the save rate. I
	22	guess that's where I have not looked at
	23	that, so I'm sorry that I don't have those
	24	answers.

		192
	1	Q. I'm not looking for apology or
	2	even judging any of your work. It's just I
	3	have new questions here today. You know
	4	A. No.
	5	Q what I mean.
	6	A. I understand.
	7	Q. So there's a save rate that
	8	would be essentially normal or at least it
	9	would be aggregated across all other
02:19	10	competitors other than Context and you're
	11	saying that because of the timing of Context
	12	taking equipment off of walls, that save
	13	rate
	14	A. Uh-huh.
	15	Q is going lower, right?
	16	A. That save rate is lowering, yes.
	17	Q. If that save rate, let's say, is
	18	only one out of every five like let's say
	19	when a practice cancels you know, when
02:20	20	five practices cancel, excludeing Context,
	21	only one out of those five is gonna get
	22	saved. Make that assumption. Then if that
	23	save rate decreases from there to one out of
	24	ten

			193
	1	A. Uh-huh.	
	2	Q it's not like that timing,	
	3	you know, lets you save everybody, right?	
	4	MR. BERNAY: Object to the form	•
	5	Object to the hypothetical nature of the	
	6	question. You can answer.	
	7	A. Well, here's the thing too	
	8	and just as you're talking about this more,	
	9	the other piece of this, making me think this	3
02:21	10	is where this is coming from as far as	
	11	them removing the equipment and the timing,	
	12	the other aspect of this is the fact that	
	13	when Context was making it easy for the	
	14	practice and they didn't have to do anything	,
	15	they didn't have to call us to tell us that	
	16	they wanted to cancel, they just basically	
	17	let Context handle everything and thought	
	18	they had permission to handle everything,	
	19	then it took the burden off of the practice	
02:21	20	which I also believe played a factor into the	5
	21	fact of why they wanted to switch, so	
	22	therefore if now by following the proper	
	23	protocol that slows that process down because	5
	24	now you're actually asking the practice to	

		194
	1	call us and tell us that they not longer want
	2	the program. We had practices say I like
	3	your programing, I'm happy with your
	4	programming but we're gonna try something
	5	different. That's why we switched. Well,
	6	again, because the prac the decision was
	7	already made and it was made easy for them
	8	that, I believe, also im or had a factor
	9	into why things were happening, churn was
02:22	10	happening faster and higher because, one
	11	it all just boiled down to the fact that they
	12	were taking the equipment down and it would
	13	show up on our doorstep. So the practice
	14	didn't have to do anything. We didn't have a
	15	time to even call a chance to even call
	16	them to try to convince them to stay. It
	17	just happened.
	18	Q. But it's not that the the
	19	save rate would become 100 percent if Context
02:22	20	suddenly
	21	A. No, it would not
	22	Q gave 30 or 6
	23	A be 100 percent.
	24	Q. It would probably be in line

		195
	1	with the rest of the save rate for all
	2	competitors and television, right?
	3	A. It I would believe it to be,
	4	yes.
	5	Q. So when you're calculating this
	6	30 percent churn rate, it's not that the 74
	7	locations that switched to Context Media
	8	now, I know that's for all networks. But I
	9	mean it's gonna be only PCN and A CN, right?
02:22	10	A. Yes.
	11	Q. So 74 locations switch to
	12	Context Media, you're not saying that you
	13	expected that churn to be, you know, 74
	14	instead of zero because of a delay. The save
	15	rate for all competitors and television would
	16	only be something like one out of ten or one
	17	out of five.
	18	MR. BERNAY: Object to the form.
	19	A. Okay.
02:23	20	Q. So if you're talking about 74
	21	practices in one year, we're only talking
	22	about maybe seven practices that would be
	23	saved if the 30 to 60 days were allowed?
	24	MR. BERNAY: I think she's asked

		196
	1	and answered the you've asked and she's
	2	answered this question about ten times
	3	already.
	4	A. I I don't really understand
	5	what you're asking me. Again, I'm not a math
	6	person, so asking me figure out percentages
	7	and numbers and all that, it's not my strong
	8	suit so I'm sorry I'm not following with you
	9	directly. Trying to do my best.
02:24	10	Q. I'm not a math person either so
	11	it's probably my fault too.
	12	A. But I'm trying to I mean, I
	13	do get what you're saying. Yes, I do think
	14	that if the proper protocol was followed
	15	of course we're not going to save every
	16	practice. It's not gonna happen.
	17	Q. You would expect the save rate
	18	to be in line with the rest of the
	19	competitors and television?
02:24	20	MR. BERNAY: Objection. Asked
	21	and answered. You can answer one more time.
	22	A. I would expect it to be lower,
	23	yes.
	24	MR. BERNAY: Why don't we take a

		197
	1	short break?
	2	THE WITNESS: Yeah. My foot's
	3	falling asleep. Sorry.
	4	VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the
	5	record.
	6	(Break taken.)
	7	VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the
	8	record with DVD number three.
	9	(Exhibit 221 identified.)
02:34	10	MR. HANKINSON: Ms. Finley, I'd
	11	like to direct your attention to what's been
	12	marked as Defendant's Exhibit 221 and this
	13	also bears a footer that says HAN 002706. Do
	14	you see that?
	15	A. Yes.
	16	Q. Are you familiar with HAN 002706
	17	as one of the spreadsheets that was produced
	18	in this litigation by Patient Point's counsel
02:35	19	that lists certain comments from CMS?
	20	A. Yes.
	21	Q. And this particular one, 2706
	22	that's now Defendant's Exhibit 221, was
	23	produced relatively early in the litigation,
	24	correct?

		198
	1	A. Yes.
	2	Q. Are you familiar with the intent
	3	of Defendant's Exhibit 221 to list practices
	4	that switched to Context Media from Patient
	5	Point?
	6	A. Yes.
	7	Q. Please flip to page four of 66
	8	and look at the comments listed for 3001256
	9	Dr. David Leonard.
02:36	10	A. Okay.
	11	Q. If you could read the third
	12	comment in the comment fields column?
	13	A. The one that starts out with per
	14	Rob?
	15	Q. Yes.
	16	A. Well, there's two actually.
	17	Second one that starts off with per Rob.
	18	Q. Uh-huh.
	19	MR. BERNAY: You're asking her
02:36	20	about the longer per Rob comment?
	21	MR. HANKINSON: Yes.
	22	MR. BERNAY: Okay.
	23	A. Okay.
	24	MR. HANKINSON: Rob's the name

			199
	1	of the practice contact, correct?	
	2	A. I believe so.	
	3	Q. That would be the context of	
	4	this comment, right?	
	5	A. Yes.	
	6	Q. And	
	7	A. Oh, wait. No. No. See per	
	8	Rob. Per Rob, we also have a Rob employee	
	9	and based on what I'm per Rob, we just	
02:37	10	received the we just received the	
	11	equipment from this office.	
	12	Q. And then it switches to the	
	13	office contact.	
	14	A. Then it switches to they field	
	15	this order to Heather and Kari which is on	
	16	the relationship management team. We have	
	17	received all major equipment back and is	
	18	gonna go into this	
	19	Q. Then it says	
02:37	20	A comment.	
	21	Q office confirmed today.	
	22	A. Right. So which which leads	
	23	me to believe Kari spoke with the office	
	24	contact.	

			200
	1	Q. Okay. And	
	2	A. But it doesn't have the office	
	3	contact name.	
	4	Q. So the office contact of	
	5	Dr. David Leonard's office	
	6	A. Right.	
	7	Q indicated that they didn't	
	8	have issues with Patient Point's program but	
	9	the doctor decided to change because the new	
02:37	10	program is specifically for diabetes, right?	
	11	A. That's what it states. Yes.	
	12	Q. That would be an example of what	_
	13	we spoke about earlier in terms of comments	
	14	indicating that practices were switching to	
	15	DHN because it was specific to diabetes,	
	16	correct?	
	17	A. Correct.	
	18	Q. If you turn to the next page,	
	19	the top comment, the first three words are	
02:38	20	during audit call. What is an audit call?	
	21	A. The audit calls so our field	
	22	service department when we send a third party	·
	23	to go out to update brochure racks, they will	L
	24	audit the vendor to make sure that they	

		201
	1	actually updated the brochure rack.
	2	Q. So that's a visit to a practice?
	3	A. The visit is. The audit call is
	4	an actual call to follow up to make sure that
	5	the visit took place.
	6	Q. Thank you. If you could flip to
	7	page eight of 66, the top comment has to do
	8	with practice 3002620 Endocrine Associates of
	9	South Jersey, correct?
02:39	10	A. Yes. Appears
	11	Q. And it summarizes a conversation
	12	with Mary from Endocrine Associates?
	13	A. Yes.
	14	Q. In switching to Context Media,
	15	Mary explained that the switch was made
	16	because the new program deals only with
	17	diabetes and our program is not specialized
	18	for their office, right?
	19	A. That's what it states. Yes.
02:39	20	Q. Is that another example?
	21	A. Another example of?
	22	Q. Of what we spoke about earlier
	23	in terms a Patient Point's primary care
	24	network not being as geared specifically to

		202
	1	diabetes as Context's network as of at least
	2	the time period after 2005 when Patient
	3	Point's diabetes specific network was
	4	converted into the primary care network?
	5	A. Yes.
	6	Q. I'd like to direct you to page
	7	ten of 66. The third comment down. Does
	8	that relate to practice 3297726 Internal
	9	Medicine Clinic?
02:40	10	A. Okay. It says starting with
	11	called site?
	12	Q. Yes.
	13	A. Okay.
	14	Q. Does the practice representative
	15	indicate to Patient Point that the switch was
	16	made because the new program is more engaging
	17	offering sound and a news ticker?
	18	A. That yes. That's what it
	19	states.
02:41	20	Q. Would this be an example of what
	21	you referenced earlier that you wondered
	22	whether some of the switches weren't due to
	23	this patient education on Context's loop
	24	specifically but might rather be related to

		203)
	1	the bells and whistles like sound and a news	
	2	ticker?	
	3	A. Yes.	
	4	Q. If you would look at the bottom	
	5	comment on this page, it ends in redacted.	
	6	A. It ends in oh, yes. Okay.	
	7	Q. Do you know what that is?	
	8	A. I do not know what that is.	
	9	Q. Do comments in CMS sometimes	
02:41	10	reflect conversations with attorneys?	
	11	A. I don't recall. I don't know.	
	12	Q. Okay.	
	13	A. It's I don't know	
	14	Q. I don't need to	
	15	A what that means.	
	16	Q. I don't need to you ask you	
	17	anymore. I just wanted to	
	18	A. I don't know what that means.	
	19	Yeah.	
02:42	20	Q. Okay. All right. Set that one	
	21	aside.	
	22	(Exhibit 222 identified.)	
	23	Q. Look at what's been marked as	
	24	Defendant's Exhibit 222. I will represent to	

		204
	1	you that this was produced as a file that had
	2	the number HAN 005882. Would you mind
	3	writing that at the bottom, HAN 005882?
	4	Thank you. Sorry to impose.
	5	A. That's okay.
	6	Q. Are you aware that counsel for
	7	Patient Point also tried to produce some
	8	spreadsheets from CMS that have comments
	9	about reasons given for switches to
02:43	10	competitors other than Context?
	11	A. Yes.
	12	Q. Are you aware that Defendant's
	13	Exhibit 222 that was marked with HAN 005882
	14	is one of those spreadsheets?
	15	A. Yes.
	16	Q. Was the intent in creating
	17	the and this spreadsheet lists data taken
	18	from CMS, correct?
	19	A. Correct. This was just a data
02:43	20	pull.
	21	Q. And the CMS data was entered
	22	into CMS by Context or excuse me by
	23	Patient Point employees, correct?
	24	A. Yes. By Patient Point employee.

		205
	1	Q. They did that in the ordinary
	2	course of their duties, correct?
	3	A. Correct.
	4	Q. And the CMS database including
	5	all the information in this spreadsheet is
	6	kept in Patient Point's ordinary course of
	7	business, correct?
	8	A. Correct.
	9	Q. The comment fields are entered
02:44	10	by employees at or shortly after the time
	11	that the conversations reflected in the
	12	comments occurred, right?
	13	A. Yes.
	14	Q. Would you please look at I
	15	hope these are in order, Aaron practice ID
	16	3429893?
	17	MR. BERNAY: 3429893?
	18	MR. HANKINSON: Yeah. It is a
	19	balance
02:44	20	MR. BERNAY: Miguel Cima?
	21	MR. HANKINSON: Yes.
	22	A. I can't believe I found it.
	23	Q. So there's quite a few. I'm
	24	looking at the there's two at the bottom

		206
	1	of the page and then I'm looking at the full
	2	page of Cima Miguel Cima comments
	3	A. Okay.
	4	Q at the bottom comment. Is
	5	this a CMS entry related to practice 3429893
	6	practice name Miguel A. Cima, MD?
	7	A. This is the practice yes.
	8	Q. And this comment has to do with
	9	a practice that switched away from the ACN
02:45	10	network, correct?
	11	A. Yes.
	12	Q. The competitor to which that
	13	practice switched was Health Monitor,
	14	correct?
	15	A. Correct.
	16	Q. Lori Smith entered this CMS
	17	information?
	18	A. Yes.
	19	Q. And she received that
02:45	20	information from Gloria Cima, correct?
	21	A. Correct.
	22	Q. I'll just go ahead and read the
	23	comment quickly. Reassigning cancel order to
	24	Amy F. That's you, correct?

		207
	1	A. Yes.
	2	Q. Health monitor removed our
	3	equipment 7/9. Do you believe that to mean
	4	July 9th?
	5	A. Yes.
	6	Q. After Heather specifically told
	7	the office that another company was
	8	misrepresenting themselves claiming that they
	9	were authorized to remove our equipment. I
02:46	10	was able to is SW speak with?
	11	A. Yes.
	12	Q. I was able to speak with Gloria
	13	once and she refused to call do you
	14	believe Health Monitor is what is meant by
	15	HM she refused to call Health Monitor to
	16	find out what happened to our equipment.
	17	I've been unable to get her on the phone
	18	since. Notifying Vida that the monitor and
	19	CPU for this office should be written off.
02:46	20	Did I read that correctly?
	21	A. Yes.
	22	Q. The specific notification from
	23	Heather that would be Heather McGauvran,
	24	right?

			208
	1	A. Yes.	
	2	Q. The specific notification that	
	3	another company was misrepresenting	
	4	themselves claiming they are authorized to	
	5	remove our equipment, do you know what that	
	6	refers to?	
	7	A. I I would believe that	
	8	would refers to Heather notifying of	
	9	this notifying them of this but	
02:47	10	Q. Do you think that that she	
	11	intended to notify them about a practice that	t
	12	Heather thought Context Media was following	
	13	in the marketplace?	
	14	MR. BERNAY: Object to the form	•
	15	A. I don't believe that she was	
	16	stating a specific company. It's just the	
	17	fact that nobody else is allowed to remove	
	18	our equipment stating that fact and then	
	19	after the fact that they still removed the	
02:47	20	equipment.	
	21	Q. Are you aware of any statements	
	22	to practices about a company being in the	
	23	marketplace misrepresenting themselves	
	24	claiming that they're authorized to remove	

		209
	1	our equipment other than the e-mail and fax
	2	and any calls from the practices about the
	3	e-mail and fax that related to Context Media
	4	that we discussed earlier?
	5	MR. BERNAY: Object to the form.
	6	A. Those would be the primary
	7	reasons for that conversation to take place.
	8	It's not to say that there couldn't have been
	9	a conversation where there was notification
02:48	10	that a practice was being can that was
	11	canceling or that somebody got wind that that
	12	was happening and they stated, oh, they're
	13	going to remove it, you don't have to worry
	14	about it, to where they were saying they
	15	would reiterate that fact or bring up that
	16	point from that e-mail/fax at that time.
	17	Q. So we don't
	18	A. I would hope that they would
	19	reiterate that fact.
02:48	20	Q. We don't know whether
	21	Ms. McGauvran was telling this to the
	22	practice because of that Context Media fax
	23	and e-mail or whether it's some independent
	24	reason that she's telling this to the

		210
	1	practice, correct?
	2	A. Yes. I don't I don't know if
	3	this was in reference to the e-mail or if
	4	this was just this is what we knew was
	5	happening and she was stating that we know
	6	this is happening and that is not true, only
	7	Patient Point/Healthy Advice can remove the
	8	programming.
	9	Q. So what was known is that Health
02:49	10	Monitor had removed the equipment already,
	11	right?
	12	A. That's what it sounds like in
	13	this what I'm seeing from here.
	14	Q. Uh-huh. And then the comment
	15	ends notifying Vida that the monitor and CPU
	16	for this office should be written off, right?
	17	A. Right.
	18	Q. Written off mean sorry. You
	19	were talking.
02:49	20	A. No. It's okay. I was just
	21	gonna say that it looks it appears the
	22	equipment is missing.
	23	Q. And so written off means that
	24	Patient Point is going to cease efforts to

			211
	1	locate the equipment?	
	2	A. Yes.	
	3	(Exhibit 223 identified.)	
	4	Q. Please direct your attention to	
	5	what's been marked as Defendant's 223.	
	6	Please try to find practice number 3488738.	
	7	It's Board Certified Rheumatology. 348	
	8	A. 348	
	9	Q 8738.	
02:50	10	A. 348 3488	
	11	Q. 738. The page begins with	
	12	Lesser then Goldman and then Board Certified	
	13	Rheumatology is the one I'm talking about.	
	14	A. 89. 88. Oh, okay. I'm sorry.	
	15	It was underneath the clip.	
	16	Q. Probably the page before that.	
	17	A. The page before this one?	
	18	MR. BERNAY: 738. There it is.	
	19	THE WITNESS: Says James oh,	
02:51	20	okay. Down here.	
	21	MR. BERNAY: Yeah.	
	22	THE WITNESS: Okay.	
	23	MR. HANKINSON: If you could	
	24	turn your attention to the bottom comment,	

		212
	1	this comment relates to a practice oh,
	2	excuse me. I should have started keep
	3	Keep that. Don't lose that for goodness
	4	sake. Here, you want to put that on it?
	5	A. That would be great. Thank you.
	6	Q. Thank you. Defendant's
	7	Exhibit 223 was produced with a number. It
	8	was HAN 00 I believe it's 6 yeah 6145.
	9	Would you write that, HAN 006145?
02:52	10	A. HAN 00
	11	Q. 6145. Are you aware of a
	12	attempted final update of the spreadsheet of
	13	data from CMS that gives the database's
	14	information about practices that switched
	15	from Patient Point to Context Media?
	16	A. Yes.
	17	Q. And the attempted final update
	18	that includes the comments about the reasons
	19	for those practices' switch is HAN 006145,
02:52	20	right?
	21	A. Yes.
	22	Q. And that's Defense Exhibit 223
	23	now. Please, go ahead and well, generally
	24	I should say, is the information in this

		213
	1	spreadsheet taken from CMS?
	2	A. Yes.
	3	Q. And are all the questions that I
	4	asked about well, let's just run through
	5	it again. Was the information entered into
	6	CMS by employee's of Patient Point?
	7	A. Yes.
	8	Q. Did they enter that information
	9	in the course of their duties as employees of
02:53	10	Patient Point?
	11	A. Yes.
	12	Q. Did they do that in the ordinary
	13	course of their duties?
	14	A. Yes.
	15	Q. Does Patient Point keep the CMS
	16	database in the ordinary course of its
	17	business?
	18	A. Yes.
	19	Q. And it relies on the information
02:53	20	in the CMS database to make important
	21	business decisions, right?
	22	A. Yes.
	23	Q. The comments in the CMS database
	24	are entered by employees at or shortly after

		214
	1	the time that they have the interactions and
	2	conversations reflected in the comments,
	3	right?
	4	A. Yes.
	5	Q. Okay. Please direct your
	6	attention to practice number 3488738 Board
	7	Certified Rheumatology.
	8	A. Okay.
	9	Q. Is this a comment about a
02:54	10	cancelation of a practice in the ACN network?
	11	A. You're looking at the final
	12	comment on this page?
	13	Q. Yes.
	14	A. Yes.
	15	Q. The final comment dated November
	16	29th of 2011.
	17	A. Yes.
	18	Q. This comment indicates that no
	19	incentive was offered to the practice for the
02:54	20	switch, correct?
	21	A. Correct.
	22	Q. And it says that the practice
	23	went with RHN because they felt it was more
	24	customizable than our program.

		215
	1	A. Correct.
	2	Q. And it also says and that was
	3	it. Does that mean that that was the only
	4	reason given?
	5	A. I don't know if that was exactly
	6	her intent behind that comment but it sounds
	7	like it. And I know this sort of goes
	8	back to I mean, obviously there are
	9	situations where I believe the incentive was
02:55	10	the trigger for the switch. Obviously there
	11	are other reasons besides that but there are
	12	times where I believe the incentive was an
	13	issue. Sometimes I believe there was the
	14	news ticker and the bells and whistles, as
	15	you put it earlier, was the reason
	16	Q. Uh-huh.
	17	A or in this case they believed
	18	that their program was more customizable than
	19	ours.
02:55	20	Q. And there's an issue where you
	21	think that there are practices that switched
	22	because of the gift card that wouldn't say
	23	that to a Patient Point employee, right?
	24	A. I do believe that there is cases

216 1 where they would not tell us that, yes. 2 Ο. And in a sense, that is a reason 3 that would be off the page -- if that's true, 4 it wouldn't necessarily be in these CMS 5 comments. 6 Because it wouldn't have Α. No. 7 been something that was discussed if that was 8 the case. 9 Ο. And let's say that there are --02:56 10 you know, it's possible that there are 11 reasons that are not reflected in CMS for one 12 reason or another, right? I would say that if they're 13 Α. 14 getting -- like that with the assumption in 15 this situation. She obviously discussed the 16 incentive which is why she put in the comment no incentive offered. If there was no 17 18 discussion about incentive, then she wouldn't 19 have put any comment about incentive in there 02:56 20 or it could have been something -- because 21 I've seen that -- where they say no incentive 22 mentioned as well. So, again, I think that 23 it's kind of -- I don't think there's one true reason for a cancel. 24

		217
	1	Q. Uh-huh.
	2	A. I think that there's
	3	different you can have come up with
	4	different reasons why a practice would cancel
	5	in any competitor any situation, but I do
	6	believe sometimes it was because of the
	7	incentive, sometimes I believe it was because
	8	they felt the bells and whistles were what
	9	they wanted and and sometimes I believe
02:57	10	they felt like they thought that the program
	11	had certain content.
	12	Like I said, some of them you've
	13	read here to me, some of them had
	14	rheumatology content. We have rheumatology
	15	content. So it's not that we don't have it
	16	and they do. It's just that's what the
	17	practice thought. So it kind of varies
	18	Q. Uh-huh.
	19	A across.
02:57	20	Q. If the CMS entry is gives
	21	some other reason besides the incentive
	22	A. Right.
	23	Q you would say there's no
	24	way to prove that that particular practice

		218
	1	really changed for that reason. They could
	2	have changed because of the gift card.
	3	A. I don't know how to
	4	MR. BERNAY: Object to the form.
	5	A prove that.
	6	Q. And what's that?
	7	A. What I mean, what are you
	8	saying?
	9	Q. You're saying that regardless of
02:58	10	what it says in CMS, there could be the
	11	reason of the gift card and that could be
	12	the the full and total reason for the
	13	switch and there's no way to prove whether
	14	that's the case or not.
	15	MR. BERNAY: Object to the form.
	16	You can answer.
	17	A. It could be. Yes. There could
	18	be the the incentive could have been the
	19	reason and the reason I state that is
02:58	20	because, like I said earlier, we did see a
	21	case where the practice told us that but yet
	22	we later found out that the incentive gift
	23	card was why they switched. But again it's
	24	not every practice. As you know notice

		219
	1	here, I don't think that that incentive was
	2	offered everywhere and I don't know what
	3	point Context Media started doing that and I
	4	can't say that that was always the reason. I
	5	shouldn't say that's always the reason
	6	because obviously there's other reasons as
	7	you're stating and pointing out to me here.
	8	Does that make sense?
	9	Q. I think so. Although I think
02:59	10	what you said earlier is that in any given
	11	situation it's possible that the incentive
	12	caused the switch.
	13	A. I do believe yes.
	14	Q. Even if a different reason is
	15	given.
	16	A. I believe that
	17	MR. BERNAY: Object to the form
	18	with prior characterization of prior
	19	testimony but you can answer.
02:59	20	A. Okay. Yeah. I'm not really
	21	sure what you're getting at but now I've
	22	kind of lost my train of thought. I believe
	23	the incentive could have been the reason for
	24	a cancel. I do believe that there would be

		220
	1	instances where the practice may not have
	2	told us that was why they switched and they
	3	may have given us a different reason. I also
	4	do believe though there are practices that
	5	just switched because of the bells and
	6	whistles maybe not the incentive. It was the
	7	news and the ticker. And I believe that
	8	there is locations that switched because of
	9	the content. They thought theirs was more
02:59	10	diabetes specific versus I believe there
	11	are all of those cases. I don't believe it's
	12	just one.
	13	Q. Uh-huh.
	14	A. Does that make sense?
	15	Q. I think so.
	16	A. Okay.
	17	Q. I guess my question is in any
	18	particular instance, knowing that all of
	19	those are possible and knowing that there
03:00	20	could be reasons that are not in CMS, would
	21	there be a way to, you know, prove that the
	22	incentive wasn't the reason?
	23	MR. BERNAY: Objection.
	24	A. I don't know.

		221
	1	Q. Would you please flip to the
	2	comment it's practice number 3547293. I
	3	don't want to be presumptuous but maybe I
	4	should flip and hand it back and forth?
	5	A. What is this
	6	MR. BERNAY: Yeah. Three
	7	3547293.
	8	MR. HANKINSON: 3547293. I
	9	don't want to cause a distraction. I'm just
03:01	10	trying to think of the fastest way to do it.
	11	MR. BERNAY: Yeah.
	12	A. That's all right. 3547293.
	13	There it is.
	14	Q. Dr. Schnapp and Barth PA? Yes?
	15	A. Yes.
	16	Q. And I am looking at a comment
	17	dated January 12th, 2010.
	18	A. January 12th?
	19	Q. It's the second one from the top
03:01	20	of the page and it's probably the last page
	21	of Dr. Schnapp and Barth.
	22	MR. BERNAY: January 10, 2012?
	23	A. Okay. I see.
	24	MR. HANKINSON: It's the second.

		222
	1	A. Valerie?
	2	MR. HANKINSON: Valerie Kallina
	3	would be
	4	A. Is that what you're talking
	5	about?
	6	Q the practice contact and Lori
	7	Smith would be the commenter?
	8	A. The comment starts with Valerie
	9	called
03:01	10	Q. Yes.
	11	A in to return my call?
	12	Q. Yes.
	13	A. Okay.
	14	Q. Take a moment to review it. For
	15	practice 3547293 with the name of the
	16	practice being Drs. Schnapp and Barth PA, the
	17	practice contact, Valerie Kallina, reported
	18	that the reason the office decided to switch
	19	to Context was that the doctors wanted to
03:02	20	offer an RA based program. Does that mean
	21	rheumatoid arthritis?
	22	A. Yes.
	23	Q. The characteristics or the
	24	program of the program probably is what

		223
	1	was meant. Sound and video segments did not
	2	play a role in the decision. It was strictly
	3	the topic of the content RA versus primary
	4	care, right?
	5	A. Right.
	6	Q. So here's an example regardless
	7	of whether it's your opinion or someone
	8	else's opinion that ACN is just as focused on
	9	rheumatology as RHN, the practice was
03:02	10	reporting that it found RHN to be more
	11	focused and that's why they were switching,
	12	right?
	13	A. This particular office had our
	14	primary care network which is why the content
	15	was not geared towards rheumatology and
	16	that's why you see in parentheses her
	17	comments of RA versus primary care so in this
	18	particular case but again this kind of
	19	also goes back to my point before where I was
03:03	20	stating, you know, they she did truly find
	21	out in this situation it was the content and
	22	it did not have anything to do with the video
	23	or the sound segments.
	24	Q. It was

				224
	1	Α.	And I would believe that there	
	2	was probably	no incentive in this situation.	
	3	It was stric	tly strictly content.	
	4	Q.	And specifically the focus on	
	5	rheumatoid a	rthritis as opposed to primary	
	6	care?		
	7	А.	Right.	
	8	Q.	All right. Please flip to	
	9	practice 366	3355.	
03:03	10	Α.	No, I did not just flip to	
	11	South Plainf	ield?	
	12	Q.	Yeah. The very bottom comment	
	13	on the first		
	14	Α.	That's amazing.	
	15	Q.	first page that's what	
	16	qualifies for	r good at this point in the day,	
	17	huh?		
	18	А.	I guess.	
	19	Q.	That's terrible. Creating all	
03:04	20	this		
	21		MR. BERNAY: Great moment in	
	22	deposition h	istory	
	23		MR. HANKINSON: Right.	
	24		MR. BERNAY: volume 235.	

		225
	1	MR. HANKINSON: All right.
	2	A. Please mark that.
	3	Q. We're discussing South
	4	Plainfield Primary Care which switched from
	5	the PCN, correct?
	6	A. Correct. And we're looking at
	7	the last comment?
	8	Q. Yeah. The last comment on the
	9	page from Dr. Madhu Goyal.
03:04	10	A. Okay.
	11	Q. The CMS entry indicates that
	12	Dr. Goyal called wanting to cancel in favor
	13	of diabetes network. Do you understand that
	14	to mean DHN from Context?
	15	A. Yes.
	16	Q. And then it asked for feedback.
	17	Said likes the content more and program
	18	provide medical news. Very interactive. Has
	19	recipe on diabetes medical related info and
03:05	20	audio and video. Sent cancel survey. Those
	21	are the reasons given in CMS for this switch,
	22	correct?
	23	A. Correct.
	24	Q. What is the cancel survey?

		226
	1	A. There is a survey that we would
	2	send to practices after they cancelled to try
	3	to provide more feedback.
	4	Q. During what time period was that
	5	survey sent to practices who canceled?
	6	A. This was a manually sent survey,
	7	so when the relationship manager was more
	8	of a judgment call felt that they could
	9	send this to possibly get some feedback.
03:05	10	Sometimes they would just do it just to do
	11	it. There was really no protocol as to when.
	12	I mean, ideally you obviously send it after
	13	they cancel but it was never a process as to
	14	you had to send it to every single practice.
	15	Q. It was left to the relationship
	16	manager's discretion?
	17	A. Correct.
	18	Q. Is it is it a survey that's
	19	general as to whether the cancellation is to
03:06	20	a competitor or to TV or in favor of nothing?
	21	A. I don't believe it's
	22	that specific. I think it's just more about
	23	our content and the services that we provide.
	24	It

		227
	1	Q. Do you know if the cancel survey
	2	asks for reasons for a switch?
	3	A. Yes. I believe it does.
	4	Q. Where are the results sent?
	5	A. It's sent to our research
	6	department.
	7	Q. And do you know where the
	8	results are stored by the research
	9	department?
03:06	10	A. I don't know where they store
	11	them.
	12	Q. And have you seen results of any
	13	of those surveys in your job?
	14	A. They have shared me shared
	15	results with me. I believe would do that
	16	like once a quarter depending on how many
	17	responses they have. We have not had a lot
	18	of responses to the survey.
	19	Q. If the is the survey like
03:06	20	whether one was received back with answers
	21	entered into CMS?
	22	A. The answers that received? No.
	23	That it goes into I don't know if
	24	what electronic survey. It's a link. It's

		228
	1	an electronic survey that is sent back to our
	2	research department. I'm not sure I know the
	3	name of the actual software they use to
	4	gather the results back in. They would
	5	pretty much quantify them and provide the
	6	results to me.
	7	Q. Do you know if the cancel survey
	8	asks what competitor was switched to if it
	9	was a competitor switch out?
03:07	10	A. I don't recall.
	11	Q. Would you please flip to
	12	location 3668954? Adriana Pop-Moody?
	13	A. Yes.
	14	Q. Look at the comment dated
	15	February 27th, 2012.
	16	A. It's two of them.
	17	Q. There's two of them. Please
	18	look at the bottom one on the page starting
	19	with received a fax from Kathy. Are you with
03:08	20	me?
	21	A. Yes.
	22	Q. Kathy Rock as the practice
	23	contact from the Adriana Pop-Moody location
	24	explained that a doctor saw RHN at a

		229				
	1	conference and decided to switch, correct?				
	2	A. Correct.				
	3	Q. And the reason they liked RHN,				
	4	according to Kathy Rock, is that they liked				
	5	the sound and interviews on the new program,				
	6	right?				
	7	A. That's what it states. Yes.				
	8	Q. She further explained that the				
	9	patients have been asking for something more				
03:09	10	interesting and with sound and RHN fills that				
	11	request, right?				
	12	A. Yes. That's what it states.				
	13	Q. Could you please flip to				
	14	location 3736209?				
	15	A. Brian McKnight?				
	16	Q. Yes. Comment date January 11th,				
	17	2012. Second from the bottom. Lisa McKnight				
	18	is the contact for the provider's office,				
	19	correct?				
03:10	20	A. Correct.				
	21	Q. She said that they switched to				
	22	RHN because she likes the fact that the				
	23	program has dialogue and not just pictures.				
	24	She explained that their segments are a				

		230
	1	little bit longer and are newer which is good
	2	when their patients have longer wait times.
	3	Many patients visit the office a couple times
	4	a month and it seemed to be less repetitive.
	5	Did I read that right?
	6	A. Yes.
	7	Q. So the reason given here,
	8	although it may be subjective, is based on
	9	the content being longer and less repetitive
03:10	10	for their patients who come a lot to the
	11	office?
	12	A. Based on this comment here, yes.
	13	Q. If there's no contrary comment
	14	in CMS then this would be the Patient
	15	Point's best information about the reason for
	16	the switch, right?
	17	A. To the best of our knowledge,
	18	yes, this would be the reason.
	19	Q. Please switch flip to
03:11	20	location 3736283.
	21	A. Next page?
	22	Q. Very good. North Jersey
	23	Rheumatology Associates. Look at the comment
	24	dated May 20th, 2011. It's at the top. Is

		231			
	1	Hilary Sugar the practice comment the			
	2	practice contact that this comment relates			
	3	to.			
	4	A. Uhm. Received e-mail from			
	5	doctor looks like they received an e-mail			
	6	in from the doctor.			
	7	Q. So it maybe that			
	8	A. Just			
	9	Q that Ms. Sugar			
03:11	10	THE REPORTER: I can't hear you,			
	11	ma'am.			
	12	THE WITNESS: Oh, sorry. I was			
	13	reading the comment. Just want to make you			
	14	aware, we sent yesterday saying that we were			
	15 going to be canceling our subscription to				
	16 your service. It's a bit limited, needs more				
	17	sound and there are some better systems out			
	18	there. Haven't heard anything back so			
	19	consider this our official cancellation.			
03:12	20	Added e-mail and attachment. Tried calling			
	21	office but it rolled to an answering service			
	22	and said they would follow up on Monday to			
	23	understand the reasons more. Is this due to			
	24	RHN pressuring them to switch? And point out			

		232			
	1	that HAN benefits.			
	2	Q. Who's HRM? Heather McGauvran?			
	3	A. HRM? Yes. Heather McGauvran.			
	4	Q. So this comment's from			
	5	Ms. McGauvran?			
	6	A. Yes.			
	7	Q. And she intended to follow up to			
	8	see if RHN pressure had something to do with			
	9	the switch, right?			
03:12	10	A. Yes.			
	11	Q. But the e-mail from Dr. Guma			
	12	indicated that Patient Point's ACN network			
	13	was a bit limited, needs more sound and that			
	14 there's better systems, right?				
	15	A. Correct.			
	16	Q. And if there's no contrary			
	17	statement to that in CMS, this is Patient			
	18	Point's best information about the reasons			
	19	for that switch, correct?			
03:13	20	A. That's the best information.			
	21	Yes.			
	22	Q. All right. Please flip to			
	23	location 3742237.			
	24	A. 374 I'm sorry. What was the			

		233	
	1	rest?	
	2	Q. 3742237. Comment dated	
	3	January 24th, 2011. It's about the middle of	
	4	the page. Related to Veena Nayak, MD, right?	
	5	A. Yes.	
	6	Q. And this practice switched from	
	7	ACN, correct?	
	8	A. They switched from ACN.	
	9	Q. Now, this comment indicates	
03:14	10	that well, who is MMM from Patient Point?	
	11	A. I'm trying to reme recall.	
	12	Q. It seems from the comment that	
	13	they met in person.	
	14	A. Margie Moore maybe.	
	15	Q. In any event, why you don't you	
	16 read over the comment and		
	17	A. It was in Chicago. Okay.	
	18	Q. Illinois. Yeah. Let me know	
	19	when you when you're ready.	
03:15	20	A. Okay.	
	21	Q. This is a pretty detailed	
	22	meeting with both Dr. Nayak and the office	
	23	manager Uma Reddy, right?	
	24	A. Yes.	

		234		
	1	Q. And were you able to confirm		
	2	there's it's Margie Moore who made this		
	3	entry?		
	4	A. I'm almost positive it's Margie		
	5	Moore. I can't think of anybody else with		
	6	the initials MM that worked for us.		
	7	Q. The reason that the		
	8	A. I take that back. I just		
	9	realized there was internally but there		
03:15	10	was a Michelle Mullins or, no, not		
	11	Michelle. Michelle. I don't recall her last		
	12	name but I believe it began with an M and she		
	13	was a sales rep in Chicago, so that leads me		
	14	to believe that she was probably the person		
	15	that met with them and since Margie Moore		
	16 was here in Cincinnati, that's why when I			
	17	said		
	18	Q. Uh-huh.		
	19	A Chicago earlier I was having		
03:16	20	a hard time picturing her being in Chicago		
	21	just to meet with a practice. It's possible.		
	22	But we did have a sales rep that worked in		
	23	Chicago.		
	24	Q. Michelle Mullins		

		235
	1	A. Yeah.
	2	Q or some last name?
	3	A. Something. I can't remember her
	4	last name exactly. I'd have to
	5	Q. In any event a Patient Point
	6	employee met with Dr. Nayak and Uma Reddy and
	7	made this entry, correct?
	8	A. Correct. Only a Patient Point
	9	employee could enter into the database.
03:16	10	Q. Dr. Nayak's reason for switching
	11	to RHN is because she thinks RHN is more
	12	engaging and she said instead of short
	13	snip-its like yours, they have longer
	14	dialogue between actual people. She also
	15	said that she likes that RHN has a 90-minute
	16	loop to hopefully cover wait time. Do you
	17	see that portion of the entry?
	18	A. Yes.
	19	Q. Then further down it says,
03:17	20	Dr. Nayak said RHN offers some messaging.
	21	Your messaging is wonderful for offices that
	22	have massage therapy and tai chi and other
	23	services they want to promote but my office
	24	is more conservative and I'm not really

			236	
	1	interested in that.		
	2	A. That's referring to our		
	3	customization feature.		
	4	Q. Which is a sales point for		
	5	Patient Point, right?		
	6	A. Correct.		
	7	Q. It's a considered a		
	8	competitive advantage.		
	9	A. Correct.		
03:17	10	Q. And Dr. Nayak's simply		
	11	responding that she is not as interested in		
	12	that as perhaps other practices?		
	13	A. She does it appears she		
	14	doesn't need to promote any services at her		
	15	office.		
	16	Q. At the bottom this comment says	,	
	17	I told Dr. Nayak that we have no penalties		
	18	and that she should really look through her		
	19	contract with them. I tried once more to see	=	
03:18	20	if she would allow our screen to stay as it		
	21	was virtually silent and would not interfere		
	22	with other program but she said no as she		
	23	already has a TV and does not want three		
	24	screens. That's Michelle or whoever the		

		237
	1	sales representative who met with
	2	A. Right.
	3	Q Dr. Nayak was responding to
	4	Dr. Nayak's comment that she felt bad about
	5	not dealing with your company directly but
	6	time is precious and RHN said that they would
	7	take that off my shoulders, take down the
	8	equipment, and send to you and would pay any
	9	penalties that might be accrued. So in
03:18	10	response to that a Patient Point employee
	11	says that we have no penalties. Is that
	12	accurate?
	13	A. We don't charge the practice
	14	anything. No.
	15	Q. And that's a general policy.
	16	A. Correct.
	17	MR. BERNAY: Object to the form.
	18	You can answer.
	19	A. Correct. We do not charge
03:19	20	our our practices.
	21	Q. And if that issue ever comes up
	22	where a practice is concerned that they'll be
	23	charged a penalty, Patient Point would inform
	24	the practice that they won't be charged a

		238				
	1	penalty, right?				
	2	A. If that were to come up.				
	3	Q. As it did here.				
	4	A. It did here, yes. This seems				
	5	very rare to me but				
	6	Q. It's not a secret.				
	7	A. No, it's not a secret. We don't				
	8	charge a we don't charge a fee.				
	9	Q. Or a penalty?				
03:19	10	A. Or a penalty fee.				
	11	Q. Please switch to location				
	12	3744754. 3744754. Oh, it's the next page.				
	13	To a comment dated October 10th, 2011. Might				
	14 be the following page. Midway down. Does					
	15 this comment apply to location 3744754 Joseph					
	I. Sandler, MD?					
	17	A. The comment that starts with				
	18	spoke to Jackie?				
	19	Q. Yes. And is it a switch from				
03:20	20	the ACN?				
	21	A. Switch from ACN.				
	22	Q. Here who is PMB from Patient				
	23	Point?				
	24	A. That was Pam Brown.				

			239		
	1	Q.	Here Ms. Brown reports that the		
	2	practice ment	cioned that the competition has		
	3	sounds and pr	rogramming in English and		
	4	4 Spanish, correct?			
	5	A.	That's what it states. Yes.		
	6	Q.	Does Patient Point offer content		
	7	in Spanish?			
	8	A.	No, not on our waiting room		
	9	screen.			
03:21	10	Q.	Please flip to location 3747517.		
	11	Olga N. Popel	L.		
	12	A.	Okay.		
	13	Q.	Please head to the comment dated		
	14	December 13th, 2011.			
	15	Α.	Which one? There's two of them.		
	16	Q.	The second one		
	17	A.	Okay.		
	18	Q.	from Lori Smith regarding a		
	19	cancellation	of ACN, correct?		
03:22	20	A.	Correct.		
	21	Q.	The practice contact here is		
	22	Joanna Mejia,	, correct?		
	23	A.	Yes.		
	24	Q.	Johanna explained that her		

		240				
	1	physician is a rheumatologist and the RHN				
	2	content was a better fit for their office,				
	3	right?				
	4	A. Yes.				
	5	Q. And that's even though it's a				
	6	switch from ACN, correct?				
	7	A. That's what it states. Yes.				
	8	Q. And this comment indicates that				
	9	there's nothing really necessarily wrong in				
03:23	10	Ms. Mejia's mind with Patient Point's				
	11	programing. She just decided to make a				
	12	change and she'd go back to Patient Point if				
	13	it comes up.				
	14	A. That's what it states. Yes.				
	15	Q. Please look at location 3737565.				
	16	MR. BERNAY: 373				
	17	THE WITNESS: 373? So we're				
	18	going backwards?				
	19	MR. HANKINSON: Yeah.				
03:23	20	A. Okay. 737375?				
	21	Q. Yeah.				
	22	A. 75				
	23	Q. 65.				
	24	A. VW?				

		241
	1	Q. Yes. If you could review the
	2	comment and confirm that the CMS entry here
	3	indicates that the practice switched due to
	4	the focus on rheumatoid arthritis.
	5	A. Which comment are we looking at?
	6	Q. I'll have to look it up.
	7	A. I see it. It's the comment
	8	August 2nd. The phone out phone in/out.
	9	Are you referring to received message from
03:24	10	Dr. Dalai?
	11	Q. Yes. The doctor from this
	12	practice indicated the content of Patient
	13	Point was not specific enough for arthritis
	14	patients, correct?
	15	A. That's what it states here.
	16	Yes.
	17	Q. Also it says that the new
	18	program has speaking. Do you understand that
	19	to mean like sound and voiceover?
03:25	20	A. Yes.
	21	Q. And those are the reasons that
	22	are given for the switch, correct?
	23	A. Correct.
	24	Q. Please look at this will go

		242
	1	backwards again 3723759.
	2	A. Rheumatology Associates of South
	3	Florida?
	4	Q. Yes. Are there a lot of them?
	5	A. There's a few.
	6	Q. All right. Yeah. Look at the
	7	one that's the second to last on this page
	8	dated November 30th, 2012 with Annette
	9	DeLuca. Starting with the Annette called in
03:26	10	and LM left message.
	11	A. LM left message. It looks like
	12	we received their our our equipment
	13	from the practice that was removed by
	14	Context.
	15	Q. Ms. DeLuca was unaware of her
	16	contract with Patient Point and the alleged
	17	enrollment agreement, is that right?
	18	MR. BERNAY: Take your time to
	19	read the comment.
03:27	20	A. Okay. Can you repeat the
	21	question?
	22	Q. Sure. And I'll actually ask a
	23	new question. Lori Smith asked Annette
	24	DeLuca at the Rheumatology Associates of

		243
	1	South Florida if Context said anything about
	2	our contract and Ms. DeLuca said no, and then
	3	said we did not have a contract, did we.
	4	Right?
	5	A. It says we did not have a
	6	contact, did we, but I believe that was
	7	probably meant to be contract.
	8	Q. And that's what Ms. DeLuca
	9	responded?
03:27	10	A. That's the way she responded to
	11	Lori, yes.
	12	Q. And the reason given for the
	13	switch to Context is that the docs were ready
	14	for a change and they liked the audio on the
	15	program, right?
	16	A. Yes. That's what it states.
	17	Q. All right. Please flip to
	18	3691607.
	19	A. 369
03:28	20	Q. Uh-huh. 3691607.
	21	A. Linden Medical Group.
	22	Q. Yes. If you could review the
	23	comment on April 23rd, 2012. Did Ms. Bialy
	24	from the Linden Medical Group indicate that

		244
	1	the doctor liked the new program from Context
	2	Media because it could be personalized more?
	3	A. That's what it states. Yes.
	4	Q. And she also said that the
	5	company DHN did not present themselves as
	6	HAN, correct?
	7	A. Correct.
	8	Q. And that she knew it was a
	9	different company
03:29	10	A. Yes.
	11	Q and switched anyway.
	12	A. Correct.
	13	Q. I only have about two more hours
	14	so I'll stop here.
	15	A. You only have two more hours?
	16	Q. I'm just joking.
	17	A. Oh.
	18	Q. Sorry. That wasn't fair.
	19	VIDEOGRAPHER: No further
03:29	20	questions?
	21	MR. HANKINSON: From me.
	22	MR. BERNAY: From Tom.
	23	
	24	

		245
	1	DIRECT EXAMINATION
	2	BY MR. BERNAY:
	3	Q. I'm just gonna ask two or three
	4	real quick questions, Amy, because I know
	5	you we appreciate your time.
	6	A. No. That's fine.
	7	Q. I don't want to take up too much
	8	time. I just want to clarify some of your
	9	testimony on the record and thinking back to
03:29	10	your first deposition as well.
	11	Practices switch from Healthy
	12	Advice to Context Media for a host of
	13	reasons, is that right?
	14	MR. HANKINSON: Objection to the
	15	form.
	16	A. Correct.
	17	Q. And some of those reasons
	18	involve misrepresentations made by Context
	19	Media to the practice.
03:30	20	MR. HANKINSON: Objection.
	21	Leading.
	22	A. Correct.
	23	Q. And you you testified earlier
	24	to the extent that an incentive could play a

		246
	1	role in a practice's decision to switch, is
	2	that right?
	3	A. Yes. It could play a role.
	4	Q. Do you think that an incentive
	5	alone would have been the sole reason that a
	6	practice switched?
	7	A. Maybe in some cases. Not in all
	8	cases.
	9	MR. BERNAY: That's all I've
03:30	10	got.
	11	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
	12	BY MR. HANKINSON:
	13	Q. Earlier you did say that you
	14	thought that in every case when an incentive
	15	was offered that that was the reason for the
	16	switch, didn't you?
	17	A. I did state that. Yes.
	18	Q. And did you change your mind
	19	over the course of today or
03:31	20	A. Well, I think that I just
	21	being a little flustered with all of this I
	22	think but I do believe that, like I was
	23	stating earlier, there are some cases where
	24	it is due to content. I think there's some

		247
	1	cases where it's due to bells and whistles
	2	and I do think that there's some cases where
	3	it was due to incentive. Again, I think it's
	4	all subjective to the practice and what was
	5	offered or provided or told to them.
	6	Q. Each case would have to be
	7	evaluated individually to know the cause of
	8	the switch.
	9	A. That would be fair. Yes.
03:31	10	Q. Okay. No further questions.
	11	MR. BERNAY: No further
	12	questions.
	13	VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the
	14	record at 3:30:07.
	15	
	16	
	17	
		AMY FINLEY
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	* * *
	22	(DEPOSITION CONCLUDED AT 3:30 p.m.)
	23	* * *
	24	

	248
1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF OHIO
3	STATE OF ORIO SS
	COUNTY OF CLERMONT
4	
5	I, Deanne Cartwright, the undersigned,
	a duly qualified notary public within and for
6	the State of Ohio, do hereby certify that
7	AMY FINLEY was by me first duly sworn to depose the truth and nothing but the truth;
, ,	foregoing is the deposition given at said
8	time and place by said witness; deposition
	was taken pursuant to stipulations
9	hereinbefore set forth; deposition was taken
	by me in stenotype and transcribed by me by
10	means of computer; that the transcribed
	deposition was submitted to the witness for
11	examination and signature and that signature
12	may be affixed out of the presence of the
12	Notary Public-Court Reporter. I am neither a relative of any of the parties or any of
13	their counsel; I am not, nor is the court
	reporting firm with which I am affiliated,
14	under a contract as defined in Civil Rule
	28(D) and have no financial interest in the
15	result of this action.
16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
1 17	my hand and official seal of office at
17 18	Cincinnati, Ohio this 6th day of May, 2014
19	Jeanne Cartis
	My commission expires: Deanne Cartwright
20	August 4, 2018 Notary Public - State of Ohio
21	
22	
23	
24	